P 185

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1914-15

File No. 202-14

Serial Nos. 1 ~~-34~~ 41

Later References

Rel. 364-47 (1-2

" Mus 126-55-1-2

RD. 3.3. 41 Ap 61

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 34.

Part B for Jany 1920.

SUBJECT

Insignias to be used by private persons other than Mahadipathies

40 Sonl. 11604-14 dt 19th Nov 1919 passes orders in the matter

D. Gupta

N.A. 1914 (~~1915~~)

Confl. File no. 202 – 14.

Govt. of His Highness the Maharajah of Travancore

Proceedings of the Judicial & Revenue Secretariat

2nd Oct. 1914

Judicial and Separate

Police 231

A Collection – Serial 1634.

Petitions of Private persons & Others praying.

Petitions to be used by Kandathikudiyil Mathadipathies

Table of contents

Sl. No.	No. and date of letter & contents	Page in Proceedings
	Letter No. 481 of 24/3/15 from the Dewan Peishkar, Chirakkal, reporting ordering post on the application of one Sri. Narayana Moothathu praying for issue of a proclamation on the 1st following the Kumbha Sankramam with other enquiries.	
2.	Letter No. J 3705 of 1/4/15 to the Dewan Peishkar, Chirakkal requesting him to call upon Sri. Kandathikudiyil Mathadipathi to produce documents or other authority in support of his claim.	
3.	Letter No. 535 dated 10th/12th May 1915 from the Dewan Peishkar, Chirakkal forwarding copies of seven petitions addressed to His Highness on previous occasions together with a copy of the proceedings passed by His Highness in Sirkar Council on 30th of Makaram 1089 & stating that he had no other documents to produce in	

Sl No	Nos date & letters contains
4	Letter No 4002 Dy 21.5.18 from the DC asking him to submit the licence issued by the Distt Magistrate
5	Letter No 558 Dy 29/5/18 from the DC forwarding the licence
6	Circular letter No 9405-12 Dy 28/10/18 from the DCs asking them to furnish a statement of persons in their Distt who have been permitted to use all sorts of the Weapons & Explosives under the Act.
7	Letter No 198 dated 28/29th Sept 1918 furnishing the statement
8	Letter No 174 dated 5/10/18 from the DC Chitaldrug forwarding his opinion as regard the grant of licence to Mr. Davis
10	Letter No 8369 Dy 9/24th Nov 1918 from DC Bangalore furnishing the statement.
11	Letter No 814 Dy 29/30th Nov 1918 from the DC Shimoga furnishing the statement.
12	Letter No 288 Dy 8/10th Dec 1918 from the DC. Chitaldrug furnishing the statement
13	Letter No 3403/5 dated 17th/18th January 1919 from DC Tumkur furnishing particulars statement
14	Letter No 372 Dy 18/1/19 from the DC Kolar furnishing the statement

Nr	No & date of letter & contents	Page in proceedings
15	Letter No. 293 dy 19/4/46 from the 2 Eng 69 OC Sharnoff forwarding a further statement.	
16.	Letter No S.361 dy 17/4/46 from OC Sangster forwarding further particulars	
17	Letter No 322/S dy 26/2/46 from the OC Hanson forwarding the statement.	
18	Letter No 3163/163 dated 23/2/46 from the OC Sharnoff forwarding a further statement.	
19	Letter No 5168 dy 18/5/46 from the OC Chisholm forwarding further particulars.	
20	Letter No 435/S dated 25/2/46 from OC forwarding further particulars.	
21	Letter No HC 564 dy 15/3/46 from the OC Khan forwarding the statement	
26.	Letter No. HC 564 dy 4/11/46 from the OC Khan forwarding a further statement	
27.	Circular letter No J128-133/Police 202-14-27 dy 6/7/47 to the Dcs of Sangster, Khan Sinclair, Bryson, Hassan Sharnoff forwarding a list of Kenya persons wanted in connection with [illegible]	

and requesting that the
work may be carried out
carefully. Where such
care may be investigated
& full report submitted

30. Letter No HC 23 Genl dated
5/9/1917 from the DIG Khyber
Rifles reporting

39. Letter No D Dis 4/HC dt 3/10/17
from the DC Hazara submitting
the report.

33 Letter No C80 17-18 dt 3/11/1917
from DC Bannu
submitting the report.

34 Letter No 118 of 17-18 dt 4/1/18
from the DC Kohat submitting
the report.

35 Letter No ~~Genl 5/16~~ HC 55 dt 5/8 Jan 18
from the Political Agent Tirah
report.

35A Letter No. D Dis 401/Genl 17-18
dt 24/1/18 from the Dist Magte
Kohat further report.

36A ~~39~~ Letter No Gt/C21 dt 29/8/18 from
the DC Kurram Kohat report.

36 Dc No G. 6485 dt 27.9.18 with
Political Complaints from enemy
Agents Draft for reporting
classified with honours.

38. Dc letter No 4859 dt 14/8/18 from
the Asst Secy. GHQ.

40 39 Letter No. G. 24975 dt 5/10/18 Asst Secy GHQ to Hqrs Kohat
Dc No 2116 dt 20/10/18 from Asst Secy Kohat to Political
~~Dc. No 4/11604-41 Pol. 202~~ 14-18 dt 19/11/18 with order

Police file 202 of 15 (C. 701 of 56/K)

(Re use of insignias by talukdars and other private individuals when going in procession etc.)

Chief Secretary,

I had sent for this file (re use of Insignias) for reference in connection with a Muzrai matter.

I find that the matter has gone off the rails somewhat, and that there is now no greater prospect of reaching a solution than there was a year ago.

His Highness having specially referred the matter here for a thorough enquiry and for submission of recommendations, it is a pity that, as a result of the reference to the Palace Controller, His Highness is being troubled prematurely for instructions.

Can you not try and see that the Palace Officers' own personal opinion is obtained as quickly as possible, and that the disposal of the question is expedited ?

Personally Exp's to member

12-7-15

4/17

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 701

5.6.1918

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

COUNCIL MATTER.

SUBJECT.

(Other than that of Secrecy)

Use of insignias by Pallegars and other private individuals when going in procession etc.

RE-CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

5th June 1918

Chief Secretary to Government.

Extraordinary Member of Council.

10.6.18

First Member of Council.

Seen.

Second Member of Council.

6.6.18

5.6.18

WD 2670—GPB—1,000—11-5-17

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 423 -17

20·6 ..... 191 7

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

COUNCIL MATTER.

SUBJECT.

(Clause xvi of the Schedule)

Request of Mr. Madakeri Naik to use certain insignias when he goes in procession.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

D. M. Nanjappa

19th June ..... 191 7. *Secretary to Government.* 1/6

Extraordinary Member of Council. Opinion recorded

3608/23.6. **First Member of Council.** 22/6 23/6

139/20.6.17 **Second Member of Council.** 20.6 21/6

WD 2670—GPB—1,000—11-5-17

3102

G.R.S. 11] 1914-15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Police)

NOTES.

File No. 202-14

FILE HEADING.

Insignias to be used by B.D. Medal Wala, Pollegar Pensioner, Chital Drug.

From

The Dy. Comr. & Dt. Supdt. Chitaldrug &c.

No. 431 Dated 24-3-15 Received 26-3-15

Diary No. 1812	Enclos.	Spare Copies	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests for orders as regards the insignia to be used by B.D. Medal Wala, Chitaldrug when he goes in procession

NOTES AND ORDERS.

This is the first case of the kind received here. It is not known under what authority the Pollegar is allowed any insignia at all. Submd. for orders.

30-3-15

(2) Please ask the Dt Coll to call upon the

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15-6-12

R. S. 12J

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No.

petitioner to produce all the documents he may have in support of his claim, and submit the same with ~~any~~ for his comments upon any

G. Srinivasa Rao 30/5

3) Draft submd

BS 31.3.15 NR

4) Issue BS 1/4 G. Srinivasa Rao 1/4

2 Do

The Dy Commissioner Chittal drug J. 3343 of 1/4/15 Calls for the documents or other authority he relies upon & which may have in support of his claim

3 From The Dy. Comr. Chitaldrug Dt no. 535 Dt 12.5.15

Forwards copies of the documents furnished by the applicant

5) The licence of 1910 referred to by the Dt. Magte in Dt. no. 1. has not been forwarded Submd for orders.

BS 18.5.15 NR

6) Please put up draft calling for the same.

Pol 20/5

BS 20/5

7) Draft submd.

BS 20.5.15 NR

8) Issue

BS 26/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25.000—2.11-14

3774

3

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P & C File No. 202 of 1914. 15

Serial No.	
4	To The Dy Comr Chittaldrug J 4002 dy 21.5.15 Calls for the license of 1910 ref. to in para 2 of Sl No. 3.
5	From The Dy. Comr., Chitaldrug Dl. no. 538 dy 29.5.15 forwards copy of the license issued by the Dt. Mgte. to Muda Kere Naik. (9) 9) Subd. for orders.
	By. 2.6.15. S.V.S. 8/6 10) The Pallegar pensioner B D. Medkeri Dt is said to claim a license to carry a gun in procession with certain insignias. The local people do not seem to object. The Pallegar was asked to furnish evidence in support of his claim, but he has been able to furnish none except the licenses granted to him by magistrates from 1911. From the records it is clear that the Pallegar has no manner of right to ~~claim a license~~ in procession to carry a gun with the insignias. The fact that the magistrate allowed him to do for the last 3 or 4 years can not confer a right on him. As observed by the District Magistrate, Panchakalasa Swathachatra and the insignia of royalty and can not be permitted to be used. It is not clear why the Pallegar

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

3774

4

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Pol. File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No.

why the Pallegar wants to parade his steeds on his part glory of some mythi fabulous ancestor, and thus establish his non existence.

(11, VII) ~~Pol~~ Here a point for orders whether the action of the Magistrate may be approved or whether the license should be refused in future

Ag Principal
13/6 Dyer

(Dist. Member)

(12) X I do not see why we should interfere with the exhibition of these relics of old days on the part of ~~the~~ the people of this class so long as they are harmless to others or to the Public I think that the Dist Magte may be allowed to continue to issue a license (either himself or by some other local Magte) in the terms of that of 1 Apl 1910 of which a copy is sent. The file should be submitted to His Highness, I think accg to an order

8015

Ag Principal
26/6/15 ✓

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11-14

Police File 210-2 Dec-II-1914-15 5

Submitted to His Highness.

(2)

13 13) These papers relate to the grant of permission to B.D. Medikere Naik, Pallegar Pensioner, Chitaldrug, to use certain insignias when he goes out on procession.

1 14 14) 2. The Deputy Commissioner of Chitaldrug to whom the question was referred states that Medikere Naik claims to be permitted to go in procession with the insignias referred to in his petition, as his forefathers belonged to the Pallegar family and had the privilege of using all the insignias that are now being used by the members of the royal family and gurus of different Mathas.

Flag Z
Flag H

15) 3. I see no objection to the grant of the permission prayed for, so long as they are harmless to others or to the public and are in conformity with mamul.

(16) 16) 4. If His Highness approves, the District Magistrate will be informed that he may continue to issue a license either by himself or by some other local Magistrate in the terms of the one issued on 1st April 1910.

Flag Y

12

A Manjunadayya

BR

P.T.O.

Police file no. 202 of 1914-15 1

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Grant of permission to B.D.Medikere Naik, Pallegar Pensioner, Chitaldrug, to use certain insignias when he goes out in procession.

Order.

13

P.T.O.

Police File no 202 of 1914-15 D.O. No. 2823/

D.O. No 30 From the Secretary to Government, Home Department, The Palace, Bangalore, 19th August 1915.

Encl: One file

My dear Sir,

In returning herewith the file of papers connected with the prayer of B.D. Medikere Naik, Pollegar Pensioner, Chitaldrug, for the grant of permission to use certain insignia, forwarded with Mr.H.V. Nanjundayya's submission Note dated the 23rd July 1915, I am directed to say that His Highness regrets that he cannot agree with the view that there is no harm in granting permission freely for the use of such insignia so long as they are "harmless to others or to the public and are in conformity with mamool" Every such question that comes up needs careful examination before a decision can be arrived at. The Pollegar referred to is doubtless a descendent of the old Chitaldrug family which was in times gone by ruling that part of the country; but what his present position is and whether there are other Pollegars of his status in the State who are enjoying these honours will have to be ascertained before his prayer can be granted. The Officers who have issued licenses to him permitting the use of these honours appear to have acted ultra-vires; for, as in the case of the several Mathadhipatis who have been given these honours, the Pollegar has no Government Order to produce entitling him to such honours and the mere fact that he comes from the old Pollegar family cannot be regarded as entitling him to use the honours without the special permission of Government.

In view of the above facts, His Highness thinks that it would facilitate the decision of the question now under consideration if a list of Pollegars and others who have been permitted by Government to use such honours was called for and examined. Even if permission has to be granted eventually in this particular case, it is a question

Police file no 202 of 1916-15

8

for consideration whether it would be advisable to allow the use of Pancha Kalasa and Swetachatra both of which pertain to Royalty exclusively.

(W. 24) His Highness will feel obliged if, in the light of the facts stated above, you will kindly have the question sifted thoroughly and send it up again with your recommendations

I remain,

Yours sincerely,

Mirza M. Ismail

Sir M. Visvesvaraya, K.C.I.E.,

Dewan of Mysore,

Bangalore.

15

P.T.O.

1038 3774

9

... R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No.

(23) Subd. with a draft.

(24) Done

6. To The Dy Comrs of Dks.

J 905-12 Dy 28/8/15

Calls for certain information re the use of certain weapons.

7 From The Dy. Comr. Kaira DC. no. 1938 Dy 28/29·9·15

Forwards a statement furnishing the information called for

From Madakerinaik. Tel. Dy 9·10·15

Prays for early orders regarding his suspension.

(26) No replies have been received from other Dy. Comrs. Subd. for orders.

11·10·15

11/10/15

Pol d/11

(25) Done reminder

11/10

(26) In the meanwhile another communication has been received

From The DC. Nasik. Chitnavis DC. no. 174 Dy 5·10·15

Stating that Madakeri Naik has applied for a license to go in procession with weapons during the ensuing Dasra & that he has considered it desirable

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—23-3-15

1489
W43

10

[R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No.

To refuse the licence pending the receipt of Govt. orders in the matter of Requests for early orders.

27) The Dt. Magte. is not in favour of allowing the exemption. A draft reminder is submitted as directed in para 25 supra.

BS. 11.10.15.

11/10

Issued 11/10

Sub A. 26/10

9 To the Dy. Comrs. of Dts. except the D.C. of Madura Dist.

Govt's No. 1447-53 13.10.15

Requests them to procure a statement of persons permitted to use weapons

10 From The Dy. Comr. Bayalpad. no. 369 of 19.11.15

Forwards the required statement & promises to furnish further information about Kindapuram Vijayaraya swami of Abbur.

11 From The Dy. Comr. Shenkottai Dt. no. 224 of 24.11.15

Forwards the statement & promises to furnish info. about certain persons.

28) Submitted for orders with a draft reminder to the other Dy. Comrs.

BS. 9.12.15.

29/ Issued

Sub A

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

2065 16:10

11

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1916: 15

Serial No.

Reminder issued to the Dy Comrs of Dhs Kaira, Baroda & Surat.
No. J2216-20 B/ 11.12.15

12 From The Dy. Comr. Chief Brg Dhs. No. 288 B/10.12.15
forwards the statement called for.
30) Subd The replies from the other Dy. Comrs. may be awaited & some reminder.
BB. 23.11.15

Pol 23/12

13 From The Dy. Comr. Surat Dhs. No. 343 B/17.1.16
forwards the required statement

14 From The Dy. Comr. Surat Dhs. No. 372 B/17.1.16
forwards the required statement.
32) Subd No replies have yet been received from Hansot & Kolad. A Brief reminder is put up.
BB. 24.1.16.
33) Issue

Surat 1/2

Reminder issued to the Dy. Comrs. of the Hansot & Kolad Dhs No. J2432-38/ 28.1.16.

15 From The Dy. Comr. Surat Dhs. No. 293 B/19.1.16
intimating that the prescribed forwards a statement for the Dy. Ci & Police Dhs. & states that the particulars of the measures used by the Surat Municipality will be furnished on receipt of information from the Municipality

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

2692
2079

12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No.	
16	From The D.C. Bangalore Dl. no. 361 B/27-11-15 Forwards information as to the impress to the Swamy of the Nagaraya Mutt in the Channapatna Tk is entitled and states that as the Swamy is away in the Salem Dt the petitioner's permitting their use is not procurable. 34) Subd. The replies from Hassan & Kolar may be awaited. SS 2.16. NRL
17	From The D.C. Hassan Dl. no. 322 B/26-2-16. Forwards a statement & states that on receipt from Manjarabad & Arasikere a supplemental bd will be subd.
18	From The D.C. Shimoga. no. 343-J43 B/24-2-16 Forwards a statement of the musicians used by the Swamy of the Jain Mutt at Humcha. Pet B/ 8-3-16 from Mookaraj Naik Reminder Pet B/ 25-3-16 from Mookaraj Naik. Reminder. 35) No reply has yet been received from the D.C. Kolar. The D.C.s of Chitaldrug & Hassan have not sent the promised further information. Subd. with three Drafts. BS. 15-5-16 35) Issue telegraphic reminders.

1675

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

J 3048 2988

14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1916-15

Serial No.	
19	From The D.C. Chittagong Dt. no. 548 Dt 18.5.16 forwards a file of vernacular papers regarding the case of suspicion by Talukdar Somorsachar and states that the suspicion alleged may be defined & restricted suitably & that it is desirable to confine the use of such suspicion to one representative of the family (42) Subd. The promised replies from Hassan & Kober may be awaited for some time more. BS. 23.5.16. NLL
20	From The Dy. Comr. Hassan Dt., no. 435 Dt 25.5.16 furnishes the further information promised. (43) Subd Information from the Kober Dt. may be awaited. BS. 16.6.16. NLL

NLL 23/5

21

P.T.O

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—79.000—17-12-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No.

37) Three messages proposed to be issued subd. for approval.

BG. 16-5-16 38) Two drafts approved for the Minister's guide regarding issuance to Municipalities of Attock Dist.

39) Resubd. with a fresh Draft

BG. 16-5-16

40) To the Cash Section for the issue of the three approved telegrams.

BG. 17-5-16

41) The telegrams have been sent

17-5-16

From The D.C. Hassan. No. 421/D/17.5.16

States that a reminder has been issued to the Amildar of Attock and that the promised supplemental list will be sub on receipt of a reply from him.

From The D.C. Kohat. No. 445/D/18.5.16

States that the list will be sub on receipt of information from the talukas concerned wherein to which reminders have been issued

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-12-15

15

MEMO

On petitions received by the Dewan.

No.

Date of petition. 25th May 1916

Name of petitioner Mr B D Me-nikeri Nair Palyi-gar of Chittalroor.

SUBJECT.

Prayer for permission to use certain weapons.

46)

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government, General department
The 6th may be acknowledged.
26.6.16.

Diwan 26/6

T.
30
24/6

22

27/6

D

24

3244

46

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1916-15

Serial No.

45) Subd. vide a Draft

BG. 28-6-16.

46) Done

29/6

Ser 7/7

21 To the Petitioner. no. J8 dt 3-7-16

Informs him that the matter is under consideration & that a decision will be intimated to him in due course.

47) Done another reminder today, and state that the information is urgently required and may be forwarded without any delay

6/7

48) Subd. vide a Draft.

49) Done

BG. 6-7-16.

Reminder issued to the C. Kolar dt no. J 112 dt 7-7-16.

from Moorkan Naik. YK dt 28-7-16

A reminder. 23

~~Reply from collector has not been received. Subd. vide a draft reminder.~~

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

17

MEMO

On petitions received by the Dewan.

GEN. & REV. SECT.
5 SEP 1916

No. 619

Date of petition. 28.7.16.

Name of petitioner. Mr B.D. Madi
Kere Naik, Pollegar,
Chitaldrug.

SUBJECT.

Regarding letter
Mission to display
the insignias of his
household

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government, General Department.

B.O.

Display agreed
2.9.16

24

WD 362 - GPB - 600—5-8-16

574

18

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No.

5-1)

Reply from Kolar has not yet been received Subd. with a Draft Reminder.

9.9.16

5-2) Draft letter

Rd 11/9

Rec'd 12/9/1916

To D.C. Kolar. No. J.1041 B/12-9-16

Dy 2

Request that the required information may be furnished without further delay

25

P.T.O

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB 70,000—17-12-15

GEN. & REV. SECT.
12 SEP 1916

19

MEMO

On petitions received by the Dewan.

No. 1d

Date of petition. 13th August 16

Name of petitioner. Mr B Medikeri
Nark

SUBJECT.

Petitioner is a
Palyegar of Chittledroog
& asks for early orders
in the matter of his
request to use certain insignias (used
by his ancestors) during the
Dasara & other processions

53) Forwarded to the Secretary to Government, General Deptt.

B O
Dewan and ex-officio
Under Secretary

9.9.16

11/5

11/9

26

P.T.O.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No.	
	54) Subd. No reply has yet been received from the Kolar D.C. A Reminder was issued to him on 12.9.16. BG. 16.9.16.
	55) Issue reply is received by Monday, a Telegram may be sent GB 14/9
22	From The D.C. Kolar Dc. no. Rf H.C. 564 Dt 15.9.16 Forwards a statement of stalls that reminders have been issued to the Amildars of Mulbagal & Siddlaghatta for information from them Pls 56) Subd. with a draft- BG. 21.9.16.
	57) Issue Draft
23	To The D.C. Kolar. no. J/201 Dt 25.9.16 Requests that information regarding the Taluks of Mulbagal & Siddlaghatta may be obtained & forwarded immediately.

27

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

21

MEMO

On petitions received by the Dewan.

DIARY No. 1806 / 586

1916-1917
SECTION Miscl
FILE No.
SERIAL No.

No.

Date of petition. 4.9.16

Name of petitioner Mr Ramagappa Naik & other fishermen Chittal Drug.

SUBJECT.

Praying that they may be permitted to & may be required to their household as in Vijaya Dasami Day &

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government, General Department

J. Macdonald
Inda Sait

1 OCT

7/10/16

28

WD 362—GPB—500—5-8-16.

22

MEMO

On petitions received by the Dewan.

J 805

DIARY No. 585

1916-1917
SECTION Miscl
FILE No.
SERIAL No.

No.

Date of petition. 4.9.16

Name of petitioner. Certain residents of Chittagong Town.

SUBJECT.

Testifying to the fact that Muhammadans have used certain religious occasions in the mosque & their household and urging that this ancient right may be recognized by Govt.

Sl. no 25

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government, General Depot

B.O

Jahangadar

A.S.D

OCT

29

7/10/16

WD 862-GPB—500—5-8-16

MEMO

On Petitions received by the Dewan.

3

REN. & PE. DE.
7 OCT 19

7/10/16

No.— av

DIARY NO. 5844
19/6-1917
SECTION Judicial
FILE NO.
SERIAL No.

DATE OF PETITION— 29.9.16

FROM WHOM—
Pollegar Madurai
Rani & Chokalingam

SUBJECT—
Praying for early
orders on his application
for his granting
permission to leave
Ootacamund with
his household
on 9th instant &

---

60) Forwarded to the Home
General Department.

30

GPB—1,000—31-7-14 4.10.16

J8004

24

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 202 of 1916-15

Serial No.	
1	6 Subd. No reply has yet been received from the D.C. Kolar to sl. no. 23. Draft reminder to put up. RK. 20-10-16. 62) Imm Reminder issued. no. J1395 Dj 26-10-16. 26 From the D.C. Kolar. no. Ref H.C. 564 Dj 4-11-16 forward a ~~pass~~ list showing the musicians possessed by the persons in behalf of Mulbagal & Siddaghatta.

31

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

25

MEMO OF INTERVIEW WITH THE DEWAN.

Friday, 17th Nov *1916.*

Name of Interviewer— Mr Madakkare Nair

Representation in brief—

Prays for
Sanctions as the question of
Boradus (Hills 10) due to
heavy

Note 1:5) will be expedited
Submit
Secy 17/11

I request to know how
the matter stands
17.11.16 KV

Secy
A S III Secy 17/11

1:5) Subd.

A list of persons among whom
was called for from the Sirkars at
the instance of the Private Secy to H.H.
The last of the replies from Dindigul
was received recently. A consolidated
list is under preparation & will be
submitted shortly.

B.G.
17.11.16.
Gen: Secretary)

D. M.
17.11.16

Secy 18/11

D. M.
18.11.16

1627

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No.

175. *) A statement showing the persons in accordance with para 24 supra
among whom this has been compiled from
the information furnished by the Dy.
Comrs. With reference to para 18 supra
attention is respectfully invited to
Sl. No. 8 wherein the D.C., Chittagong, has
furnished information regarding the
status &c of the applicant as also his
own opinion regarding the grant of
the petitioner's prayer.

26. The statement may, in
the first instance, be sent to the
Municipal Branch for verification & remarks
& putting up the papers relating to the
subject.

27 bis) Subd-for orders

Pol
22.6.16.

Pol
28/6

Mun Brs 31
16-17
dr
22/1...J

Pol VII

28

So far as the present
question is concerned, I think it
had not. It runs up the Matadhipalleys
with Sevalai persons as the respectable
castes of these two classes stand on
different footing. It does seem that
what was intended the Commissioner
in the Utkur Secy's letter

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

10:7

27

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No. (20)

If this suggestion should be acceptable the present reference seems to be unnecessary A comparison and inspection after which less mean time and trouble and the matter appears to require expeditious disposal. On a cursory glance after last ~~d~~ struck me that the details of progress noted as against some of the Mahadhipatis require modification A separate list dropping out the Mahadhipatis maybe made and it would then be easy to take a comparative view of the situation. If this list is sent to me I shall append my remarks and look up such references as may be available which would help in the disposal of the question

Sd/ 29.11.16

Sd/ 29.11.16

Sd/ 29.11.16

(21) Subd. A list (excluding Mahadhipatis) has also been prepared & put up. (Vide list marked II).

Sd/ 6.12.16.

In favour of remarks if any

Sd/ 28/3

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—500-4-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1914/15

Serial No.

130+32) A list of persons other than Maladhipathies using insignias in this State is put up and a separate list of Maladhipathies and Maladhipathies whom the insignias respectively and by them is also put up. As regards the persons other than the Maladhipathies, none of them has produced any Sanad from the Sovereign or any other Government in support of their claims to use the insignia. They have only a mamool or usage to rely upon. There is also no information regarding the antiquity of the usage set up by each of them. It is highly improbable, for instance, that Sarvagna Bhattach in Amaravathi Taluq (No 4 in the list) would have been granted the royal insignia of Panchakalasa, Bilichattri ~~another contemporary~~ centuries ago as set up by him. The proper course to adopt in regard to each individual case seems to be that in the absence of Sovereign authority, the persons concerned should not be permitted to use insignias generally pertaining to royalty. What insignias should be thus prohibited for use by the public may have to be settled before a general order is passed in the matter. Nagalu Devaraja, Makaratharana, Shavala Sankha and Panchakalasa and Sapta chatra are admittedly Sovereign insignia, and should not be permitted in any case of private persons. The question is what other insignia should be included

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000 17.12.15

28/10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 202 of 1914-15

29

Serial No.

(32) 78) In favour of remarks

28/3
§ Commissioner.

2

Inwards No 57 of 16

(30)

(31) Submitted
30/3/17

(33) 75) The recognition of the Mahadhipathis and the Spiritual dignitaries has greatly simplified the matter. These may be dealt with as a class apart and the honours shown to them is not so much to the individuals themselves, as to the institutions over which they have for the time being the honour of presiding. In these cases, the honours granted at any time during the history of the institutions are rightly allowed to be displayed before persons priests until withdrawn. They are not only a recognition of the place taken & gained in the estimation of the public and the extent of the spiritual work performed for it's benefit but also serves as a reminder to the grace of the eminence of the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

Serial No.

section and the corresponding responsibility which he owes to his disciples and the public at-large (36) 86) It is obvious that the case of secular persons stands on a different footing. If honours should mean anything at-all, they should be graduated to the equipment and social standing of the persons concerned and though any particular insignia displayed may have a connection with the former event in the past-history of the individual's family it would be a travesty of it as wholly out of keeping with the present. In this sense no secular honour can be said to be hereditable unless otherwise expressly stated. This is the practice which is being observed by title-holders and there is no reason why the rule should not be applied also in these cases. At any rate, there should be no right accruing to the descendent to the display of any honour which is a special appendage of a

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1914/15

Serial No.

position of eminence enjoyed by the famely in the past but which no longer continues

35 77) Coming now to the consideration of List-II, it is seen that 4 at least out of the 19 entries could appear to belong to the class of Special dignitaries viz no 7, 15, 17 and 18 while two more viz no 2 & 4 have at least a partial claim to such inclusion As per item 16 relates to certain persons and the using some varieties of umbrellas only meant at the time of the marriage In view of the simple nature of the display and the reason of the use, these cases may also be omitted from the present consideration

(36) 78) There are three twelve cases standing over where one or more honours are paraded as personal distinction and among them the case of Madalla Naik is conspicuous. He is satisfied with Palki alone. Six out of Chatri and chamara while two more lay claim to both the sets. Sakharam Rao of Kankavli Naik adds an attar in

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

FD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1916-15

Serial No.

to the above honours but he falls far short of Madakeri Naik who is a large varieties of Kambella adds a claim to Mirchal and exclusive legal honours of Swetachatri and Panchakalasa. extravagant- Next the claim is in a sense preposterous will be clear from the fact that no other seimas of Pallegar houses has brought forward any claim for the display of honours. But it is wholly preposterous when the Manner in which the display is claimed is considered. The Vijiadasami procession is not merely an unmeaning religious ceremony but it is connected in theory and peoples beliefs with the State's preparedness for war and no Subject of His Highness can be said to have a right to prevent such progress in the Manner

(Sd.) K. Madakeri Naik even drop his pretensions to a Jamba Saram he may be permitted to ride in a Palaki and proceed with Mutsiri for purpose of Agenda paper He may as a special case be given the right to dispose a Sadachatra even of the white variety and an Attagara Any additional honour

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

ND 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

F. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No.

would seem to be in keeping with the status and position of the party in question

Secretary
19.4.17

(Branch III)

19.4.17 Sabd. 38

I agree. In favour of orders

19.4
Secretary

Extraordinary Member

1 Encl

(82) The case under consideration is that of Madakari Naik and as suggested by the Muzrai Superintendent, he may be allowed to go in procession with - - music, in a Palake, displaying a sada Chatri of a white variety and an aftagiri. I would even allow the display of colored chatris.

Islam not-a-schedule caste 6/6
Appx. XVIa appli Schedule. 12/6
Secy

Colonial Secretary
7029
13.6.17

Deputy Secretary 12/6

(Desai)

(83) Please enable to bring up at a meeting of Council — 16-6-17

Desai Caste Hindu 12/6

Secretary 12/6

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(10) 84

Request of Mr Moodakere Naik to use certain insignias when he goes in procession

Opinion of the Council.

41. 84) The Dy. Comm^rs should be requested to investigate the propriety of the use of insignia in each of the cases in List-II and to submit a full report in each case with their opinions before the end of Sept 1917.

42. 85) The case of Mr Madiken Naick may be considered along with that of the 18 others

12/7

12.7

43) Submitted with a draft letter to the Deputy Commrs of Bangalore, Kolar, Tumkur Mysore, Hassan & Shimoga. The opinion of the D.C. of Chitaldrug is already in the file hence he is not addressed now

13.3.17

86) 14/7

ND 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

35

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No. 27

To

The Dy. Comrs. of Bangalore, Kolar, Tumkur, Mysore Hassan & Shimoga no. S 128-133 Dy 16-7-17.

Calls for a report before the end of August 1917.

202

86

MEMO

On petitions received by the Dewan.

No. 12105

Date of petition. *16th August 1917.*

Name of petitioner. *Mr. B. D. Madakari Naik of Chitaldrug.*

SUBJECT.

Requests issue of immediate orders in the matter of his using certain insignias so that he may go out in procession this Dasara at least.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
III
JUDICIAL
21 AUG 1917

STAMP No. J426

19 -19
SECTION 2001
FILE No. 28 202-14
SERIAL No. 28.

84)

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government, *General Department.*

91) *If no final reply has been sent, the disposal may kindly be expedited.*

19.8.17

The Secretary,

General Department..

43

H.L.S.T. 25/8/17

WD 3004—GPB—500—27-6-17

AD 1253—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 202 of 1914-15

37

Serial No.

1. 91) The Dy. Comrs. have been given time till the end of August 1917 to submit their reports Subd. for orders
B.S. 22.8.17

92) Await reports.

93) No replies have been received Subd. with a draft reminder
B.S. 4.9.17

94) Done
4/9/17

29. Reminders to D.Cs of Bangalore, Kolar Tumkur, Mysore, Hassan & Shimoga Dts No J 871-6 Dt 5.9.17

29. From the Asst Commr. Mysore Dt No Roc 118/17-18 Dt 4.9.17
Requested to furnish with the correct addresses to enable him to trace those persons and investigate the matter.

30 From the DI Dragte Shimoga No H CR023/Jud Dt 5.9.17
Submits the report called for in the matter.

95) Subd. with a draft to the D.C. Mysore
B.S. 21.9.17

96) Done

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

31 To, The Dy Comm. Mysore Dt
No J 1110 Dy 26 9 1917
Invites attention to the list furnished with this office dt letter no 372 Dy 17 Jany 1918 and states that no more information is available than is contained in the list referred to.

32 From,
The Deputy Comm. Hassan Dt
No D, dis 4/He Dy 3.10.1917
Submits a report on the use of inam as by some people in Manyarabad and Alur Sub Taluk.

33 From
The Dy Comm Bangalore Dt
No C 80/HO Dy 3.11.1917
Submits a report as to the propriety of the use of certain inam by the late Setway Rows family of Chickmaralwadi in the Kankanhalli Taluk.

34. From. The Dt Magte Mysore Dt
No Acc No 118 Y 17-18/Jud Dy 4-1-18

35 From, The Dc. Kolar Dt
No Reports 5-5 Y 17-18 Dy 5/8.1-18
Submits the report asked for.

35A From the Dy Com. Bangalore No. C 401 Jud 17-18 22.1.18
Submits list and the inscriptions holders

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

4 H

I want some
paper like real 65 +

by
8/4/17

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

2299

39

G.R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Pol File No. 202 of 1914.

Serial No. 36A

From The Dy Commr Nuwara Eliya
No GL/c 21 19.3.18
9.7.18

States that the Pattadadevaru of Yellandu may be prohibited to use the insignias in question, until he can properly establish his title to use them.

(45) ~~47~~ A statement (III) embodying the information furnished by the Dy Comr and their recommendations is put up. The information was called for in accordance with the Council Resolution of 12.7.17. Please para 84 supra.

(46) ~~II~~ From the correspondence and records it appears that many of the claims mentioned in statement II are religious institutions. Such claims have been entered in the Statement now put up (Statement III) in red ink

~~47~~ Subd. for orders.

H.S. 2.4.18.

~~48~~ The information furnished by the Deputy Commissioners in regards the 19 persons referred to in the Council Resolution of 12.7.17 has been tabulated and the statement is submitted for favour of perusal. In some cases the enquiry has not been very satisfactory, and in the majority of cases the claimants to the honours

760

Para 84 supra

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GFB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 202 of 1914.

Serial No.

have adduced little oral evidence.

(Col 702) As to all the 19 persons in the list, Satwaji Rao of Kankanhalli, Dasi Setty of Kolar, Pattadadevaru of Chickanayakanhalli, all the seven persons mentioned as claiming in the Mysore city the persons (names not specified) in Bangalore, Madakeri Nayak, Gavundas of Savandurga, Halaswamy of Honnali, have produced no Sanads whatsoever in support of their claims Sarvagnabhakaka, Chamarajaraja Wadeyar lost their Sanads, and

71V no Secondary evidence is produced. The Kaifiyat produced by Venkatavadhani is stated not to have been recognised by Govt as valid So the only persons who have produced any title deed are Dasyanna Mudaliar & Rachaiya Gubbi Gudda In the case of the former

71S the Kaifiyat mentions "Chatri chamara", the claims the Red Umbrella & chamara As the grant of the goldsmiths, and as the Sanad (whose genuineness may perhaps be assumed) confers the insignias, if approved, the same may be recognised.

(50 702) As regards Bangadsia of Gubbi Gudda, the Sanad produced by them confers on them the right to use only Palaki, as it manifestly has not been using the Palaki, and there are no Sanads for using Gala, Khasle, Red Umbrella, Nagara and &c. The Gauli Vada

71R

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No.

the people do not object to his using the insignias does not appear to be a valid argument for a grant for order whether Paradesa can be permitted to use any of the insignia claimed by him

71 J

[illegible] Mr Setrayya Rao of Kankanhalli has produced no Sanad, and in a printed pamphlet giving the history of his family, it is stated that "His Highness the late Maharaja presented him (ie Raghunatha Rao) with a palanquin and other honours." The context shows that the honours, if any, were confined for military services. The exact nature of the honours conferred is not stated. There is no evidence to show that the honours can be considered as hereditary. As a matter of fact most of the insignia are not even with the petitioner himself but with his sons, and it is a question for order whether he may be permitted to use, or any of them

[illegible] Venkata Rao claims the right to use a palanquin. No satisfactory proof of this has been produced. His claim to use a Palanquin on Vijayadasami days is clearly open to objection, and it is a question for order whether his right to use the palanquin during marriages may stand.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

42

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Public File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No.

~~15~~ Sawaginabhattachari has produced no Sanads, as they are said to have been lost. The fact that he has been in enjoyment, that they were confirmed by Ramanujanayana shows that he has no valid title to the Inam. It is only the Sovereign that can confer these inams. Both Swetachatra & Panchakka are the inams of Royalty, and Sawaginabhattachari cannot be permitted to use them. It is a point for consideration whether he may be permitted to use any others ✓

15½ (43) (105) Basavolly Appalacharlu has also no Sanad or title deed to show that he is entitled to the Palaki, Kempuchatra or Chamara ~~to be carried~~ for He also cannot be permitted to use them

(44) (106) Channaveraya of Bellari Mutt has also no title deeds to support his claim. The Amildar reports that he is personally highly respected by the Lingayats. He claims only Palaki, Kempuchatra & chamara. It is a point for orders whether they may be allowed.

(55) (107) Pattadadevaru, Chikkanaikanhalli Inam has also no title deeds to support his claim to use the insignia Hagaladevaraya Swetchatra cannot ~~should~~ not be allowed unless Deputy Commr is of opinion that it is absolutely clear

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No.

authority, he should not be permitted to
enquire into

(3: 103) Mathappan Gounden, the Deputy Commissioner, Nilgiris has furnished no information about the examination in the Nilgiris, and what their observations are not known.

(57 104) The names of the persons who claim to be the members in many arabad also have not been marked by the D^t Court; they have produced no documents. but the D^t Court recommends that they may be permitted to use the enquiries into what their enquiries are ordinary

(58 110) The Ramputhuvani in Tinnevelly has also no title deed, but the D^t Court recommends the use of the enquiries by the Tavam only into what the Mattu is an ancient Mutt Kanakkan Kanakkan, Avarchahri cannot be permitted, and it is a part of order whether anything have to be prohibited 50

(59 111) The Karuchavi Tavam has no title deed, and the Mutt is a recent one Although many of the enquiries were introduced without authority Even here Archahri may be prohibited as it is a part of the practice whether Vaishnavas or Smarthas

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Misc File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No.

As regards Madekeri Nair, attention is respectfully invited to paragraph 10 of 82 above

(8) HE) Submitted pro forma

8/7

Chief Secretary

(61) H3) Of the cases in the list several viz 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 15, 17, 18 are of a religious character. The claims in these cases should be dealt with in the Muzrai Dept on the principles which regulate such matters in the case of the more important institutions.

(61) H4) The purely secular cases are 1, 3, 8 to 14, 16 & 19 Except 19 the others are more or less very minor It will be noticed that except 19, none of the others bases his claim on being a deccan descendant of a polegar family, though some depend on Sanads granted by Polegars in ancient times.

(62) H5) As regards No. 19 I have expressed my views in the Communications addressed from the Chitaldrug Dt when I was in charge of the Dt, & I have nothing to add to them. I would only urge attention to the point of principle that no subject should parade insignia of royalty except under the special grant of the Sovereign

(63) H6) As to what are & should be regarded as royal insignia & should be prohibited, the

62.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 191 4 15.

Serial No.

Views taken in the case of religious institutions may be considered, as both are governed by the same principle. Then again the occasion when the mangma are used has also a bearing on the matter. It is one thing for a man to use a palki & other mangma during a marriage procession, when people generally want to make a show, & quite another to go in procession during the Vijaya dasami, which as explained in para 78 of the note supra, has a political significance.

(64 117) If one palegar pensioner is now allowed to use mangma it is not at all unlikely that others will come up with requests for similar concessions.

(65 118) As regards the mangma, perhaps the ordinary palki or mêna is quite unobjectionable though it has practically ceased to be in use as an ordinary means of transport. But Chatres or umbrellas meant for show, Chamara, Aftagiri or are meant as imitations of royal mangma, & are carried about not for any useful purpose but merely as mere symbols of greatness. There is perhaps no great harm if a poor man apes a rich neighbour & keeps a motor car or a number of horses with a view to make a show, but it is likely to be

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

299

46

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No.

Mischievous if private people try to imitate the Sovereign in using symbols of legal authority

CS Balamurali
CBS
29/4/16
B

1st member

9-1-16

(68) 119) The Rule requires the sanction of the Governor-in-Council at a meeting of the Council

4210
23/5

Advocate-General

22/5/16

Dewan

6175
23/5/16

CBS
23/5
B

(69) 120)

CBS

4.6.16

(28) 124) C.S.

Recirculate

CBS 4/6/1916

Advocate-General

53

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1288—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

2299 47

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No.	
	'Instructions in Kudli on the left [illegible].
(69)	122) "Put up draft order and submit. (Consult Kudli file)"
(70)	123) To Assistant Secretary, Branch III for a draft Government Order. I shall be glad to have a talk about the lines of the draft order [illegible] 25/7/15
(71)	124) Draft G.O. is submitted for favour of approval or other orders [illegible] 30/7
(72)	Asst. Secy.) Submitted for favour of remarks, if any, on the draft 7.9.
(73)	Secretary) I think it would be better to drop the class of special honours and merge the cases under the second class at least as the parties to establish their claim to

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III.2]

72 x x

4)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No.

(7 +30) Draft GO called A copy of the draft order will be got typed along with the GO.
BS.
26.9.18.
WL

(31) YB
SB
9/11/18

(28. ~~32~~) Typed copies of GO & the G.O are called.
BS.
25.9.18.
WL

(33) In favour approval
SB
25/9
& sanction

(chiefs)

(80 ~~+++~~) Issue
copy & translation
26/9/18 4/26/9/18

36 To. The Palace Controller, Mysore
No. G 6485 Dt 27-9-18
Forwards a Copy of G.O proposed to be issued re the grant of permission to the use of insignias on the Slate and requests to favour with remarks and suggestions re the classes list of persons to be [illegible]

The Palace Controller G.O. No. 1129 9.3.19.
With reference to the above Minutes regarding the matter

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-19—S.

2299

(C 701)

48

III-2

eral and Revenue Secretariat.

Pol. File No. 202 of 1916-15

Serial No.

their use. I have indicated in pencil some alterations in the draft for consideration. The order may be made generally applicable to all persons whether parsis or not as the important matters which are entitled to Maharajas form Govt. may also apply to Govt. officers and the word Govt. in Council. The order may be issued as a general one when Govt. in Council as to when orders of Govt. have to be applied for

Chief Secretary
10.9.18

(54 ~~127~~)

As instructed in Council yesterday, the draft is submitted to the Extra Member of Council.

(55 ~~128~~)

710-279
14-9-18.

I have gone thro the draft. It appears to me the suitable. I have made a few minor revisions here. I also however suggest the desirability of getting the classified list of honours sanctioned by the Palace Council before submission of case for orders of Government.

Rud P Kapadia
21.9.18

please make a copy for the Palace Council

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1731
1421
13.7.1

AD 1233—Q.P.B—60,000—1-12-16—S.

(50)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 202 of 1914-18

Serial No.	
	has been instructed to H. H. and that he will reply after Receipt of H. H's pleasure
	The reply may be awaited
	(13/3) In the meanwhile the following communications have been received.
37	From Mr D. D. Malukeri Naik, Pehe dt 4.3.1919
	Requests that permission to use certain insignia, under consideration of Govt for the past 3½ years, may be given before the New Years day.
38	From Mr. T Thimboo Chetty Asst Private secy, The Palace D.O No. 1459 dt 4-3-1919
	Solicits opinion regarding the classification of certain insignia referred to in the draft proceedings sent with sl 36 above as difference of opinion about them has arisen.
	Subd. 14/3 (68) 28/3 (87) Please look up dras enquiring on the points raised in the Palace Letter dated 26/3
	(87) Forwarded (50) with compliments to the Surgeon Supdt Yerawada Central Jail Govt favours of furnishing the required informn with remarks

Seen by the Chief Secy 16/3

R. C. Mirashdarwala
For Chief Secy
29/3

Recd 5.10 p.m. on 29.3.19

1726-18

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 202 of 1914

Serial No.

(83 ~~Ho~~) On principle a Reservation of the noble metals for royal insignias only seems the quite just & plausible but it is to be feared that a restriction condition that a Swapana or Aptagiri is intended for use by private individuals would be made by impure metals are often used alloy an enquiry which might cause considerable vexations. Here insignias are usual made of cloth with metal handles but usually they are wholly of metal and gilt In the latter case it will be found to decide whether it should be permitted or not It therefore is at present of unimportant consideration

(84 ~~+1~~) As regards Mahamath seems to be some confusion about it exactly is and it would be preferable an earlier article on it is often confused Chamara ~~Chamara~~. The only Mathadhipatties so far to be clearly entitled to are the Sringeri Parakalaswamy headship Adhokshaja Mathe a members of the teachings as shown in the margin

1) Kasalidappana matt, Yedigur.
(2) Kudali Sringeri matt
3) Adhokshaja Theerthaswami Matt.
4) Jain matt at Kanchi
5) Murgi matt.
(6) Jain matt at Sravanabelagola
7) Shivaganaswami matt at Kodahalli
(8) Bisaguia matt, Chickamagalur.
(9) Dattatreya Peeta
10) Balehalli matt

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(52

13071

C.R.S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Three File No. 202 of 1914.

Serial No.

also mentioned in a Secretariat compilation prepared in 1888 but having been prepared on the basis of information supplied by the Mates themselves, it can hardly be considered by the authorities, under whom the claims have been decided and duly recognized in a Sanad or Nahadan issued by Govt. In the case of the Andaman Mate the Nahadan issued on 18th Feby 1916 includes this claim. The Nahadans relating to Sayers and Parchaks mates do not discuss the expression used in detail but in view of the standing of these Mates, their right to the display of this special honour might be presumed. Considering the very limited use made of this honour it seems to me that it would be preferable to include it in the exclusively ryotwari case in which case the question is Constitutional Medal will not arise

~~by this classification~~ ~~proposed to Govt of Madras~~

Chief Secretary (Legis)

Note—Contn. sheet.

Lawrence
21.4.19

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

13071

153

Pol File No. 202 of 1914

Serial No.

As regards the insignias viz Sarepana and Aftabgiri, the Mint Secy is of opinion that they are usually made of cloth with metal handles but occasionally they are wholly of metal and gilt

As regards Monarchal the Mint Secy is of opinion that the only Mahādhipathis who seem to have clearly entitled to this are the heads of Sringeri Dwarkā Kalahasti and Ahobala Mutts that it would be preferable to include it in the exclusively royal honours in which case the question of its Constituent metal will not arise.

Mutts will differ on the lines of the Mint Secy's opinion

D. Pol
23.4.14

(3) (a) The insignias referred to in the Palace letter are:—

1. Sarepana,
2. Aftabgiri and
3. Monarchal

60

(b) As regards 1 & 2, the question for consideration is whether they may be classed among second class superior honours, provided they are entirely made of metal other than gold or silver. On this point the Mint Supdt states that these are usually made of cloth

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Misc File No. 202 of 1914.

Serial No.

with metal handles, that occasionally they are wholly of metal & not, that it would be difficult to decide whether their display should be permitted or not in the latter case, & that it does not seem therefore inexpedient to impose any restrictions.

(2) As regards No: 3 (monarchs), it has to be decided, after enquiry, whether any Mamadhipathi or other persons is privileged to make use of it & if so what metal it is made of. The question does not seem to be free from doubt. The Mugarai Supt does not seem to have made any enquiry as required in para 3 of the Palace letter. He says that the institutions are mentioned, as claiming it, in a secretariat compilation of 1888 & that it is not authentative unless the claims have been disputed, in a Samnad or Rahadari. The only Mathadhipathis entitled to it are said to be the heads of Sringeri, Parakalaswamy & Ahobala Mutts. But in the case of the two latter he says that the Rahadaris do not disclose the enquiries on which

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

61

15071 (5)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Misc File No. 202 of 191 6

Serial No.

and that their right to display the Specific honours may be presume in view of their standing. He is of opinion that it would be prefurable to include this insignia in the list of exclusive royal honours.

(8) 465 As Mr Murray Stuart also says that there is some confusion as to what this insignia exactly is & that he would be useful to have an authoritative description of it, it is a question for orders whether the matter, being one of importance, may not be thoroughly investigated and a definite decision arrived at so that there might be no further doubt regarding the insignia & its use.

(89) 465 Submitted for orders.
D. M. 24/6
Chief Sec) Private Secretary 24/6

(90) 466 A draft letter to the Adviser to H.H. is submitted for approval (as personally instructed)
D. M. 28/6
Chief Secy 466 Issued
D. M. 28/6

(91) 2/7 D. M. 2/7

(92)

(2) A fair copy of draft to H.S. to H.H. kindly send the correct description of motor launch since there is some confusion as to what it is like with a tracing also of ensign
D. M. 28/6

Sikkim Kothi 27.4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1739—GPB—60,000—20-3-19—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

13071

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Pol File No. 202 of 1914.

Serial No.	
39	To Sir J. Thumboochetty Asst Secy to H H the Maharaja & Regent D.O No 24945 dt 5-5-191 Requests to furnish a correct description of the insignia together with a sketch thereof if convenient
40	From the same D.O.No.2148 d/ 30. 6-1919 Forwards extract of D.O. letter from the Darbar Bakshi furnishing the description of the insignia 'Mohurchal' and a pencil sketches of the same; Encd The Mohurchals in the Palace are made of gold and are used by Their Highnesses the Maharaja, the Yuvaraja and the Maharanis when they appear in State only on Special occasions. But reply from the Palace Controller in regard to the issue of the G.O. proposed & the classification of the insignia has not yet

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-19—S.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1914.

Serial No.

been received

5/7 MM
5/7.14.

(1) 4507 forwarded to Mr. Secy
to the Commissioner for favour of
the papers referred to in the
Thanjavur Collr's letter 714/1913
being furnished if available.
A drawing of the Mohanchal
rec'd from Danbur Sardar is sent
herewith.

1/7

4/7/14

Nazimuddin

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

[G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1914

Serial No.

D. 12694/20 Hai.

(94 153) In my note dated 21st April 1919 I have furnished all the information at my disposal. I note that M Marshal is a Persian name and the characteristic feature of this emblem - that it is formed in the feathers of the Bird of Paradise. So far as my knowledge goes an article of this class does not appear to be in possession of any Mahomedan that I am aware of. I have known cases where a Chamar has been known as M Marshal as for instance at the Tirupati temples. x

It seems to me therefore that an emblem of this class may well be reserved for Hindus

(95 54) I have already expressed my opinion as regards the proposed prohibition of the use of precious metals & Royal insignia. Does the proposed limitation extend to gilt and plating of articles of inferior metals? As these latter are valued for their workmanship and not for their intrinsic worth, it seems that the prohibition should also extend to these processes in which case the prohibition will have to include all metal ware as when used it is invariably gilt or plated

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1914.

Serial No.

(98) ~~155~~ A few of these are also placed in certain cases now included among the Superior honours, it is a matter for consideration whether the excluded varieties should not be brought under the IVth class of Royal honours and I do not know if this is intended

(99) ~~156~~ I may also take this opportunity to point out that Makara Thoranas also exist in two varieties viz of metal with figures of makara at the two ends and of cloth with jagged border and trimmed with tassels, though this is included among the Royal honours, the cloth variety is displaced by a large number of Mata Shipahis and if the material of an item of honour is also an element in determining the class to which the item belongs, this article of insignia may also be similarly distinguished

(98) ~~157~~ Recently I have come across a case where a gilt metal chatra was claimed as an emblem of honour. Chitkara never

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(96)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1914.

Serial No.

up to two figures as are suitable and is not included in the last H. is a matter for consideration whether Supt. & Ds. display should not be reserved

(Sd. Tso) Taking all the circumstances into consideration it seems the most convenient to introduce another class between the Right honours and the Superior honours as was originally suggested from which may be called 'Special' and placed in that class may be placed Gilt or plated Surpanch & Afsgiri, Chobdar Makana Khasana Gilt umbrella, Nishan And Nagara on elephant or camel, Dandidhaira And Marshal often ordinary varied (which is hardly distinguishable from Chamara). H. may be in structions that use of these can only be permitted under the special authority of the Sovereign The classification of honours is a matter in which wide difference of opinion may exist but as far as possible the criterion adopted is one of the inference from the rarity or the mere of the use made of them

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

67

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1914.

Serial No.

(100) (59) The above suggestion is made with great deference particularly at this stage of discussion. It is put forward to meet the views of the Dewan Bahadur that there should be some difference between an ordinary emblem and one made of gold or silver

(101) (60) I have also taken the liberty to make a small addition to the list of persons. Chamaran was somehow omitted and this has now been supplied and the word ordinary was added to Sankha under 3rd class Chamarandan so as to distinguish it from Shanvala Sanka. 16. 7. 14

(102) (61) Forwarded with Compliments to the Chief Secretary

Chamarandan
16. 7. 14

(103) (62) The views of the Palace officers are on record. It is not necessary at this stage to consult the Palace authorities again or seek H.H's orders on the Palace side.

(104) (63) In consideration of the views expressed by the Dewan Bahadur, Sunepana, Affaji & Mohanchal maybe included among

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

98

75 62

R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 202 of 1914-15

Serial No.

Royal Honours, if made of Gold or Silver or gilt or ~~silver~~ plated so as to resemble gold or silver; if of other material they may be included among second class honours. The Minute's suggestions re Makarafarma may be adopted.

(1-25) (16-7) The question has been under discussion for a long time & some decision is necessary

(12-7) ~~H.J~~ May be considered in Council

CSY 16/7/19

Dewan)

Amy 18-7-19

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on JUL 18 1919
Reg. No. 513.

(16-7) Yes
week in March
15.7.19

(17-7) Circulate
CSY 16/7/19
Amy 18-7-19

3.5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

C.55

93

Extract from the Proceedings of the 500th *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 7th August *1919.*

(i-6)

6. Subject.--Clause xii of the Schedule.

Use of insignia by Pallegars and other private individuals when going in procession etc.

Opinion of the Council.

(ii)

The draft order as revised in Council may be approved.

Sd. M. Kantaraj Urs,

Dewan of Mysore.

70

"EXTRACT"

Chief Secretary to Government.

WD 1148—GPB—1,000—29-12-18

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C. 55

Pol File No. of 191

Serial No.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may, in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

Circulated to the Members of Council for perusal of (*) His Highness' orders ~~before~~ / ~~after~~ issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure).

C S Balasundaramayya
Chief Secretary to Govt. 14/11/19

Through the Secretary to Government,

....Department.

3210-343
12.11.19

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL Seen
12.11.19

2238
11-11-19

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 12/11

1591
11/11/19

~~TEMPORARY~~ MEMBER OF COUNCIL 11/11

Issue 12
14.11.19
14/11

NOTE.—Contn. sheet

WD 1573—GPB—500—4.3.19

R. S. III-2]

C.55 (64)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 202 of 1916

Serial No.

C.55

(112) To the Assistant Secretary, Branch III, for kindly putting up a Draft ~~Submission~~ Final Note ~~submitting it~~ to the Third Member of Council

C.S.

S.S.

1/9

113 (113) Please put up 29.7.60 & 29.8.6.

D.

114 (114) Submd with a D.7.N. 16/8 and a D.S.N. ~~Please put it typed & also~~ SER 31/8 1/9 Please put up a 29.8.N

D.M. 1/9

OFFICE, SEP 8 1916 1658

115 (115) A typed D.7.N. and a D.S.N are submd

SER 2/9 Asst 2/9 III Member

D.M. 3/9

6 8 3.9.16

Please to be placed

116 (116) Final note signed by the Member to put up

Asst 4.9.16

117 (117) Submd with 29.8.6

D.M. 6/9

Secy Secy

Decision

C.S. 6/9

D.M. 7.9.16

E—Contn. sheet.

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the Third *Member of Council on the subject of* the use of insignia by Pallegars and other private persons other than Mata-dhipathis when they go in procession, *for His Highness' gracious approval.*

9th Sept-1919

Dewan.

73

THIRD
Final Note by the ~~First~~ Member of Council.

---

7)

Subject:— *Use of certain insignia by pallegars and other private persons other than Matadhipathies when they go in procession.*

---

1 8 *In March 1915, the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District solicited orders of Government in regard to the application one Mr.B.D.Madikere Naik, pallegar pensioner, for the grant of a license to go in procession with certain insignia. Though the pallegar could not produce any evidence in support of his claim except the licenses granted to him by Magistrates from 1911, Mr. H.V.Nanjundayya the then First Member of Council was of opinion that there was no objection to the grant of the permission prayed for, so long as the insignia were harmless to others or to the public and were in conformity with mamool; and in his Submission Note dated 27th July 1915 he accordingly recommended the continuance of the issue of the licenses by the District Magistrate for the use of the insignia. This view was not approved by His Highness. It was observed that though the pallegar referred to, is a descendant of the old Chitaldrug family which was in times gone by, ruling that part of the country, his present position and the honour enjoyed by similar pallegars in the State should be ascertained before the prayer is granted and that the officers acted ultra vires in issuing licenses for the use of the honors in question, in the absence of any Government order entitling the use of such honours. His Highness was pleased to direct that with a view to facilitate the decision of the question, a list of pallegars and others who had been permitted by Government to use such honours might be called for and examined and that the question might be thoroughly gone into and sent up again with the recommendations of the Dewan.*

Page 4 of notes

5

1 - do -

2. Accordingly, the Deputy Commissioners of Districts were requested to furnish a statement of persons in their respective Districts who had been permitted to use the marginally noted insignia and the authority under which they were allowed to do so. From the information thus received, 2 lists were got prepared, one showing the insignia used by all persons including Mathadipathis and the other excluding the latter, and the lists were referred to the Mazrai Department for favour of verification and remarks. The Joint Secretary, Muzrai, was of opinion that while in the case of Mathadipathis and other spiritual dignitaries, the honours shown to them - which are not so much to the individuals themselves as to institutions over which they preside for the time being - are rightly allowed to be displayed by the presiding Priests until withdrawn, the honour enjoyed by secular persons should be graduated to the dignity and social standing of the individual concerned and that according to the practice observed in respect of title-holders, such secular honours cannot be considered as hereditable unless otherwise expressly provided. Examining the list of pallegar insignia on this principle, he observed that the claim of Mr.Madikere Naik to the use of Moharchal, Swetachatri and Panchakalasha was extravagant in view of the fact that no other scion of pallegar houses has put forward any claim for the display of honours ~~...~~ and also of the occasion on which Mr.Madikere Naik claimed to use ~~the~~ said honours, viz. the Vijiadasami day, an occasion which has a political significance. He concluded by saying that if Mr.Madikere Naik would drop his pretensions to a Jambusavari, he may be permitted to ride in a palaki and proceed with music for purposes of Ayudhapuja, that as a special case he may be given the right to use Sadachatri - white - and an artagiri and that any other honour would seem to be out of keeping with his status and position.

1. Palaki.
2. Bili chatri.
3. Hasuru chatri.
4. Chamara.
5. Valaga.
6. Phajantri.
7. Palakisaranjan.
8. Rajagondya.
9. Panchakalasha.
10. Haladi chatri.
11. Pancharangi chatri.
12. Bharjari chatri.
13. Aftagiri.
14. Moharchal.

Pp. 29 - 33 of the notes

3. The matter having been placed before the Council meeting held on the 12th July 1917, it was ordered that the Dy. Commissioner should be requested to investigate and report on the propriety of the use of insignia in each of the cases mentioned in List II and that the case of Mr.Madikere Naik might be considered along with that of the 18 others included in that List. The further information received from the Deputy Commissioner was tabulated for consideration (Statement No.III) and after each case was examined in the Secretariat, the matter was brought up again before the Council on 25th June 1918 when a draft Government order was directed to be prepared and submitted.

4. A draft was accordingly prepared classifying the different kinds of honours and laying down the rules to regulate their use; and as instructed in Council on 12th September 1918, the draft was submitted to the-then-Extra Member of Council Mr.P.Raghavendra Rao who after examining it, suggested the desirability of getting the classified list of honours appended to the order, scrutinized by the Palace Controller before submission of the case for the orders of His Highness.

5. In reply to the communication addressed to the Palace authorities the Assistant ~~Private~~ Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore stated that according to the Durbar Bakshi's opinion, Surepana and Aftagiri have to be classified among the second class superior honours provided they are entirely made of metal other than gold or silver, that Moharchal being a Royal honour, cannot be used either by private persons or by Mathadipathis, and that according to the Palace Controller, it (Mahorchal) should be included among second class honours if it is not made of gold. The Muzrai Secretary who was consulted in regard to the revision suggested above, stated that Surepana and Aftagiri are usually made of cloth with metal handles, that occasionally they are wholly of metal and gilt, and that though the noble metals were used

only for royal insignia, a restrictive condition that a Surepana or Aftagiri intended for use by private individuals should be made of inferior metals might often necessitate enquiries which may be regarded as vexatious. As regards Moharchal, he stated that it would be preferable to include it in the list of royal honours. ✓

3/ *The question was considered again at the meeting of the Council held on the 7th August 1919. The draft order which had been previously prepared was revised making it applicable to private persons other than Mathadipathis and classifying all insignia under the two heads of Royal Honours and other special honours; and the Council was of opinion that "the draft order as revised in Council may be approved"*

63 of office Note

Draft for approval 2½) *The opinion of the Council and the draft order proposed to be issued may be graciously approved.*

4th Sept.1919.

MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

76(a)

55

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Draft order on the use of insignia by private persons when they go in procession.

567

Order.

KRW
6/11/19

Ch. Leg
Secy.
8.11.19

77

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 9.2 of 1919

Serial No.	
41	To The Dy Commrs & Dts The D.S.P. & Police The Secy to Govt Secret Debt The Asstt Secys. No 9 11404-14 Dt 19.11.1919 Passes orders in the matter re the use & insignia by private persons other than ~~Monte~~ Matadhipathis
42	To Dr Madavan Nair Person Pr Resort ? i/ Taldrug No 9 11415 Dt 19.11.1919
43	To The Asstt Secy to H.H. No 9 11416 Dt 19.11.1919 Forwards Copy & the above
44	From the Dist Magte, Chitaldrug No. C.J. 497-/18-19 d/ 26/27.11.1919 Requests the return of the enclosures sent with his office letter No. 532 d/ 1st [illegible] Draft forwarding the enclosures is put up. SBR 3/12 APR 8/12 D Secy 15/12 78
45	To The D.C. Chitaldrug Dr. No 9 11488 Dt 2.1.20. [illegible] & Return of enclosures

I.A.T. BANGALORE. 20 JAN 1920

Note—Contn. sheet

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Use of Insignia
by private persons
other than Matadhipathis

The order on the subject
cannot be issued until
instances may be
published in the Gazette
translated & also placed
at the disposal of the
Press, & time be thereby
given for any
objections to be
taken.

D. M.
15.4.

Ag. Secy

D. M.
17.4

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

III-2]

G and Revenue Secretariat.

DSR File No. 20 of 1914.

Serial No.

to please send for orders as desired it may be recorded in writing of the importance of the discussion on the subject

Mr. Sen for submitting the case in person 1st Jan 1917

Brought to-day may be received in 1917

Edited and submitted 5/8/17

1917 EXHIBITION BRANCH. 1920

29

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Bangalore District

1	Rajapur village, Anekal Taluk	Channabasavaraja Swami of a dingayat Matt.	(1) ನಂಜಿ (2) ಪುಟ್ಟಿ (3) ಬಂಡಿ (4) ನಂಜ (5) ಪುಟ್ಟಿಂಜಿ (6) ಬಂಗಾರಪ್ಪಜಿ	
2	Chikmaralwadi, Kaunanialli Taluk.	Sebraji Rao	(1) ನಂಜಿ (2) ಬಂಡಿ (3) ಪುಟ್ಟಿಂಜಿ (4) ನಂಜಿನಂಜಿಂಡ (5) ಚಿಕ್ಕಿದೇವಿ (6) ಅನ್ನಪೂರ್ಣ ಬಿ	It is reported that lines were given to Sebraj Rao's ancestors by Nawab Hyder Ali while retiring from these lines.
3	Swayanya, Nelamangala Taluk.	Swami of the Sarvajanya Matt.	(1) ನಂಜಿ (2) ಪುಟ್ಟಿಜಿ (3) ಬಂಡಿ (4) ನಂಜ (5) ಪುಟ್ಟಿಂಜಿ (6) ನಂಜಿನಂಜಿಂಡ	Information not available Fr. A. V Arnal MM Mng Trte
4	Mylasavi, Nelamangala Taluk.	Swami of the Mylasavi dingayat Matt.	(1) ನಂಜಿ (2) ಪುಟ್ಟಿಜಿ (3) ಬಂಡಿ (4) ನಂಜ (5) ಪುಟ್ಟಿಂಜಿ (6) ನಂಜಿನಂಜಿಂಡ (7) ಅನ್ನಪೂರ್ಣ ಬಿ (8) ಸೀತಮ್ಮ	Reports to have been permissible by [illegible]
5	Kundapur, Channapatna Taluk	Swami of the Vyasaraya Matt.	(1) ನಂಜಿ (2) ಪುಟ್ಟಜಿ (ಪುಟ್ಟಿಂಜಿ) (3) ನಂಜುಜಿ (4) ಬಂಡಿ (5) ಪುಟ್ಟಿಂಜಿ (6) ನಂಜಿಂಡ (ನಂಜಿಜಿಂಡ) (7) ಬಂಡ (8) ಚಿಕ್ಕನಂ (9) ಚಿಕ್ಕಿ, ಪುಟ್ಟಿ, ನಂಜಿಯಿ, ಬಂಡಿ, ಪುಟ್ಟಿ, ಬಂಡಿ (10) ಪುಟ್ಟಂಡ (11) ಕಂಪ (12) ಚಿಕ್ಕಿಜಿದೇವಿ (13) ಪುಟ್ಟಿ ಸೀತಮ್ಮ	Authority not procurable.

37

6.X	Vaishikalli Srinivasapur Taluk	Sripanamurti achar	(1) ಹೊಂಬಳಿಗೆ (2) ಬಂಡಿ	Information not available
7.X	Byrapanahalli Srinivasapur Taluk.	Venkatarao	ಶೂನ್ಯ	Do
8.X	Todikoppa-pan-palli, Srinivasapur Tk	Sarvajna Bhatta-char	(1) ಶೂನ್ಯ. (2) ಭತ್ತ ಬಳಿಗೆ. (3) ಬಂಡಿ ಬ. (4) ಶೂನ್ಯ. (5) ಭತ್ತ ಬಂಡಿ (6) ಹೊಂಬಳಿಗೆ	These are reported to have been given at the time of founding Sri-vaishnavism by Sri Ramanuja char. G.O. permitting these would be coming a few years ago.
9.X	Thambihalli Kolar Taluk	Majjigehalli Matt.	(1) ಶೂನ್ಯ (2) ಭತ್ತ ಬಳಿಗೆ (3) ಹೊಂಬಳಿಗೆ (4) ಬಂಡಿ ಬ (5) ಶೂನ್ಯ (6) ಭತ್ತ ಬಂಡಿ (7) ಶೂನ್ಯ ಹೊಂಬಳಿಗೆ ಬಂಡಿ (8) ಅಸ್ಥಾನ (9) ಹೊಂಬಳಿಗೆ ಬಂಡಿ	These are reported to be in use from a long time. No authority forthcoming.
10.X	Kolar town.	Dasai Setti	(1) ಶೂನ್ಯ (2) ಹೊಂಬಳಿಗೆ (3) ಬಂಡಿ ಬ (4) ಶೂನ್ಯ (5) ಭತ್ತ ಬಂಡಿ	These are being enjoyed from time immemorial. No authority
11.	Belavatta Malur Taluk	Chamaveeranna	(1) ಶೂನ್ಯ (2) ಹೊಂಬಳಿಗೆ (3) ಬಂಡಿ ಬ	81
	Mulbagal.	Sripadaraja swami Matt.	(1) ಶೂನ್ಯ (2) ಭತ್ತ ಬಳಿಗೆ (3) ಬಂಡಿ ಬ (4) ಶೂನ್ಯ (5) ಭತ್ತ ಬಂಡಿ (6) ಶೂನ್ಯ ಹೊಂಬಳಿಗೆ (7) ಅಂಡಿ ಹೊಂಬಳಿ (8) ಅಸ್ಥಾನ (9) ಹೊಂಬಳಿಗೆ ಬಂಡಿ ?	Authority not furnished. Probably to be found in the Govt. records
12	Avani, Mulbagal Taluk.	Vidyasankara Bharati Swamy of the Avani Matt.		

			Tumkur District	
14	Godikere Chiknaikanahalli Tk.	Godikere Malli	(1) ಎಕರೆಬೆಟ್ಟದಾವರೆ (2) ಕಗಾಠಿ (3) ಕಾಂಬಳ್ಳಿ (4) ಮಾಚಿಪತ್ರೆ (5) ಕರ್ಪೂರಹುಲ್ಲಿನೆಲ್ಲ (6) ಕೊಂಸಾಲೆ (7) ಕಳ್ಳಿವಾಲಪ್ಪೆಗಳ (8) ವಂಬಳಿಶಾಖೆ. (9) ಎಕರೆಬಿಳ್ಳಕಳ್ಳಿ ಸೊಳ್ಳಲು ಎಲ್ಲವು ಚಿಳ್ಳುಬರಿ).	Krishnaraja Wodiyar Samad — 8/29-9-1867 G.O. No. 338/Mug. 7 401-10-5 ಸ/2ಕೆ.ಕೆ.ಸಿ.ಸಿ
15	Yelanadu Chiknaikanahalli Tk	Pallādadevaru	(1) ತಂಬಳಿ (2) ಬಳ್ಳಿಬಳ್ಳಿ (3) ಬಾಂಬಳಿ (4) ತಾಲಕ (5) ಬೆಟ್ಟಾಂಬಳಿ (6) ಹಳಲುಬಿದರೆಬಳ್ಳಿ (7) ನಕ್ಷತ್ರಿ (8) ಸುಂಗಳೆಪಾಲ (9) ಸಣ್ಣಕರಬಳ್ಳಿ (10) ಕೆಂಬಳ್ಳಿ	
			Mysore District.	
16	Nanjangud	Ramachandra swamy Mall (Raghavendra Swami matha).	ಒಂಭತ್ತೆಳಲು. (ಕಗಾಠಿ, ಚಿಕ್ಕಳ್ಳಿ, ಮಾಂಬಳ್ಳಿ) ಒಂಭೈದುಬಗೆಯೆಲೆ (ಕರಂಟಾ. ಚಿಕ್ಕಳ್ಳಿ) 3ಕರಿ-ಬಳ್ಳಿ. 2ಪಾಲತೆ- 10ಕೆಂಪಿ 4ಮಿಸ್ಸಾಲು ಕರ್ಪೂರಹುಲ್ಲಿನೆಲ್ಲ. 2ಕಬುತುಳಸಿ 2ಬಿಳಿಸಾಲಿವಳ್ಳಿ 2ಕೆಂಪುಪತ್ರೆ 2ಕಪ್ಪುಪತ್ರೆ 2ಲವಂಪಾನಿ 2ಪ್ರೊಮಾಲ 20ಬಂದೂಕಿನ ಮದ್ದಿನ	H.H. the late Krishnaraja Wodiar Samad. (Rahadari No. 1996 Mug. 3. 640-12-2 ಸ/ 12.5.1915)
17	Hejjasavanakadi	Yallija Mall		ಅಂ
18	Mysore City	Parakala Mall		Requisitions have been supplied during the times of H.H. late Krishnaraja Wodiar
19	do	Yerakallayya	(1) ಎಳ್ಳಬಳ್ಳಿ (2) ಬಂದೂಕ	These are no longer from time immemorial. Indents not available.

20.	Hiyan City	Chunchayya.	(1) ಪೂಜೆ (2) ಪೂಜಾರಿ	Remuneration is not fixed from time to time annual. Authority not available.
21	do	Malladayya	do	
22	do	Badrachalayya	do	do
23	do	Madayya	do	do
24	do	Narayya	(1) ಅರ್ಚಕರು (2) ಪೂಜಾರಿ	do
25	do	C: Hiranappa	ಪೂಜಾರಿ	do
26	Nagamangala	Adi Chunchanagiri Mutt	(1) ಪೂಜೆ (2) ಪೂಜಾರಿ (3) ಪೂಜಕ (4) ಪೂಜಾನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ (5) ಪೂಜಾರಿಕಾರ್ಯ	Authority not forthcoming.
27	Sosale, T. Narasipura Tk.	Sosale Mutt.	(1) ಪೂಜೆ (2) ಪೂಜಾರಿಕೆ (3) ಪೂಜಾರಿ (4) ಪೂಜಕ	Palaci Mirup of Swabhanu Chikka Bahula Panchami

Hassan District

28	Gabbegudda, Alur Sub Tk.	The recluse of Gabbegudda	(1) ಪೂಜಕ (2) ಪೂಜಾರಿಕೆ (3) ಪೂಜಾನಿರ್ವಾಹಕರು	Manual
29	Yelahanka, Belur Tk.	Swamy of the Parakala Mutt	(1) ಪೂಜೆ (2) ಕೈಂಕರ್ಯ	G.O. No. 1074-6-Inam 34-13-28/3-10-1913
30	Sravanabelgola, Channarayapatna Tk.	Swami of the Sravanabelgola Mutt.	(1) ಪೂಜೆ (2) ಪೂಜಾರಿಕೆ (3) ಪೂಜಾರಿತನ (4) ಪೂಜಾ (5) ಪೂಜಕ (6) ಪೂಜಾರಿ (7) ಪೂಜಾನಿರ್ವಾಹಕರು (8) ಪೂಜಾಕೈಂಕರ್ಯ (9) ಪೂಜಾರ್ಚನೆ (10) ಪೂಜಾಕಾರ್ಯ (11) ಪೂಜಾರಿಕಾರ್ಯ (12) ಪೂಜಾಕೈಂಕರ್ಯ (13) ಪೂಜಾಕಾರ್ಯ (14) ಪೂಜಾಕೈಂಕರ್ಯ	not stated.

3.	Hole Narasipur	Swamijalu of the Ullinasi Malli	[illegible]	Rahadari no 1621/Miz. 304-14 dt 19.1.1915
32		Swamijalu of Sriramanuja Brahmanada Malli	[illegible]	[illegible]
33	Sremadana-halli, Arkalgud Taluk	Vijnanamurti, Aremadanahalli Malli	(1) [illegible] (2) [illegible]	Mysore Enclosure no. 53 dt/13-12-1
34	Manjarabad Taluk	A few persons	(1) [illegible] (2) [illegible] (3) [illegible] (4) [illegible] during Ramayya	These are said to be being used since the time of Palegars but there is no written authority
		Kadur District		
35	Hosahalli, Chikmagalur Taluk	Narayanaswami Malli	(1) [illegible] (2) [illegible] (3) [illegible] (4) [illegible] (5) [illegible] (6) [illegible]	Samadhi lost
36	Mullinabara, Chikmagalur Taluk	Phalaraswami Malli	(1) [illegible] (2) [illegible] (3) Pakkay & flower	do
37	Kolagere, Chikmagalur Taluk	Bisagni Malli	(1) [illegible] (2) [illegible] (3) [illegible] (4) [illegible]	No samadhi
38	Allipudi Chikmagalur Taluk	Dalla Tripuli	(1) [illegible] (2) [illegible] (3) [illegible] (4) [illegible] (5) [illegible] (6) [illegible] (7) [illegible]	(1) Samadhi 193 dt/27-4-1882 by H.H. the Maharaja (2) Rahadari no. 2 dt/2-6-1870 by the Chief Comm.

48	Joparam, Shikarpur Tk.	Panchavarnisa Mutt	(1) ಶಾಂತಿ (2) ಶಾಖಾದ (3) ಶಾಂಕ (4) ಭೈರವಿ (5) ಶಾಮಸುಂದರಿ (6) ಸಂಭವಿಸಿಲ್ಲ (7) ಅಜ್ಞಾತ	Manuscript no remarks.
49	Chik Jamiboor, Shikarpur Tk	Viraktā Mutt	(1) ವೀರಭದ್ರ (2) ಶಾಂತಿ (3) ಭೈರವಿ (4) ಶಾಮಸುಂದರಿ (5) ಸಂಭವಿಸಿಲ್ಲ (6) ಅಜ್ಞಾತ	nil
50	Sagar	Lokacharyara Mutt	(1) ಶಾಂತಿ (2) ಶಾಖಾದ (3) ಶಾಂಕ (4) ಅಜ್ಞಾತ	✓ H.H. Krishnarāja Wadiar Rahasani no 170-30 dt 10.6.1812.
581	Anandapur, Sagar Tk.	Jangama Murji Mutt	(1) ಅಭಿನವ (2) ಸಂಭವಿಸಿಲ್ಲ (3) ಶಿವಚಿತ್ತ (4) ಮಹಾಲಿಂಗೇಶ್ವರ (5) ಶಿವಾಚಾರ್ಯ (6) ಸಂಭವಿಸಿಲ್ಲ (7) ಶಿವಸಿದ್ಧ	✓ Decision of the Inam Commr. Shimoga dt 22-1-1875 (Govt. sec. G.C. no. 5847-58 - Inam dt 1897)
52	Ramachandra-pura, Nagar Tk.	Ramachandra-pur Mutt	(1) ಶಾಂತಿ (2) ಶಿವಚಿತ್ತ (3) ಶಾಂಭವ (4) ಸಂಭವಿಸಿಲ್ಲ	✓ (1) Sanad dt 5.8.1836 from H.H. Immadi Krishna-raja Wadiyar. (2) Rahasani dt 5.1.1831
53	Tirthahalli	Bhuvankalli Mutt	(1) ಶಾಂತಿ (2) ಶಿವಚಿತ್ತ (3) ಶಾಂಭವ (4) ಶಾಂಕ (5) ಭೈರವಿ	— H.H. of Rahasani dt 18.1.1812.
54	Mudbagal, Tirthahalli Tk.	Sri Purushottamanand Tirtha Swamigalu	(1) ಶಿವಚಿತ್ತ (2) ಶಾಂಭವ (ಅಭಿನವ) (3) ಶಾಂತಿ ಮಲೆಕುಡಿಯರ ಕುಲದೈವ ಶಿವಚಿತ್ತ	✓ Govt. notification no. 15 53- Inam 57-04 - 5 dt 10.12.10
55	Muttur, Tirthahalli Tk.	Swami of the Tirtha Muttur Mutt	(1) ಶಿವಚಿತ್ತ (2) ಶಾಂಭವ (3) ಶಾಂಕ (4) ಭೈರವಿ (5) ಸಂಭವಿಸಿಲ್ಲ	Sanads, if any, were buried during an encroachment fire. G.O. no. 152 dt 15.7.16 I. M. 7. 352-15-6
56	Pattige, Tirthahalli Tk.	Pattige Mutt		

39	Sringeri, Koppa Taluk	Sringeri Matt	(1) ಶ್ರೀಮಠ (2) ಶಾರದೆ (3) ಶಂಕರಮಠ (4) ವಿದ್ಯಾರಣ್ಯ (5) ಭುವನೇಶ್ವರಿ (6) ಶೃಂಗೇರಿವಾಲ್ (7) ಅಮ್ಮನವರು	Grant no. 221 of 21.12.1863 by H.H.
40	Harihanpur, Koppa Tk	Hannanpur Matt	(1) ಶ್ರೀಮಠ (2) ಶಾರದೆ (3) ವಿದ್ಯಾರಣ್ಯ	H.H.'s Sanad of 2.11.1821
41	Banaspur, Koppa Tk	Tenpura Matt	(1) ಶ್ರೀಮಠ (2) ಶೃಂಗೇರಿ	No sanad
42	Kanabur, Narasimharaja-pura Sub-Tk	Balehalli Simhasana Matt	(1) ಶಂಕರಭಾರತೀಸ್ವಾಮಿ (2) ಸಚ್ಚಿದಾನಂದ (3) ಶಾರದೆ (4) ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರ (5) ಅಮ್ಮನವರು (6) ಶೃಂಗೇರಿವಾಲ್ (7) ವಿದ್ಯಾರಣ್ಯ (8) ಶಾರದೆ (9) ಶೃಂಗೇರಿ	H.H. Krishnaraja Wadiyar's sanad of 6.1.1830
43	Mudigere	Mahanlana Matt	(1) ಶ್ರೀಮಠ (2) ವಿದ್ಯಾರಣ್ಯ	There is a sanad but it is not traced
		Shimoga District.		
44	Kudli Shimoga Taluk	Sri Akshobhya Tirtha Matt	(1) ಶ್ರೀಮಠ (2) ಶಾರದೆ (3) ಅಮ್ಮನವರು (4) ಶೃಂಗೇರಿವಾಲ್	Extract from the old register in the Dewan's Office.
45	Do	Sri Sringeri Matt		
	Kadi Mandi-walli, Shikar-pur Tk	Linganyak Matt	(1) ಶ್ರೀಮಠ (2) ಶಾರದೆ (3) ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ಭುವನೇಶ್ವರಿ (4) ಅಮ್ಮನವರು (5) ಶೃಂಗೇರಿವಾಲ್	Manual. Sanad if any, might have been destroyed accidental fire.
46 & 47	Sagara, Shikarpur Tk	Hale-Linganyak Matt	(1) ಶ್ರೀಮಠ (2) ಶಾರದಾ (3) ಶಂಕರ (4) ಶುಭಂಕರಿ (5) ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ಭುವನೇಶ್ವರಿ (6) ಅಮ್ಮನವರು	Manual. No sanad.

			(23) బంగారు	2
			(24) వెండి నాణెము	2
			(25) వజ్రము	2
			(26) కంచు	2
			(27) రాగి చెంబు	2
			(28) కాంస్య చెంబు	2
			(29) పంచపాత్ర చెంబు	2
			(30) కర్పూరపు చెంబు	2
			(31) పుట్టెడు వడ్లు కొలిచే	50
			(32) పుట్టెడు పప్పు కొలిచే	50
			(33) పందు పుగలు	20
			(34) పట్టు పుట్ట	40
			(35) పట్టు దొంగంచులు	30
			(36) పట్టు దోవతి	40
62	Chikkalaru	Madakari Nak.	(1) కొలం	nil
			(2) పళ్ళకట్టే	
			(3) చుంచుకట్టే	
			(4) బొమ్మ	
			(5) కొలక	
			(6) పుట్టె	
			(7) కొలతీసేవారు	
			(8) రాజు కొలిచేది	
			(9) పంచకట్టు	
			(10) చుట్టి కట్టే	
			(11) పంచకట్టి కట్టే	
			(12) కుచ్చిళ్ళు కట్టే	
			(13) అచ్చు గది	
			(14) పేరంటకాళ్ళు	
63	Sanehalli, Hosadurga Tk.	Sanehalli Malli	(1) మొక్క	G.O. no. 300-9-Imm 83-07 dt 3-8-09
			(2) కంకట్టు	
			(3) చెండు	
			(4) పూజకట్టు	
64	Sirisire Chitradurga Tk.	Old Mallige	(1) కొలం	G.O. no. 101-10-Imm 68-08-2 dt 29.10.08
			(2) మొక్క	
			(3) కంకట్టు	
			(4) చెండు	
			(5) బొమ్మ	
			(6) అచ్చు గది	
			(7) గర్భకట్టు	
			(8) ఎత్తిన పేటలీసరాం	
65	-do-	New Malli	(1) మొక్క	
			(2) కంకట్టు	
			(3) చెండు	
			(4) పూజకట్టు	
66	Hiriyhalli Hosadurga Tk	Krishnamachary Talachiar Malli	(1) కొలం	Some of papers have been produced. The D.C. says that these do not disentitle the claim & suggests that the privilege may be now defined and restricted to one member of the family.
			(2) పళ్ళకట్టే	
			(3) చుంచు	
			(4) పూజ	
			(5) పుట్టె	
			(6) అచ్చు గది	
			(7) పేరంటకాళ్ళు	

5	Rampur, Hamirpur Tk.	Hala Swamijalu	(1) ಕೊಲಿ (2) ಬಳ್ಳಿ (3) ಹುಣಸಿಬೆಳ್ಳಿ (4) ಬಾಯಿಕ (5) ಕಾಲಿಕ (6) ಬೆಂಡಿ (7) ಕೊಲಿ (8) ಹಾಲುಕುಂಬಳಕಾಯಿ (9) ಅನ್ಯ ಗಿಡ (10) ಕೊಂಡುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ	Inamul
6	Gorukere, Hamirpur Tk.	Hala Swamijalu	(1) ಕೊಲಿ (2) ಬಳ್ಳಿ (3) ಬಾಂಬೆ (4) ಕಾಲಿಕ (5) ಬೆಂಡಿ (6) ಅನ್ಯ ಗಿಡ	Inam
7	Hunchhi, Nijar Taluk	Swami of the Jain Math	(1) ಕೊಲಿ (2) ಬಳ್ಳಿ (3) ಹುಣಸಿಬೆಳ್ಳಿ (4) ಕಾಲಿಕ (5) ಕಾಲಿಫ್ಲವರ್ (6) ಬೆಂಡಿ (7) ಹಾಲುಕುಂಬಳಕಾಯಿ (8) ಹಂಬಳೆಬಳ್ಳಿ (9) ಹುಣಸಿಬಳ್ಳಿ (10) ಹಂಬಳಿಬಳ್ಳಿ (11) ಬೆಳಗಿಬಳ್ಳಿ (12) ಬಾಯಿಕ (13) ಅನ್ಯ ಗಿಡ (14) ಕೊಂಡುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ	The authority produced in 1889 before the Tk. Office was not returned.

Chikaldrug District

6	Chikaldrug	Murji Mall.	(1) ಅವರೆಕಳ್ಳು	100	G.O. No. 5347-58- Mung. 7. 58 1907 dt 28.5.07
			(2) ಚಾಂಪಕದಂತಕಳ್ಳಿ	150	
			(3) ಅಲಸಿ	2	
			(4) ಬಾಂಬೆ	4	
			(5) ಬೆಂಡಿ	25	
			(6) ಪಾಂಡಾಪಾಂಡಿ	1	
			(7) ಕೆಂಪುಕಳ್ಳು	1	
			(8) ಮುದ್ದೆಕಡಲೆಕಾಳು	2	
			(9) ಎಳ್ಳಿನಕಾಳು	2	
			(10) ಕೆಳಿಕಿ	2	
			(11) ಕಡಲೆಕಾಳುಬಾಳೆಹಣ್ಣಿನಸೂಪ ಮಾಡುವಕಾಳು	2	
			(12) ಹಂ. ಬೆಂಡಿಕಾಯಿ	2	
			(13) ಹುಣಸಿಬೆಳ್ಳಿ, ಹುಣಸಿ ಅನ್ಯ ಗಿಡ	2	
			(14) ಬೆಂಡಿಕಾಯಿಕೆಂಪುಹೂವು	2	
			(15) ಹುಣಸಿಬೆಳ್ಳಿ	2	
			(16) ಬಾಂಬೆಬಳ್ಳಿ	2	
			(17) ಹಂಬಳಿಬಳ್ಳಿ	1	
			(18) ಸೌತೆಬಳ್ಳಿ	2	
			(19) ಬೆಂಡಿಬೆಳೆಯಲು	2	
			(20) ಕೊಂಡುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ	2	
			(21) ಕೆಂಪುಬಾಳೆ	2	
			(22) ಹಂಬಳಿಕಿಲಿಂಗ್ ಕಾಯಿಂ	2	
			(23) ಕೊಂಡುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ	2	
			(24) ಹುಣಸಿಬಳ್ಳಿ	2	

87

1	Chiknayakanahalli, Kaukaballi Hk	Selvaji Rao	(1) ಕಂದಾ (2) ವಂದಲ (3) ಅಮಲ್ದಾ (4) ಕಂದಾಯ ವಸೂಲ (5) ಕಳಪೆ ಬಾಕಿ (6) ಅಧಿಕ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ	It is reported that these were given to Selvaji Rao ancestors by Nawab Hyder Ali while returning from war in these times
2	Nandibidalli, Srinivasapura Hk	Jagannaramulu	(1) ಹಂದಾಯಿಸಿದ (2) ವಂದಲ	Information not available.
3	Byrapanahalli, Srinivasapura Hk	Venkataroo	ಹಾಗೆ	- Do -
4	Todikoppayanapalli Srinivasapura Hk.	Sarvaya Bhatlu	(1) ಕಂದಾ (2) ಪಂಗಡದ (3) ವಂದಲ (4) ಕಂದಾಯ (5) ಅಮಲ್ದಾ (6) ಸಾಗುವಳಿ	These are reported to have been given at the time of founding Srivaishnavism by Sri Ramanujacharya G.O permitting them were holding a pie few years ago.
5	Kolar town	Basavi Setti	(1) ಕಂದಾ (2) ಪಂಗಡದ (3) ವಂದಲ (4) ಕಂದಾ (5) ಅಮಲ್ದಾ	These are being enjoyed from time immemorial. No authority.
6	Belavu, Melur Taluk	Chamaveraiya	(1) ಕಂದಾ (2) [illegible] (3) ವಂದಲ	- Do
7	Yelanadu, Chikneekanahalli Hk	Pattadevaru	(1) ಕಂದಾಯ (2) ಪಂಗಡದ (3) ಕಂದಾಯ (4) ಕಂದಾ (5) ಅಮಲ್ದಾ (6) ಕಳಪೆ ಬಾಕಿ (7) ಕಂದಾ. (8) ಸಾಗುವಳಿ (9) ಸಾಗುವಳಿ (10) ಕಂದಾ	No authority
8	Mysore Country	Yerakattayya	(1) ಪಂಗಡದ (2) ವಂದಲ	- These are in use from time immemorial - No authority available
9	Do	Chunchayya	(1) ಪಂಗಡದ (2) ವಂದಲ	Do
10	Do	Mallidayya	Do	Do
11	Do	Badrik Jogayya	Do	Do

12	Mysore City	Maidayya	(1) ಪುಟ್ಟಯ್ಯ (2) ಮಾದಪ್ಪ	These are said to be in use from time immemorial Authority not available.
13	Do	Boraiyya	(1) ಚಿಕ್ಕಪುಟ್ಟಯ್ಯ (2) ಮಾದಪ್ಪ	
14	Do	C. Devaraj Urs	ಪಾಲಿಕೆ	Do
15	Gubbegudda, Alur Sub Dt.	The reclusive of Gubbegudda.	(1) ಪಾಲಕ (2) ಪೂಜಾರಿ (3) ಮತ್ತಿತರ ಸಹಾಯಕರು	Manual
16	Manjarabad Taluk.	A few persons.	(1) ಕಿರಿಯಪುಟ್ಟಯ್ಯ (2) ಹಿರಿಯಪುಟ್ಟಯ್ಯ (3) ಚಿಕ್ಕಪುಟ್ಟಯ್ಯ (4) ಪಾಲಕರ ಪತ್ನಿ during marriage	These are said to be in use since time immemorial Palegaras but there is no written authority.
X 17	Rampur, Hunsur Taluk.	Hala Swamijee	(1) ಪಾಲಿಕೆ (2) ಪುಟ್ಟಯ್ಯ (3) ಚಿಕ್ಕಪುಟ್ಟಯ್ಯ (4) ಭೈರಪ್ಪ (5) ಪಾಲಕ (6) ಪುಟ್ಟಮಂಡಿ (7) ಪಾಲಿಕೆ (8) ಪಾಪಕೊಂಡಾಪ್ಪ (9) ಅಪ್ಪಾಜಿಗಿರಿ (10) ಪೂಜಾರಿ ಪಾಲಕ	Manual
18	Govindkere. Hunsur Taluk	Hala Swamijee	(1) ಪಾಲಿಕೆ (2) ಪುಟ್ಟಯ್ಯ (3) ಮಾದಪ್ಪ (4) ಪಾಲಕ (5) ಪುಟ್ಟಮಂಡಿ (6) ಅಪ್ಪಾಜಿಗಿರಿ	Nil
19	Chikal Drug Cross	Medikan Naik	(1) ಪಾಲಿಕೆ (2) ಪುಟ್ಟಯ್ಯ (3) ಚಿಕ್ಕಪುಟ್ಟಯ್ಯ (4) ಮಾದಪ್ಪ (5) ಪಾಲಕ (6) ಪುಟ್ಟಮಂಡಿ (7) ಪಾಲಿಕೆಪಾಪಕೊಂಡಾಪ್ಪ (8) ಪಾಪಕೊಂಡಾಪ್ಪ (9) ಪಾಲಿಕೆಪಾಪಕೊಂಡಾಪ್ಪ (10) ಪುಟ್ಟಗಿರಿ (11) ಪಾಲಿಕೆಪಾಪಕೊಂಡಾಪ್ಪ (12) ಭೈರಪ್ಪ (13) ಅಪ್ಪಾಜಿ. (14) ಪೂಜಾರಿ ಪಾಲಿಕೆ	Nil

X. These the Muz. Supdt excludes from the category of ordinary Servian persons.

Statement IV

Statement showing persons using sinnjiyas and the previous recommendations of Dy. Comrs regarding them.

Sl.No.	Place	Name &c. of persons using sinnjiyas	Particulars of sinnjiyas	Dy. Comrs remarks & recommendations	Remarks.
1.	Chikmaralpudi, Kankanhalli Tk.	Selvaji Rao.	1. Foot 2. Flag 3. Horse 4. Footmen 5. Elephant 6. Horse	Mr. Selvaji Rao Pandit has given a statement that the members of his family were granted permission to use certain sinnjiyas by H.H. the late Sri Krishnaraja Wadiar III in recognition of their military service. In support of this he has produced a printed pamphlet. Four of the sinnjiyas referred to are at present not with him. He is using as a substitute a Palki.	No reliable evidence to show the grant of sinnjiyas has been produced. It is a matter for consideration how far the printed pamphlet may be relied on. Further, there is no evidence that the honors conferred were hereditary
2.	Nanjanagudu, Srinivasapura Tk.	Sugriva Nanjunda Pandit.	1. Elephant 2. Horse	It is found that Sugriva Nanjunda Pandit possesses a Nirup of 1821 granted by H.H. the late Krishnaraja Wadiar III which permits the use of the sinnjiyas referred to.	
3.	Byrapanahalli, Srinivasapura Tk.	Venkatareddi	Foot	He has produced a Nirup given to his ancestor by Kadarkhan palanquin	The Nirup does not authorise hereditary

Vijayadasami Dy

Sl. no	Place	Name of person using insignia	Particulars of insignia.	Dy. Comm's remarks & recommendations	Remarks
				-palle Narayana, Pallepar. & Tadipal, in the year Sukla permitting him to go in procession in a palanquin and on horse back. But the Nirup does not seem to have been recognised by Govt. The Reddi is stated to have four other Nirups in Parsi & Hindi translation of which have been promised.	
4.	Todi Kapparapalle Srinivasa-pur Tk.	Sarvapnabha-Chachan.	1. 5°0.8 2. 23°45.8 3. 5°25.8 4. 3°0.8 5. 23.8.8 6. 5.0.6.8.8	Bhattachan has stated that the documents authorising the use of the insignias referred to were lost in an accidental fire and that the insignias have been in use for 800 years.	X
5.	Kolan Cōsu.	Basai Setty.	1. 5°0.8. 2. 20.25.45.8 3. 5°25.8 4. 3°0.8 5. 23.0.8	There is no evidence to authorise the use Basai Setty at this that he had heard that the insignias were granted	

Sl. no	Place	Name &c. of persons using insignias	Particulars of insignias	By Comm'r remarks & recommendations	Remarks.
				Co has enacted by H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore and that the insignias can be in his possession 10 years a/o after his father's death. The Amildar thinks that the present Setti does not deserve the privilege	
6.	Belkavi, Malur Tk.	Chamaveeraya	1. ಪಂಜಿ 2. ಪಂಜಿವಳ್ಳಿ 3. ಪಂಜಿಕ	Chamaveeriya states that the documents authorising the use of them were lost when a laccity occurred in the Mutt about 50 years a/o and that the insignias have been in use for the last 8 yrs. His Amildar states that the Mutt is an ancient one & deserves the insignias	G3
	Eglanadu, Chiknaikanahalli Tk.	Pallada Devaru	1. ಪಂಜಿ 2. ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ 3. ಪಂಜಿಕಟ್ಟಿ 4. ಪಂಜಿಕ 5. ಪಚ್ಚಿಂಜಿ 6. ಕಳಸ ಪಂಜಿಗಳು 7. ಪಂಜಿಗಳು 8. ಪಂಜಿಕಳು 9. ಕಳಸಗಳು 10 ಪಂಜಿಗಳು	The Devaru is not able to establish his title for the use of the mark as an ancient & pleased manual. In their	X

Sl.no	Place	Name of person using insignias.	Particulars of insignias.	By Comm's remarks & recommendation	Remarks.
				circumstances he may be prohibited from using the insignias until he establishes his title to use them.	
8	Mysore City.	Eyrakallaiya.	ಛತ್ರ ಚಾಮರ 2. ಪಾದುಕೆ		
9.	- do -	Chunchaiya.	- do -		The information regarding these persons was taken from the statement furnished by the D.C. himself (Vide letter no. 372 D/17.1.16) JLG
10.	- do -	Mathadaiya	- do -		
11.	- do -	Badmal Jogiya.	- do -	The whereabouts of these persons are not known.	
12.	- do -	Madaiya.	- do -		
13.	- do -	Boraiya.	1. ಕೆಂಪುಚತ್ರ 2. ಪಾದುಕೆ		
14.	- do -	C. Devaraj Urs	ಪಾದ		
15.	Gube Gudda, Alur Sub Tk	The Recluse of Gube Gudda.	1. ಪಾದುಕೆ 2. ಕೆಂಪುಚತ್ರ 3. ಚಿನ್ನದ ಪಾದುಕೆ	The Manager of the temple produces a sanad authorising the use of the Palki. From local enquiry it is learnt that not only the insignias referred to but ಚಿನ್ನದ ಪಾದುಕೆ are also being used. He may be allowed to use the insignias as he used to.	JLR
16.	Manjarabad Tk.	A few persons.	1. ಪಾದುಕೆ 2. ಚಿನ್ನದ ಪಾದುಕೆ 3. ಕೆಂಪುಚತ್ರ	These insignias are ordinary ones	

Sl.no	Place	Name &c. of person using inscriptions.	Particulars of inscriptions.	Dy. Comrs remarks & recommendation	Remarks.
			4. ಶಾಸನ ಪ.	and were conferred	
			ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು.	by the Palegar of	
				Ippur. These may be	
				allowed to continue.	
17.	Kampur, Honnali Tk.	Halaswami-Galu	1. ಶಾ.ಪ 2. ಪತ್ರಗಳ್ಳಿ 3. ಬಿನ್ನಪಗಳ್ಳಿ 4. ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪನೆ 5. ಶಾಸನ 6. ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ 7. ಶಾಸನ 8. ಶಾಸನಗಳ್ಳಿ 9. ಅಜ್ಞಾಪನೆ 10. ಕಟ್ಟಳೆಗಳು	The Swamii has	
				been using not only	
				these inscriptions but	
				also ಪತ್ರಗಳು, ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪನೆ	
				and ಕಟ್ಟಳೆಗಳು	
				ಗಳ್ಳಿ. He has no sanad	
				authorising the use	
				of these words. The	
				Mall is a bungalow	
				ing one and of some	
				importance and the	
				swamii seems to	
				have been enjoying	
				them for over 100 years	
				Definite orders are	
				however necessary	
18.	Gowrahood, Honnali Tk.	Halaswami-Galu.	1. ಶಾಪ 2. ಪತ್ರಗಳ್ಳಿ 3. ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪನೆ 4 ಶಾಸನ 5. ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ 6. ಅಜ್ಞಾಪನೆ	The Mall is one	
				of recent growth	
				the swamii is a family	
				man. The inscriptions	
				were introduced	
				during the Swamii's	
				life time, his prede-	
				cessors were not using	
				them, they are being used	
				only during Swamiis	
				time. There is some dispute	

Sl. no.	Place	Name &c. of person using insignias	Particulars of insignias	Dy. Commr's remarks & recommendation	Remarks.
				about this and the matter is subjudice. The use of insignias in this Mall should be governed by some rule or authority.	
19.	Chitaldrug Town.	Madakari Naik	1. ಕೊಡೆ 2. ಬಾಜಿಕಟ್ಟಿ 3. ಕೊಂಬುಕಟ್ಟಿ 4. ಪಂಚಕಲಶ 5. ಪಂಜಿ 6. ಪಾಣಿಪಟ್ಟಿ 7. ಪೆಂಡಿಪಟ್ಟಿಕಟ್ಟಿ 8. ಪಾಣಿಪಟ್ಟಿಕಟ್ಟಿ 9. ಪಂಜಿಕಟ್ಟಿ 10. ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ 11. ಪಂಜಿಕೊಂಡಿಯ 12. ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿಕೊಂಡಿಯ 13. ಪಾಣಿ 14. ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿಪಾಣಿ	Panchakalasa, Suvalchatri, &c are symbolic of political authority and should be used only under express warrant of the sovereign. Madakari Naik has not been able to produce any G.O. authorising the use of these except a few licenses granted by the local magistracy. No doubt Mr. Naik belongs to a Palegar family who ruled the country some 150 or 200 years ago. But the family can have no pretention to political authority at present. This	

Sl.no.	Place	Name &c of persons using insignias.	Particulars of insignias.	Dy. Comrs remarks & recommendation	Remarks.
				obviously undesirable and even mischievous that such persons should be allowed to use the insignias pertaining to Royalty which, in the eyes of the common people, invest them with an importance and influence which their real status in life does not at all warrant. The D.C. is therefore not in favour of permitting Nadakari Vaik to use the insignias.	

97

Name	Place	Particulars of Enquiries	
		Pertaining to royalty	Collins
1. Selvaji Rao.	Chikmaralvadi, Kantanhalli Tk.		ಶಿಂ ಬಾಬು ಬಿಜಿಂತಿ ಶಿಂತಿಸಾಂಬಿ ಕುಳಿಬಿಟ್ಟಿ ಅಪ್ಪಾಜಿ
2. Sujanamurlachar.	Nanubihalli, Srinivasapur Tk.		ಶಿಂಪಟ್ಟಿ ಬಾಬು.
3. Venkatareddi.	Byrajanahalli, Srinivasapur Tk		ಶಿಂ
4. Sarvajna bhattachar.	Tobi Koppajanahalli, Srinivasapur Tk.		ಶಿಂ ಬಳಿಟ್ಟಿ ಬಾಬು ಶಾಲಗ ಬಿಜಿಂತಿ ಸಂಬಳಿಗ
5. Adasi Setti.	Kolar town.		ಶಿಂ ಶಿಂಪಟ್ಟಿ ಬಾಬು ಶಾಲಗ ಬಿಜಿಂತಿ
6. Chamaveraiya.	Belavi, Malur Tk.		ಶಿಂ ಶಿಂಪಟ್ಟಿ ಬಾಬು
7. Pallada devaru.	Yelaneedu, Chik-naikanahalli Tk.	ಕುಲಬಿದ್ದವರಿಗೆ	ಶಿಂ ಬಳಿಟ್ಟಿ ಬಾಬು ಶಾಲಗ ಬಿಜಿಂತಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಕಾಂಸ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಕಾಂಸ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಕಾಂಸ

Name	Place	Particulars of Inscription	
		Pertaining to royalty	Others
14. C. Devaraj Urs.	Mysore City		ಶಾಂತಿ
15. The Recluse of Gulbergoda.	Gulbergoda, Alur Sub Tk.		ಪಾಂಡ್ಯ ಪಂಚಪಟ್ಟಿ ~~ಚೋಳ~~ ~~ಪಾಂಡ್ಯ~~ ಅತ್ತಿಮಬ್ಬೆ ನಾಗಾಕ.
16. A few persons.	Manjarabad Tk		ಪಂಚಪಟ್ಟಿ ಕಳಭಪಟ್ಟಿ ಕದಂಬಪಟ್ಟಿ ಪಾಂಡ್ಯ
17. Halaswamy-galu.	Rampur, Honnali Tk		ಶಾಂತಿ ಬಳಪಟ್ಟಿ ಕದಂಬಪಟ್ಟಿ ಬಂಗ ಪಾಂಡ್ಯ ಬಣಂಜಿ ಶಾಂತಿ ಶಾಬಕಬಾಂಬೆ ಅಜ್ಜಿಕಾ ಕೊಂಡಬಂಡ
18. Halaswamijalu.	Govinakere, Honnali Tk.		ಶಾಂತಿ ಬಳಪಟ್ಟಿ ಬಂಗ ಪಾಂಡ್ಯ ಬಣಂಜಿ ಅಜ್ಜಿಕಾ
19. Chikdong town.	Masakari Naik		ಶಾಂತಿ ಬಳಪಟ್ಟಿ ~~ಕದಂಬಪಟ್ಟಿ~~ ಬಂಗ ಪಾಂಡ್ಯ ಬಣಂಜಿ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶಬಾಂ ಶಾಬಕಬಾಂಬೆ ಪಂಚಪಟ್ಟಿ ಕಳಭಪಟ್ಟಿ ಪಂಚಬಂಗಿಪಟ್ಟಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕದೇವಪಟ್ಟಿ ಅಜ್ಜಿಕಾ ಕೊಂಡಬಂಡ

Office of the District Magistrate,

Chitaldrug District.

Dated Chitaldrug, 23rd March 1915.

(1)

From

V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer Esquire M.A.,
Deputy Commissioner
T 3/02 of District Magistrate,

DIARY No. 1549

Chitaldrug.



To

The Honble Narasinga Row Esquire B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments, (Imgl.)

Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that one B. D. Medikere Nail a Pallegar Pensioner at Chitaldrug applied to me for the grant of a license to go in procession on the day following the Ugadi in a palanquin with other insignias. The application was referred to the Amildar Magistrate for disposal or report if necessary. As the application was received by him just on the day on which the procession had to be conducted, he wanted three days time to complete the local enquiry by the Taluk Police. He was then directed to dispose of the question as the license was issued by him last year.

2. The Amildar Magistrate then issued a license to go in procession as per mamul with the unobjectionable insignias. The applicant refused to take the license and again presented an application to this Court, stating that the insignias allowed to be used by him in the previous years might be ordered to be specified in the license. In the licenses granted by the Treasury Assistant Commissioner and the Amildar Magistrates Magistrates in previous years all the insignias claimed by the applicant have been mentioned. But in the license granted by the then District Magistrate in the year 1910 and which is the only one available at present in this office, only the marginally noted insignias have been specified.

*
1
3
4
5
6

7II / No. 1613

1914-7

From The Deputy Commissioner
& District Magistrate
Chitaldrug District.

To The Secretary to Government
General & Revenue Departments
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 24-3-1915 Diary No. J 3102

Received

General No. 431

No.

Enclosure No



Stating that one
B. D. Medikeri Naik - a pulle
pensioner at Chitaldrug app
to me for the grant of a licens
to go in procession in a palang
with other insignias and hence
requesting that the orders o
Government may kindly
obtained as regards the in
signias to be used by him
on such occasions

In this connection it is also to be noted that out of the insignias claimed by the applicant Panchakalasa and Swetachatri are reserved for the use of Royalty(Vide G.O.No.5547-58/Muz.F. 58 of 1897 dated 28th May 1907).

3. Pending the receipt of orders of Government on the subject, I have permitted the applicant to use only such insignias as were mentioned in the license granted ir the year 1910 by the then District Magistrate. There is no authority forth coming in this office to show the exact number of insignias that may be allowed to be used by the applicant nor did he produce any document or sanad in support of his claim. He has got with him only a few licenses granted by the local Magistrates(i,e, Treasury Assistant Commissioner Magistrates and Amildar Magistrates) for the past few years.

4. In these circumstances and especially as the Pallegar Pensioner applies ordinarily for such licenses twice in the year, i,e, after Ugadi and Dasara Holidays, I beg that the orders of Government may kindly be obtained as regards the insignias to be used by him on such occasions.

I have the honor to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner

& District Magistrate,

Chitaldrug District.

S.V.

(3)+ (2)

Police

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 202-14

Serial No. 2

31/3

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J 3343

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

To

The Dy. Coner., Chilaw Dvg. Dt.

Date of issue 1/4/1915.

Sir

Signed by M.V.Q.Sreenivasa Rao

With reference to your letter no 431 dated the 24th March 1915 regarding the weapon to be used by one B.A. Meerikku Naik, I am directed to request that he may be called upon to produce all the documents or other authority he may have in support of his claim and that they may be submitted with your further comments (over) I have &c.

for 1

SUBJECT.

For Secretary

31/3

31.3.15

182

1/4/15

(4)

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District.

No 535 Dated Chitaldrug, 10th May 1915.

From

V: R. Thyagaraja Iyer Esquire M.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District.

To

D. M. Narasinga Row Esquire B.A.,B.L.,

Offg: Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

B a n g a l o r e.

S I R,

With reference to your letter No.J.3343/Pol.202-14-2 dated 1st April 1915, re the submission of all documents that may be produced by B.D.Medikere Naik in support of his claim, I have the honor to forward herewith in original, the petition presented to me on 28th April 1915 by the said Medikere Naik together with the copies of the marginally noted documents enclosed thereto and which are stated to be the only available references with him at present.

1. Copy of the license issued by the Treasury Assistant Commissioner and 2nd Class Magistrate Chitaldrug on 31st March 1911.
2. ----Do--------- by the ----Do----- on 29th September 11.
3. --Do-- by the Try.Asst: Comr. and 1st Class Magistrate Chitaldrug on 9th October 1912.
4. ---Do--- Do--- and 2nd Class Magistrate, Chitaldrug, on 8th April 13.
5. --Do-- by the Amildar and 3rd Class Magistrate on 4th October 1913.
6. --Do-- by the Amildar and 2nd Class Magistrate,Chitaldrug, on 22nd September 1914.
7. --Do-- issued to one Parasuramappa Naik on 29th September 1911 by the Treasury Assistant Commissioner and 2nd Class Magistrate.
8. Copy of the "Sreemuka" issued by His Holiness the Jagadguru of Sringeri Mutt in 1908.

2. In his statement given before me on the 28th April 1915, Medikere Naik says that he has no other documents with him than the ones produced with the above petition and adds that as he used to present to the respective courts the old licenses whenever he applied for the grant of a fresh license the same might be found in the respective courts if search be made.

3. It is learnt that, according to the practice obtaining

GPB—100,000—29-7-14

From

The Deputy Commissione
Chitaldrug Distric

To

The Secretary to Government
General & Revenue Departme
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 12th May 1915

DIARY No. J 3639

Received

General No. 535

No.

19/410 15

SECTION Police

Enclosure No. 1 File

FILE No. 202-14

SERIAL No.

Forwarding ~~the Original~~ this
the petition presented to ~~the~~
on 28th April 1915 by ~~the~~
B.D.
~~Said~~ Medikeri Naik togeth
with the copies of the documen
in support of his claim.

(5)

In the several Courts, the old licenses are invariably returned to the party when fresh licenses are issued and none were forthcoming during the search.

4. Medikere Naik claims to be permitted to go in procession with the insignias referred to in his petition enclosed hereto, as his forefathers belonged to the Pallegar family and had the privilege of using all the insignias that are now being used by the members of the Royal family and Gurus of different Mutts.

I have the honor to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,

For Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District.

S.V.

1024

×(6) 14) Police

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 202-11

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to

205

No. J 4002-1-11

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

To

The Dy Comr Chittal Dug DC

Date of issue 21/5/15

Signed by M. G. Srinivasa Iyengar

Correspondence regarding letter No. 535 dated the 18th May 1915 in the matter of the suspension granted by Mr Wark

With reference to the above has the honour to request that the licence of 1910 referred to in para 2

over.

SUBJECT.

105

VC 21/5/15

of his letter no. 431 dated the
23rd March 1915 may be
forwarded at an early date.

By Order

For Secretary

P.L.
20.5.15

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8-8-11.

(2) (57)

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

20578 Dated Chitaldrug, 29th May 1915.

From

V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer Esquire M.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug.



To

D. M. Narasinga Row Esquire B.A.,B.L.,
Offg: Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

S I R,

I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the license issued by the District Magistrate in 1910 to B. D. Medikere Naik, as requested in your docket No.J.4002/Pol.202-14-4 dated 21st May 1915.

I have the honor to be,
S I R,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

S.V.

165

106

GPB—100,000—29-7-14 297

1914-15

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

To

The Secretary to Government.
General & Revenue Departments.
Bangalore

Dated 29th May 1915.

Despatched 29th May 1915

Received

General No. 558
No.

Enclosure No. one

Forwarding a copy of the license issued by the District Magistrate in 1910 to B.D. Medikeri Naik as requested in your docket No. G 4002/pol 202-14-4 dated 21st May 1915.

(8)



Palankeen
with umbrella
green do
Chamars
Valaya - Pipe
Drum. with Cultivators
procession of 2 men

Copy

Asst Magistrate
Chittoor Dist

(S. d) M. Chengiah Chetty
Dist. Magistrate
Chittoor Dist.

1910 ఏప్రిల్ 1 తేదీ

107

2 2418 +(9.) 5)

G.R.S. 26]

SUBJECT..... Calling for certain information
..... Regarding the use of certain
..... weapons.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

Section Police
File No. 202-16
Serial No. 6

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 191

No. J 905–12.2

Date of issue 28.8.1915.

To
The Dy. Comrs. of Dts

Signed by Mr. G. Sreenivasa Iyer

Has the honor to request him to be at so good as to furnish a nominal statement of persons in his district who have been permitted to use the marginally noted weapons together with the information as to the authority under which they are so permitted. 125

By Order.
28/8
for Secy. to Govt.

1. ಫಿರಂಗಿ 10 Guns
2. ಬಂಧೂಕುಗಳು 2
3. ಮದ್ದುಗುಂಡುಗಳು
4. ಖಡ್ಗ
5. ಕಠಾರಿ
6. ಬಾಣಗಳು
7. ಕಠಾರಿ ಸಹಿತವಾದ
8. ಕಠಾರಿ ಸಹಿತವಾದ ಕೈ
9. ಬಂದೂಕುಗಳು
10. ಮದ್ದುಗುಂಡುಗಳು
11. ಬಂದೂಕುಗಳಿಗೆ
12. ಕೈ ಮದ್ದುಗುಂಡುಗಳಿಗೆ
13. ಆಯುಧಗಳು
14. ಬಂದೂಕುಗಳಿಗೆ

R.G. 25.8.15
2418

15/10/15

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District,

Dated Chikmagalur, 28th September 1915.

From,

K. Krishna Iyer. Esquire.,

Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District.



To,

D. M. Narasinga Rao, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, General Dept.

B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. J. 905-12/Police 202-14-6 dated 28th August 1915, I have the honor to submit herewith a statement of persons who have been permitted to use certain insignias noted ---- against each, together with the authority under which they are so permitted, as called for in the above. The list has been prepared on the available references in this office.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District.

C.M.K.

GPB—50,000—30-7-15

From 1915-16

The Deputy Com
Kaduna Div

To 1/10

The Secretary to Govt
General Dept

Dated 28th Sept 1915

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No. /

Submitting a statement of persons permitted to use certain magazines

Statement showing persons who have been permitted
which they are so permitted in the Kadur District — fro

Taluk	Names of persons or Matts	Names of Insignias authorizedly used	Date of Sa
Chikmagalur	Nirvanaswami Matt. (Hosahalli village) (Kasaba Magani)	(ಪಿಂಡಿಪಲಾಂಚನ) ಪಾಲಕಿ, ಚಾಮರ. ಹಂಪಕಿಕೊಳೆ ಬಳಿಪಕ್ಕಿ, ಛತ್ರಿಗಳಿ, ಕೆರದಿ.	The Agent sa
— Do —	Phalanaswami Matt (Muttinapura Village)	ಪಾಲಕಿ, ಚಾಮರ- Palkhi, Flowers (ಹೂವು)	
— Do —	Bisagni Matt (Kolagave village)	ಪಿಂಡಿಪಲಾಂಚನಕರಿ ಪಾಲಕಿ, ಬಳಿಪಕ್ಕಿ ಪಾಮರ, ಹಂಪಕಿಕೊಳೆ.	That these insi Sannads relat
— Do —	Dattatripeeta (Athigundi, Jagar Magani)	ಪಾಲಕಿ. 2. ಬಳಿಪಕ್ಕಿ. ಕಿರಿಬಳಿಪಕ್ಕಿ ಚಾಮರ, ಹಂಪಕಿಕೊಳೆ, ಕಂಚಿಕಳಸ ಸಹಿತ ಕರಿ.	(1) Sannad gra the Guru to trave (2) Rahadari from about with 2 Palakee
Koppa	Sringeri Matt.	16ಕ್ಕಿ ಪಾಲಕಿ, ಬಳಿಪಕ್ಕಿ, ಕಂಚಿಕಳಸ. ಚಾಮರ, ಛತ್ರಿಪಕ್ಕಿ, ಹಂಪಕಿಕೊಳೆ ಕೆರದಿ.	Order No. 221 da
— Do —	Harihapur Matt	ಪಾಲಕಿ, ಬಳಿಪಕ್ಕಿ, ಚಾಮರ.	Rahadari grant
— Do —	Jingina Matt (Chandigadi)	ಪಾಲಕಿ, ಕೈಲಿಕಪಕ್ಕಿ.	These insignias a
Narasimha-rajapura Sub-Taluk.	Balehally — Simhasana Matt (Kanabur)	ಪಿಂಡಿಪಲಾಂಚನಪಾಲಕಿ ಕಂಚಿ ಕಳಸ ಸಹಿತ ಬಳಿಪಕ್ಕಿ, ಕಿರಿಬಳಿಪಕ್ಕಿ, ಛತ್ರಿಗಳಿ, ಕೆರದಿ, ಹಂಪಕಿಕೊಳೆ. ಕೈಲಿಕಪಕ್ಕಿ, ಕಂಚಿಕಳಸ ಸಹಿತ ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಚಾಮರ, ಛತ್ರಿಗಳಿ	The Sannad g the 6th Janua
Mudigere	Mahantana Matt	ಪಾಲಕಿ, ಚಾಮರ	The Agent Si Commissioner Kept the San

10

to use the insignias noted below and authority
based in accordance with General Secretary's No. J. 905-12 dated 28.8.14
Police 202-14-6

---

mad from Maharaja or Rahadary from Maharaja
or from Chief Commissioner.

---

ys that the Sannads etc, relating to these have been lost.

——— Do ———

gnias are now used. The Agent Puttaswamy says that there are no
ing to this
ued by His Highness the Maharaja on the 27th April 1882. No. 293 permit
l about with 2 Palakies, 4 Carts, 2 horses and 20 servants.
the Chief Commissioner No. 2 dated 22nd April 1870 allowing the Guru to travel
s, 10 Carts, 2 horses and 30 servants.
ted 21. 12. 1863 from His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

ed by His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore on the 2nd November 1821.
e now used, but there are no Sannads relating to this

anted by His Highness the Maharaja Krishnaraja Wadiyar, on
y 1830.

ddalingappa states that there is a Sannad from the
but as his father is dead, he does not know where he has
ad etc.

Hon. Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

GPE—100,000—29-7-14

620

DAR

1916

MISC

FILE No.

SERIAL No.

From Police 1915-16 (F)
11/10

The District Magistrate
Chitaldrug District

To

The Secretary to Government
General Department.
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 5-45 oct. 1915

Received

General No. 174
No.

Enclosure No.

Requesting the favor of early orders of Government as regards the insignias to be used by pallegar B. D. Medakeri Naik of Chitaldrug on the occasion of going in procession in a palanquin during the Dasara & the Ugadi feasts —

AV

111

(7

Office of the District Magistrate,

Chitaldrug District.

No.174 Dated Chitaldrug, 5th October 1915.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B.A.,

District Magistrate,

Chitaldrug.

DIARY No. J 1043

19/5-10 16

SECTION Police

FILE No. 202-14

SERIAL No. 8

To

D. M. Narasinga Rao Esquire B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

General Department, Bangalore.

S I R,

I have the honor to invite reference to this office letters No. 431 and 535 dated 24th March 1915 and 12th May 1915 respectively soliciting orders of Government as regards the insignias to be used by Pallegar B. D. Medikere Naik of Chitaldrug on the occasion of going in procession in a palanquin during the Dasara and the Ugadi feasts. B. D. Medikere Naik has applied to me now for the grant of a license to him to go in procession with the marginally noted insignias during the ensuing Dasara and I have considered it desirable to refuse the license applied for pending the receipt of orders of Government in the matter.

1. ಪಂಚಕಲಶ
2. ಪಂಚಕಲಶಕಂಬಾಂ
3. ಶಾಲಿಂಗಿನ
4. ಕೆಂಪುಕೊಡಿ
5. ಪಚ್ಚಕೊಡಿ
6. ಹಳದಿಕೊಡಿ
7. ಕೆಂಪುಬಂಗಾರಕೊಡಿ
8. ಚಾಮರ
9. ಆಪ್ತಗಿರಿ
10. ಮೊಹರ್ಚಲ್
11. ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ ಸಹ
12. ಮೊಹರ್ಚಲ್

2. It seems to me that such insignias as Panchakalasa, and Swethchatri, Rajagondya, Chamara, Aptagiri, Moharchal &c., are symbolic of political authority vesting in the Ruling family and should only be appropriately used by the soverign or by persons to whom the soverign is pleased to grant such marks of honour. It is common knowledge that such emblems are used by heads of Mutts and other similar institutions of a religious character who claim a sort of spiritual authority over large sections of the people. In a Government order passed in 1907 it is clearly laid down that such emblems of royalty should be used only under the express warrant of the Soverign.

3. Medikere Naik has not so far been able to produce any

sannad or Government order in support of his claim except a few licenses granted by the local Magistracy for some years past, authorising him to go in procession with some or other of the above mentioned insignias. Medikere Naik, no doubt belongs to a Pallegar family that ruled in this part of the country about 150 to 200 years ago, and the family gets a political pension from Government. The family can have, however, no pretensions to political authority at the present time. It appears to me that it is extremely undesirable, and to some extent mischievous, that private persons of this character should be allowed to ~~stimulate~~ simulate the incidents of royalty and go in procession on popular festive occasions like the Yugadi and the Dasara with colourable imitation of royal ceremonial.

Whatever the value which educated and enlightened people may attach to mere ceremonial still the circumstance that a member of an one-time ruling family goes about in procession during the Dasara with symbols usually associated with royalty and divinity is apt to appeal th the unenlightened popular imagination and invest the person concerned with an importance and influence, which his real status in life at present does not at all warrant.

In these circumstances, I am not in favor of the applicant being permitted to use the above insignias and I request the favor of early orders of Government in the matter.

I have the honor to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaram

District Magistrate,

Chitaldrug District.

S.V.

(14)

S.349
Statement

(9)

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

Dated Bangalore, the 19/24 th November 1915.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To

DIARY No. J.1434



D.H.Narasinga Rao, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. J.905-12/Police 202-14-. dated the 28th August 1915, I have the honour to forward herewith a statement of persons using certain insignias in the Bangalore District. In Chennapatna the swami of the Kundapurada Vyasaraya Matt at Abbur., who seems to be entitled to some insignias is reported to on a tour in the Hosur Taluk and the Agent of the Matt has been written to by the Police of Chennapatna. This information will also be furnished as soon as it is received here.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Chandy

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

255

Value
25/11
19

From

The District Magistrate
Bangalore District

To

The Secretary to Government
General Department

Dated 20-4-15 Bangalore

Despatched 24/11/15

Received

General No. S 349
No.

Enclosure No. one statement

Forwarding a State-
ment of persons using some
insignias in the Bangalore
District.

-N

Statement showing the number of persons permitted to use certain insignias such as "ಧ್ವಜ" "ಗೊಂಡೆ" etc in the Bangalore district.

Serial No.	Taluk	Name	ಧ್ವಜ	ಗೊಂಡೆ	ಕಹಳೆ	ಚಾಮರ	ಕೊಡೆ	ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ	ಕುದುರೆ	ಆನೆ	ಒಂಟೆ	ಕತ್ತಿ	ಪರಿವಾರ	ಬಿರುದು	ಮೆರವಣಿಗೆ	Remarks
			5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	
11	Anekal	Chennabasavaraja Swamy of a Lingayat matt, Rajapur village, Jigini limits	1	1	-	2	1	1	.	.	.	1		.		
2 2	Kankankalli	Sethwaji Rao of Chickmarlwadi	1			1	.	1	1		.	1	.		1		It is reported that these insignias were given to the ancestors of Sethwaji Rao, by Nawab Hyder ali while returning from war in those times
3	Nelamangala	Swamigalu of Sivaganga Matt	1	1		1	1	1	1								The Agent Nanjunda Sastry of the matt says that he does not possess the authority under which these insignias are permitted and that only the Swamigalu who is now touring somewhere in the Dharwar district can give further details
4	— Do —	Swamigalu of Megalgavi Matt (Lingayat Matt)	1	1		1	1	1	1					1	1		The Agent of the Matt reports that these insignias have been permitted by Government to be used by the Swamy.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

Mysore Revenue Department
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore district.

No. 224 Confdl
Thurs-39

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chimoga District,
Dated Chimoga 28th November 1915.

30

From

Matthan, Esquire., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Chimgga District.

DIARY No. J 14480
1915-1916
SECTION Police
FILE No. 202-14
ITEM No. 11

To

D.V. Narasinga Rao, Esquire., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

As called for in your docket No.J.905-12 Police 202-14-3 dated the 28th August 1915 I have the honor to forward herewith a statement showing the names of persons who are said to have been permitted to use certain insignia together with the authority under which they are so permitted.

2. The particulars of insignia used by the Gurus of the remaining Matts in the Tirthahalli taluk and of the Jain Matta at Kunkaki Kumcha in the Nagar taluk and of the Mathas in the Honnali taluk have not yet been furnished by the Amildars concerned. A further communication will addressed in the matter on receipt of information from the Amildar.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Chimoga District.

C.N.R.

GPE—50,000—17-9-15

From 11/12/1915-16.

The Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Myso e,
General Department,
Bangalore.

Dated 25th Nov.1915.

Despatched 30/11

Received

General No. 224

Judl. No. 39

Enclosure No. one

A called for in his
ocket No.J.205-15 Police 909-14-6 dated
28th Aug. 1915 forwards a statement
showing the names of persons permitted
to use insignia.

3	ಡಿ	Hala Swami at Kavinkavi	1 ಪಾದುಕೆ 2 ಛತ್ರ ಚಾಮರ 3 ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ 4 ಪಾದಕ 5 ಛತ್ರಿ 6 ಕಹಳೆ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.	
1.		Tirthahalli Sri Krishnananda Tirtha Swamigalu of the Mulabagalu Matha at Mula-bagalu.	1 ಪಾದುಕೆ, 2 ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ 3 ಪಾದಕ.	
2.	ಡಿ.	Swami of the Tirtha Mathur Matha	~~ಪಾದುಕೆ~~. 1 ಪಾದುಕೆ, 2 ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ 3 ಪಾದಕ 4 ಛತ್ರಿ ಚಾಮರ. 5 ಪಾದಕ	
3	ಡಿ	The Swamigalu of the Puthige Matha.		

It is reported that the marginally noted insignia together with some more, are being used by the Swami and that no written order is available in support of the use of these insignia

Tirthahalli Taluk

The Agent of the Matha has produced a copy of Notification No 1553 Mavz. 578-09-55 | 10.12.1910 from Government permitting to use these insignia

~~The~~

The Parapattegar of the Matha states that no Sannad or Pahadari is forthcoming and that on looking into previous references it is ascertained that whatever Sannads obtained were destroyed at the time of the destruction of certain portion of the Matha by accidental fire.

(* It is reported that the Swami is gone on tour and that it is not known what insignia are being used by him.

For Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

Statement showing the names of persons, in the Shimoga District, who have been permitted to use certain insignia and the authority under which they are so permitted.

1915-16.

Serial No.	Name of Taluk	Name of the person or Matha	Particulars of insignia using	Authority under which they are using	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6
			Honnali Taluk.		
Honnale.		Shannappa Swami / ಶಾಂತ. of Hire Matha	2 ಕೊಡೆ ಛತ್ರಿ. 3 ಛತ್ರಿ 4 ಪಲ್ಲಕಿ ಛತ್ರಿ 1 ಚಿನ್ನದ ಕೋಲು.	It is ascertained that these insignia are being used under the authority of Government order No. 844 dated the 17th January 1899.	✓
2.	Do.	Hala Swamigalu of Ramapur.	1 ಶಾಂತ 2 ಛತ್ರಿ ಪಲ್ಲಕಿ ಛತ್ರಿ 4 ಕೊಡೆ 5 ಶಾಂತ ಛತ್ರಿ ಕಾಲು ಕಡಗ	It is reported that these insignia are being used as per 'mamool' and that no Sanad or Sahadari from Government is available. Besides these, the Swami is said to have been using some more insignia.	

288-M 4/1/15

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District
Dated Chitaldrug, 8th December 1915

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
C h i t a l d r u g.

J 1616
15 11
Police

To

D. M. Narasinga Rao Esquire B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore.

S I R,

With reference to your No.J.905-12/Police.202-14-6 dated 28th August 1915, I have the honor to forward herewith a statement of persons using certain insignias in this District.

2. As regards the insignias noted against the name of Pallegar Medekerenaik of Chitaldrug, I beg to invite kind reference to the correspondence ending with my letter No.174 dated 5th October 1915 on the subject.

3. Krishmachar, belonging to Tatachar Matt, who has been using certain insignias noted against his name in the list appears to have been using them as a spiritual preceptor. Enquiries are being made as to tho authority under which these are being used.

I have the honor to be,
S I R,
Your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaram Iyer
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

S.V.

GPB—50,000—25-9-15

1915-16 256

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

To

The Secretary to Government
General Department
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 10th December 1915

Received

General No. 288.
No.

Enclosure No. one

Forwarding a Statement of persons using certain insignias in this District.

120

2 Pallegar Meldikuruchaika — Chitaldrug

1 తిమ్మప్ప (Rd)
1 వెంకటప్ప
1 కుమారి అమ్మాజి
1 పెద్ద మరి
1 చిన్న
1 పుట్టమ్మ
1 చిన్నలక్కమ్మ
1 [illegible]
1 చిన్న పెద్దన్న
1 [illegible]
1 [illegible]
1 [illegible]
1 [illegible]

As authority produced for wat.
Vide this office letter No 174 dated
5 October 1915

3 Swamis of the Tenekalli Matt — Hosdurga Taluk

1 [illegible]
2 రంగ స్వామి
3 [illegible]
4 పెద్ద పాపయ్య

Vide Government order No 301-9 Mem 83-07 dated 3-8-19

4 Swamis of the Sirigere old Matt — Sirigere Chitaldrug Taluk

1 తిమ్మప్ప
2 చిన్నప్ప
3 రంగస్వామి
4 [illegible]
5 పెద్ద మరి
6 [illegible]
7 [illegible]
8 లక్ష్మి నరసింహ [illegible]

Vide Government order No 101-10 Mem 68-08 dated 29.10.08

5 Swamis of the Sirigere New Matt — Do

1 చిన్నప్ప
2 రంగస్వామి
3 [illegible]
4 పాపయ్య

Do



Dated 8 December 1915
Chitaldrug

C Balasundaram
Sessions Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

1			100	
			150	
			2	
			3	
			4	
			25	
			1/4	
			1/7	
			1/8	
			2	
			2	
			2	
			2	
			2	
			2	
			2	
			2	
			1/2	
			2	
			2	
			2	
			2	
			2	
			2	
			2	
			2	
			2	
			2	
			2	
			2	
			2	
			2	
			50	
			50	
			20	
			1/0	
			30	
			40	

GPB—50,000—17-9-15

1915-16

From The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

The Secretary to Government,

General Department, Bangalore.

Dated 17th

Despatched January 1916.

Received

General No. 348

No.

Enclosure No.

Submits a statement of persons in this District who have been permitted to use certain insignias.

123

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

Dated, 17th January 1916.

DIARY No. J.2005.

TO 18:S 14
SECTION Police
FILE No. 202-14
SERIAL No. 13

From

K.R. Srinivasiengar, Esq., M.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

D.M. Narasinga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, General Department

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. J.905-12/Police dated the 28th August,1915 calling for a statement of persons in this District who have been permitted to use certain insignias, I have the honor to state that the District Superintendent of Police, Tumkur reports in his letter No. 619 dated the 8th instant that the Godekere Matt and the Pattadadevaru of Yelanadu in the Chikkanayakanhalli Taluk possess insignias as per statement herein enclosed.

A copy of the Sannad dated the 29th September 1867 produced by the Agent of the Godekere Matt authorizing the Matt to use the insignias is also forwarded for perusal.

The Pattadadevaru of Yelanadu has no Sannad.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District.

IVR

GPB—50,000—17-9-15

1915-16

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

The Secretary to Government,

General Department, Bangaloro.

Dated 17th

Despatched January 1916.

Received

General No. 348

No. J

Enclosure No.

Submits a statement of persons in this District who have been permitted to use certain insignias

---

123

List of insignias possessed by Godekere' matt, and Pattadadevarus of Yelanadu, in the Chickanayakanhalli Taluk

No.	Name of Matt	Palaki	Bile Chatri	Hasam Chatri	Chamara	Valaga	Bajantri	Palaki Sanam jam	Raja Sinhasana	Pancha Kalasa	Halada Chatri	Panchanadi Chatri	Bhanjani Chatri	Astagini	Sarvamanya	Hagaladeeva-tige	Makaratoranam	Vanthinajan	Nagani ✓	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	Godekere matt	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	✓
2	Pattadadevarus of Yelanadu	1	1		1	1	1									1			1	1 - Suripana 1 - Gaggara Kattige 1 - Kale

Tumkur,
Dated, 15th January 1916

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

372
18.1.16

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated Mysore. 19th January 1916.

DIARY No. 2006.

10-1-16
BRANCH Police
ITEM. 20214
DOMAIN No. 14

To

A. Subramanya Iyer Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

D. M. Narasinga Rao, Esquire, B.A; B.L.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maha Raja of
Mysore.
General Department
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket no. G.905-12 police 202-14-6 dated 28th August 1915 calling for a statement of persons in this district who have been permitted to use certain insignias, I have the honour to submit herewith a statement as called for in the above. [fl 14

I have the honour to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant

K. S. [illegible]
Assistant Commissioner
for Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

GPB—50,000—30-7-15

From The Deputy Commissioner Mysore Dist.

To The Secretary to the Govt. of His Highness the Maha of Mysore. General Department Bangalore

Dated 17th
Despatched 18th } January 1916.
Received

General No. 372
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Submitting a list of persons using certain insignias in this district.

126

Does the belong,
to Br III Mrs
letter No 224 has
not been 89 diarised
in this Br.

25/1

To the Supdt Brill

for needful please

25/1/16

130

Statement of persons in the Mysore District who have been permitted to use all and any of the insignias.

Taluk	Name of person	ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ	ಚಿನ್ನದ ಕೊಡೆ	ಬೆಳ್ಳಿ ಕೊಡೆ	ಮೂರು ಕೊಡೆ	ಕಳಸ	ಚಿನ್ನದ ಚಾಮರ	ಬೆಳ್ಳಿ ಚಾಮರ	ಬೆಳ್ಳಿ ಕೋಲು	ಚಿನ್ನದ ಕೋಲು	ಬೆಳ್ಳಿ ಕೋಲು	ಚಿನ್ನದ ಕೋಲು	ಬೆಳ್ಳಿ ಕೋಲು	ಕಹಳೆ	ತಮಟೆ	Authority
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Nanjan-gud.	Raghavendra-Swami Mutt	1	1	.	1	1	1	1	1	.		.	.	1	.	According to the Sanad of His Highness the late MahaRaja Krishna Raja Wodeyar.
Heggada devankote	Yatiraja Mutt	1	1	.	1	1	1	.	.	.		.	.	.	.	do do. The authority is stated to be at Coorg having been produced as exhibit in a Civil Court.
Mysore city	Parakala Mutt	2	2	.	4	1	1	1	.	2		.	.	1	.	Is reported to have been supplied during the times of ~~the~~ His Highness the late MahaRaja Krishna Raja Wodeyer.
	Yerakattayya	.	1	.	1	.	.	.	.	.		.	.	.	.	Reported to be in use from time immemorial.

✓ Authority not available

	Chinnachayya	.	1	.	1	.	-	.			.	.	.	-	—	do	do
	Kathadayya	.	1	.	1	.	-	.			.	.	.	.	—	do	do -
	Badval Jogayya	.	1	.	1	.	-	-			.	.	.	-	—	do	do -
	Madayya	-	1	.	1	.	.	-			.	.	.	-	—	do	do -
	Borayya	.	0	1	1	.	.	.			—	—	—	-	—	do	do -
	G. Devaraje urs.	1	.	.	.	.	-	-			.	.	.	-	—	do	do -
Raga-mangala	Adi Chunchu Nrigiri Mutt	1	.	.	2	1	-	1			.	1			Authority not forth coming.		
T. Narasi-pur	Sosale Mutt	1	.	4	4	1	.	.			.	.			Nirup from the Palace of the year Swabhanu Samvatsara Chitra Bahula Panchami the original of which is said to be in the office of the Secretary, Muzarai Department		

9 12 3 3 3 15

8 11

For Deputy Commissioner

(25)

No 253
Muz 49
Encl: 1

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.
Dated Shimoga 19th January 1916.

From

K. Matthan, Esquire, B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

DIAR 207

To

V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer, Esquire, M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No.224/Muz.39 dated the 29th November 1915 stating that the particulars of insignia used ~~used~~ by the Gurus of remaining Mathas will be furnished on receipt of reports from the Amildars concerned, I have the honor to forward herewith a statement showing the names of persons who are reported to have been permitted to use certain insignia together with the authority under which they are so permitted in the Honnali and Tirthahalli taluks.

2. The particulars of insignia used by the Swami of the Jain Matha at Humcha in the Nagar taluk will be furnished on receipt of information from the Amildar.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Venkataramanu

Probationary Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
(Absent from Head Quarters).

C.N.R.

1905-16

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

DIARY No. 1207
19/Jan/16
SECTION Genl.
FILE No.
SERIAL No.

Dated 19th January 1916.

Despatched 20

Received

General No. 293

No. Mis. 49

Enclosure No. /

Forwards a statement showing the names of persons who are reported to have been permitted to use certain insignia together with the authority under which they are so permitted in the Honnali and Tirthahalli taluks

GPB—75 reams—25-5-10

Statement showing the names of persons, in the Shimoga District, who have been permitted to use certain insignia and the authority under which they are so permitted.

Serial No.	Name of Taluk	Name of the person or Matha	Particulars of insignia ~ resign	Authority under which they are resign.	Remarks:-

8. Sirthahalli (10) Bhimana Katte Matha

ಶ್ರೀ
ಶ್ರೀಮತ್
ಶ್ರೀಮಠ
ಶ್ರೀಮಠಕ್ಕೆ
ಶ್ರೀಮಠಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ

The Agent of the Matha has produced a copy of the Rahadari issued on 18th January 1812 by His Highness the Maharaja in support of the use of these insignia.

135
1/2

9. Kumsi Sub Taluk — Nil —

Shimoga
25th November 1915

for Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

-do-	(8) Jangama Murige Matha at Anaitapur	(1) ಅಡ್ಡಪಾಲಕಿ (2) ಕೊಂಬುಕಹಳೆಯ (3) ಸೈದಾಕ ಛತ್ರಿ (4) ಮತ್ತು ಸಿಂಹಾಸನ (5) ಪಾದ ಪೀಠ (6) ಪಾದುಕೆಯವರಿಗೆ (7) ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ ಕೊಂಬು	The Tahsildar has produced a copy of the decision passed by the Judicial Assistant Commissioner Shimoga on 22nd January 1875, stating that the Matha has got a right to use these insignia.	It is seen from the Copy produced that the honour of 'Adda-Palaki is expressly mentioned. But according to Government Order No 53647-58 Mus. 58 of 1897. dated 28th May 1907 the right to this honour is given only to the Gurus of the Sri Sringeri Peeth
7. Nagar.	(9) Ramachandrapur Matha at Rama-chendrapur	ಪಾದಪೀಠ ಚಿನ್ನದಮಂಟಪ ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ ಕೊಂಬು	The Matha has produced a copy of the Sanad Granted by His Highness Immadi Devaraya Maharaja on 18th August 1836 A copy of Rahadari dated the 5th January 1831 has also been produced.	

Vandihalli (8) ಗಾಡಿಹಳ್ಳಿ
(13) ವಂಡಿಹಳ್ಳಿ
(14) ವಂಡಿಹಳ್ಳಿ ಮಾಠ

used as per Mamool and that no Sannad or Government order is available. Further he states that orders or Sannads obtained might have been destroyed at the time of the destruction of the old Matha building by accidental fire.

(4) Hale Matha at Do-garsi (Lingayet Matha) (4) ಹಳೆ ಮಾಠ ದೊಗರ್ಸಿ (ಲಿಂಗಾಯತ ಮಾಠ) (5) ಪಂಚವಾಣಿಗೆ ಮಾಠ ದೊಗರ್ಸಿ

The Agent of the Matha states that these insignia are being used from time immemorial and that he does not know if there is any authority under which they are being used. He also states that nothing is forthcoming in this connection.

— Do —

		(5) ಹಾಂಕ 3		
		(6) ಭಜಂತ್ರಿ. 4		
		(ಅರಾಜಸಿಂಹನ್ನ:		
		(9) ಪೆಂಚ ಕೈಗೆ. 6		
		(13) ಸಿಹ್ನಾಧಿ. 7		
	Shikarpur	(6) Virakta Matha at Chick Jamboor	(1) ಹಾಂಕ ಅರಾಜಸಿಂಹನ್ನ. (5) ಹಾಂಕ (9) ಪೆಂಚ ಕೈಗೆ. (16) ಭಜಂತ್ರಿ (13) ಸಿಹ್ನಾಧಿ	The Swamy of the Matha States that no Sannad or Government Order is available.
5	Sorab	~	~	Nil ~
6.	Sagar	(7) Lokacharyara Matha at Sagar	(1) ಹಾಂಕ. (4) ಪಾಂಕಾಂ 2 (5) ಹಾಂಕ (13) ಸಿಹ್ನಾಧಿ. 8	Sreenivasa Lokacharyar says that in accordance with the Paradari Granted by H.H. the Late Sri Krishna Raja Wadeya on the 10th June 1812 these are being used. (No 170/30)

✓ 23

1.	Shimoga Taluk	(1) Sri Akshobhya Tirtha Matha at Kudli	The agent of the Matha has produced a copy of the extract from the old Register of the Office records of the Dewan's Office, in support of the use of these insignia
	-do-	(2) Sri Sringeri Matha at Kudli	It is ascertained from the Agent of the Matha that the Swamigalavaru is at Uddagatti in the Dharwar District and that he does not know what insignia are permitted to be used. Further he states that he has not received any reply in the matter from the Swamigalavaru ✓
2.	Chennageri Taluk		Nil ✓
3.	Honnali Taluk*		*Report has not been received as yet from the Amildar
4.	Shikarpur	(3) Lingayat Matha at Kade	The Swami of the Matha states that these insignia are being

(27)

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated 27th November 1915

(145)

From,

K. Chandy Esquire, B.A.,
The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To,

D. N. Narasinga Rao Esquire, B.A. B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore.
General Department.
Bangalore.

F. 1434

Sir,

In Continuation of my letter No: S 349 dated 24th Nov: 1915 I have the honor to state that the Swamy of Kendapurda Nyasaraya Mutt in the Chennapatna Taluk is reported to be entitled to the possession of the marginally noted inamgnias. As the Swamy is said to be on Budenatha", Salem District, the authority for issuing them is not procurable.

136

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
for Deputy Commissioner.
Bangalore District.

Not received in
(1) ಕಾವೇರಿ. 1.
(2) ಸಪ್ಪಳಿಕೆ. 1.
(3) ಕೆಂಪಸಾಗರ. 1.
(4) ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ - 2.
(5) ಸಣ್ಣ ಕೊಡೆ - 1.
(6) ದೊಡ್ಡ - 1.
(7) ಕೊಂಬು - 1.
(8) ಕಹಳೆ - 1.
(9) ಕಂಚಿಯ ಪಂಚಕಳಸ
ಮತ್ತು ಕಳಸಾಧಿಪತ್ಯ ಪಂಚಮುಖಾಧಿಪತ್ಯ
(10) ಚಾಮರ - 1.
(11) ಕೊಡಪಿ - 1.
(12) ಹರಿವಾಣದ ಕೊಡೆ
(13) ಪತ್ತಿನಿಗಾರಿಕೆಗಳು-2

RLK

Bangalore about transmission

J 2079

DIARY No. 892

19/5/19 16

SECTION Misc Police

FILE No.

SERIAL No.

VIII/29/III/M/Mis - 1915-16.

From The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore district

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore General department Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 27.11.15

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Submits a statement of persons using some insignias in the Bangalore district

Deputy Commissioner's Office, Hassan District.

From, no 322 Dated Hassan 26th February, 1916.

C. Venkata Rao Esquire, B.A

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

DIARY No. J2418

To,

V.R. Thyagaraja Iyer Esquire, M.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department,

BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your docket no: J. 905-12/police 202-14-6 dated 28th August 1915, calling for a statement of persons permitted to use all or any of the marginally noted weapons etc:- in this District, I have the honor to submit herewith a statement showing the names of persons using such weapons and to state that as no reports in this matter were received from the Amildars of Manjarabad and Arsikere Taluks, stringent reminders have this day been issued to them and on receipt of their reply, a supplemental statement regarding those two Taluks will be submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Offg: Deputy Commissioner

Hassan District.

GPB—50,000—13-9-15

19 15-16.

From

C.Venkata Rao Esquire,B.A.,
Offg.Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

V.R.Thyagaraja Iyer Esquire,B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Dated 26 February ~~1916.~~ 1916

Despatched 29/2

Received

General No. 322

No. S.

Enclosure No. One

S U B J E C T.

Submitting a statement of persons holding certain insignia in the Distri

Hassan District

Statement showing the names of persons using certain insignia in this District and authority under which they are so permitted.

2-2-2

No.	Name of Taluk	Village	Name of person using the insignias	Description of the insignias	Authority under which they are so permitted.
1.	Hassan				
2	Alur Sub Taluk	Gubbegudda	The recluse of Gubbegudda matt	Native flute, Red umbrella drums on bulls.	Has been using it as per Inamat.
3.	Arsikere				Information not received from the Taluk.
4.	Belur	Yelahanka	The Palkurke matt Swamy	Palanquin, Horn. Umbrella Etc.	Rc. No: 1074-6 dated 3-10-1913. Mysore Gazette Vol: 3
5	Arsikere				Information not received from the Taluk.
6.	Channarayapatna	Sravana-belagola	The Swami of Sravanabelagola Matt	All the insignia noted in the docket under reference.	
7	Hole Narsipur	Hole Narsi-pur	The Swamigalu of the Sri Uttaradi matt.	most of the insignia noted in the docket.	
	Hole-Narsipur	— do —	The Swamigalu of Sri Rama Yoga Brahmanandha matt.	As the Swamigalu does not reside at Head Quarters it is not possible to state what insignia the Swamigalu uses.	
8	Arkalgud	Aremadana-halli	Vignana Murti of Aremadanahalli matt.	Guild or reports to that palanquin, Chamara.	Mysore Endorsement No: 6-3 dated 13-12-1912.

8 8 10 14 13

W 8

Asst. Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

3413
9413.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chimoga District,
Dated Chimoga 20th February 1918.

From

K. Matthan, Esquire, B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Chimoga District.

To

DIARY No. 2426
19 -18
Secretary's Poc
No. 202-14
18.

D.M. Narasinga Rao, Esquire, B.A.B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

J.P071
Pol 202-14

In continuation of my letter No.203/49 dated the 19th January 1918 I have the honor to forward herewith a statement the particulars of insignia used by the Swami of the Jain Matha at Muchagar in the Nagar taluk.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Chimoga District.

C.N.R.

139

Statement showing the names of persons in the Shimoga District who have been permitted to use certain insignia and the authority under which they are so permitted.

Name of Taluk.	Name of the person or Matha.	Particulars of insignia being.	Authority under which they are using.	Remarks.
Nagar	Swami of the Jain Matha at Humcha.	1. ಕೊಂಬು 2. ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ 3. ಪಕಾಶಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ 4 ಪಾಯಿಕ 5 ಪಂಚಕಲಶಂಗಳು 6 ಕೈಪಂಜಿ 7 ಪಂಚ ಪೊಂಗಳಿ 8 ಪಂಚಘಂಟೆ 9 ಪಲ್ಲಪಕಟ್ಟೆ 10 ಪಂಚಪಲ್ಲಪಕಟ್ಟೆ 11 ಕೈ ಪಲ್ಲಪಕಟ್ಟೆ 12 ಪಾದಪೂಜೆ 13 ಪಾದಪೂಜೆ 14 ಪಾದಪೂಜೆ	The agent of the matha states that the marginally noted 14 insignia are being used by the swami. Besides these it is reported that some more insignia such as 'Mahaparana' etc are being used by the swami. Further the agent states that the authority obtained in support of the use of these was produced in 1930 before the taluk office and that it was never returned.		

(132)

Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

(72)

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District

No. 524 Dated Chitaldrug, 18th May 1916.

From

C. S. Balasubdaram Iyer Esquire B.A.

Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug.



To

D. M. Narasinga Rao Esquire B.A.,B.L.

Secretary to the Government of

H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

General Department, Bangalore.

S I R,

In continuation of my letter No.288 dated 10th December 1915 and with reference to para 3 thereof, I beg to forward herewith a file of vernacular papers containing a statement given by Tatacharyar Srinivasachar and certain references produced by him in support of his claim to use certain insignia. The papers produced do not directly support the claim but in two mahazars drawn up in 1889 and 1900 reference is made to his going about with certain insignia on certain occasions. It seems to me that as he has no sannad or other proper authority but has simply been using some insignia by popular sufferance, the insignia allowed may be now defined and restricted suitably. It is also desirable to confine the use of such insignia to one representative of the family instead of allowing both Srinivasachar and Krishnachar using them as appears to be the case now, they being representatives of different branches of the family.

The enclosures may kindly be returned in due course.

I have the honor to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaram Iyer

Deputy Commissioner

Chitaldrug District.

S.V.

GPB—50,000—25-9-14

1915-16

From The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug Dist

To The Secretary to Government
General Department
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 18th May 1916

Received

General No. 548.
No.

Enclosure No. One Sealed cover

Forwarding a sealed cover containing a file of Vernacular papers which contains a statement given by Tatacharyar Srinivasachar & certain references produced by him in support of his claim to use certain insignia

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District

Dated Hassan the 25th May 1916

DIARY No. 3048
15-5-16
Police
202-14
20

From

C. Venkata Rao Esquire, B. A.,
Officiating Deputy Commissioner in Mysore
Hassan District.

To

V.R.Thyagaraja Iyer Esquire,M. A.,
Secretary to the Government of H.H.the
Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No: 322/S. dated the 26th February 1916 submitting a statement of persons permitted to use the* marginally noted insignia, in this District, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Arsikere Taluk reports that there are no persons using the said insignia in his Taluk.

* 1. ಮಂಚಿ
2. ಬಳ್ಳಿಕಿ
3. ಕತ್ತಿಯನ್ನಿ
4. ಪಚ್ಚಿಮಂ
5. ಪಾಂಬು
6 ಅಟ್ಟಿಂಕಿ
7. ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಕೊಂಡಲೆ

The Amildar of Manjarabad Taluk, reports that a few persons in his Taluk have been in the habit of using red, yellow and green umbrellas, native flute (ಪೀಪಿ) etc during ~~the~~ marriages, since the time of the late Palyagars and that they possess no written permission to do the same.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Officiating Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

N.N.R.

GPB—60,000—13-9-15

1915-16

From

C. Venkata Rao Esquire, B.A.,
Officiating Deputy Commissio
Hassan District.

To

V.R.Thyagaraja Iyer Esquire,M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue
Department, Bangalore.

Dated 25th May 1916.

Despatched 26

Received

General No. 435
No. 5

Enclosure No. nil.

S U B J E C T.

Submitting a further report in regard to persons using certain insignia, in the Hassan Dt (Channarayapatna Taluk

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Date of Receipt 26/6/16
Petn. Regn. No. 9658

(24) 3224

6 ನಿನ್ನ ಅಕ್ಕಂದಿರು ಮೊದಲು ಹುಟ್ಟಿದವಳು ಸಮಾರ್ಯ ಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ ತನ್ನ ಪುತ್ರಿಯರ ಸಹಿತ ವಾಸಿಸುವವಳು ಆಕೆಯ ಎಡಗಡೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಇರುವ ತಂಗಿ ಸೊದೋಮ ಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ ತನ್ನ ಪುತ್ರಿಯರ ಸಹಿತ ವಾಸಿಸುವವಳು ಆದರೆ ನೀನು ಅವರ ಮಾರ್ಗಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯದೆ ಅವರ ಅಸಹ್ಯ ಕೃತ್ಯಗಳಂತೆ ಮಾಡದೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀನು ಅವರಿಗಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಭ್ರಷ್ಟಳಾದೆ. ನಾನು ಜೀವಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಇದು ಸತ್ಯವೆಂದು ಸರ್ವೇಶ್ವರ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯಾದ ನಾನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನಿನ್ನ ಅಕ್ಕ ಸೊದೋಮಳು ಮತ್ತು ಅವಳ ಪುತ್ರಿಯರು ಮಾಡಿದಂತೆ ನೀನು ಮತ್ತು ನಿನ್ನ ಪುತ್ರಿಯರು ಅಹಂಕಾರಿಗಳಾಗಿ ಆಹಾರ ಸಮೃದ್ಧಿಯಿಂದಲೂ ಸುಖಶಾಂತಿಗಳಿಂದಲೂ ಇದ್ದು ಬಡವರಿಗೂ ದರಿದ್ರರಿಗೂ ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡದೆ ಇದ್ದಿರಿ. ಅವರ ಮುಂದೆ ಅವರು ಅಹಂಕಾರಿಗಳಾಗಿ ನನಗೆ ಅಸಹ್ಯವಾದ ಕೃತ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಿದರು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಅವರನ್ನು ಹೊರಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಬಿಟ್ಟೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ನೀನು ಕಣ್ಣಾರೆ ಕಂಡಿರುವೆಯಲ್ಲವೆ.

7 ನಿನ್ನ ಅಕ್ಕಂದಿರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಪಾಪಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಧದಷ್ಟಾದರೂ ನೀನು ಮಾಡಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ನೀನು ಅವರಿಗಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಅಸಹ್ಯವಾದ ಕೃತ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಿದೆ. ನೀನು ಅವರಿಗಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ನೀತಿವಂತಳೆಂದು ತೋರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನೀನು ಅವಮಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಗುರಿಯಾಗಿ ನಾಚಿಕೆಪಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ನಿನ್ನ ಅಕ್ಕತಂಗಿಯರು ನಿನಗಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ನೀತಿವಂತರೆಂದು ತೋರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ನೀನು ಅವಮಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಗುರಿಯಾಗಿ ನಾಚಿಕೆಪಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಸೊದೋಮ ಮತ್ತು ಗೊಮೋರ ಪಟ್ಟಣಗಳ ಪುನರುದ್ಧಾರವನ್ನು ನಾನು ಮಾಡುವೆನು. ಅವುಗಳ ಜೊತೆಗೆ ನಿನ್ನ ಪಟ್ಟಣಗಳನ್ನೂ ಪುನರುದ್ಧರಿಸುವೆನು. ಆಗ ನೀನು ಅವಮಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಗುರಿಯಾಗಿ ನಾಚಿಕೆಪಡಬೇಕಾಗುವುದು. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ನೀನು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಾಂತ್ವನ ನೀಡುವವಳಾಗಿರುವೆ. ನಿನ್ನ ಅಕ್ಕತಂಗಿಯರಾದ

ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಮುಂದ

ಪಂಚಿಕೆ ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿ ಕೆಂ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ 5-10.1915
ರಲ್ಲಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದರು. ಆಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ
ನ ಬಿಲ್ಲೆ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯಿಂದ ಮತ್ತಿಗಿದ್ದ ಪಂಚಿಕೆ
ಯಾಗಿತ್ತು- ಹೀಗಿರಲು 3.4.16 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರ
ನ ಸಹಕಾರಿ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ
ಇಲಾಖೆ ಸೆ ಕೆಲಸಗಳ, ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ
ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದಾಗ 29.2.16 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸ
ಸ್ಪರ್ಧೆಯಿಂದ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದರು ಇವರ ಅ
ಕ್ರಮಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಪೈಸಲಾಂದ ಪಟ್ಟಿಗಾಗಿ ದಿ. 3-16, 25-3.16
ವರೆಗೆ 30.3.16 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಚಲಾವಣೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿದ್ದ ಸ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ
ಕಾರಿಗಳಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ

ಕಾಲ ಸಮೀಪ

12 ಸೈಂಟಿಫಿಕ ಲಂಚಾವತಾರ ಪ್ರಭಾವಿ ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳಲ್ಲಿ
ಪ್ರಾಂ ಪ್ರಕಾರಿಗಳವರು, ಆಧಿಕಾರಿಯು ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು
ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿ ಪಂಚಿಕೆ ಪ್ರಕಾರಿಗಳವರು ಶ್ರೀಮಂತರಲಾಂಚಾವತಾರ
ಕಾರಿಗಳಿಂದ ಸೆ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದ ಪಾಸ್ಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಫೋಟೋ
ಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾಂಶುಪ್ರಕಾರಿಗಳಿಂದ ಅಲಂಕೃತವಾದ ಪ್ರಕಾ-
ಸಿಂಗಳಿಂದ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿ
ಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಪ್ರಾಂಶುಪ್ರಕಾರಿಗಳಿಂದ ಪ್ರಾಂಶುಪ್ರಕಾರಿಗಳಿಂದ ಪ್ರಾಂ
ಸಿಂಗಳಿಂದ ಪ್ರಾಂಶುಪ್ರಕಾರಿಗಳಿಂದ ಪ್ರಾಂಶುಪ್ರಕಾರಿಗಳಿಂದ ಪ್ರಾಂ

ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿ

ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿ ಅಂದ್ರೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಜನ ಏನು ಅರ್ಥ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ತಾರೆ ಅಂದ್ರೆ ಅಂಗಡಿ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಅಥವಾ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡೋರು ಅಂತ ಅಷ್ಟೆ. ಆದರೆ ಇದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಅರ್ಥ ಇದೆ.

ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಒಂದು ವಸ್ತುವನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡು ಅದನ್ನು ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡುವ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಅಥವಾ ಲಾಭಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡುವ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಯಾರು ಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೋ ಅವರನ್ನು ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿ ಅಂತ ಕರೆಯಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅಂಗಡಿ, ಹೋಲ್‌ಸೇಲ್, ಶೋರೂಮ್, ಮಾಲ್, ಮಾರ್ಟ್, ಸೂಪರ್ ಮಾರ್ಕೆಟ್, ಬಜಾರ್, ಮಳಿಗೆ, ಕೈಗಾಡಿ, ಬೀದಿ ಬದಿ ಹೀಗೆ ಎಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರ ಮಾಡಿದರೂ ಅವರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿಗಳೇ. ಇವರು ಸಣ್ಣ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು ಅಥವಾ ದೊಡ್ಡ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು. ಆದರೆ ಇವರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿಗಳೇ.

ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗೆ ಸಣ್ಣ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರ ಮಾಡುವವರನ್ನು ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿ ಎಂದು ಕರೆಯುತ್ತಾರೆ. (Retail merchant)
ಸಗಟು ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿ ಅಂದರೆ ಸಣ್ಣ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡುವವರಿಗೆ ದೊಡ್ಡ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡುವವರು. (Wholesale merchant)
ಆಭರಣ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿ (Jeweller)
ಕಿರಾಣಿ ಅಂಗಡಿ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿ (Merchant)
ಬಟ್ಟೆ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿ (Merchant)
ಪುಸ್ತಕ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿ (Merchant)
ಕಂಪ್ಯೂಟರ್ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿ (Merchant)
ಹಣ್ಣು ತರಕಾರಿ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿ (Merchant)
ಪೀಠೋಪಕರಣ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿ (Merchant)
ಅಕ್ಕಿ ಮಂಡಿ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿ

ಪ್ರತಿಜ್ಞಾಪತ್ರ

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಪಾರ್ವತಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರೇಮಕುಮಾರಿ ಪ್ರತಿಜ್ಞಾಪೂರ್ವಕವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಕೊಡುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ:

ನಾನು ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಳಾಸದಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸವಿದ್ದು ಬಿ.ಎ. ಪದವೀಧರಳಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ದಿನಾಂಕ 31-3-11 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು 1-4-11 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ನಡುವೆ ನನ್ನ ಪತಿಯವರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್.ಆರ್. ನಾರಾಯಣರಾವ್ ಅವರು ಕಛೇರಿಯಿಂದ ಮನೆಗೆ ಬಂದಾಗ, ನಾನು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕಾಫಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟೆನು. ಆಗ ಅವರು ಕಾಫಿ ಕುಡಿಯುತ್ತಾ ಕುಡಿಯುತ್ತಾ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದರು. ಆಗ ನಾನು ಅವರನ್ನು ಎಬ್ಬಿಸಿ ಕುಳ್ಳಿರಿಸಿದೆನು. ಆಗ ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಗ ನಾನು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕುಡಿಯಲು ನೀರು ಕೊಟ್ಟೆನು. ಆಗ ಅವರು ಕುಡಿಯಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಗ ನಾನು ಅವರನ್ನು ಎಬ್ಬಿಸಿ ಮಲಗಿಸಿದೆನು. ಆಗ ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಗ ನಾನು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕುಡಿಯಲು ನೀರು ಕೊಟ್ಟೆನು. ಆಗ ಅವರು ಕುಡಿಯಲಿಲ್ಲ

ತೀರ್ಪುದಿನ — (10)

ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿದಾರರ ಪರ ಅಭಿಯೋಜಕರು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು
ಓದಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು

ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿದಾರರ ಪರ, ವಿದ್ವಾನ್ ಶ್ರೀ. ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ರಸಾದ್

ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ
ಅಸಭ್ಯ ವರ್ತನೆ ತೋರಿದ್ದಾನೆಂಬ ಆರೋಪಣೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಸದರಿ
ಸಂಗತಿಯು ಸಾಬೀತಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ
ಮಂಜುಳಾ ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಪದ್ಮಾವತಿಯವರು ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯ ನುಡಿದಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ
ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯ ನುಡಿಯುವಾಗ ಆರೋಪಿಯ ಪರ ವಕೀಲರು ಪ್ರತಿ
ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ, ಪ್ರತಿಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಪುನರ್ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ
ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಆರೋಪಿಯ ಪರ ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯವನ್ನು ನುಡಿಯಲಿಲ್ಲ
ಎಂದು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಗಳಿಂದ ಕಂಡುಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ
ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ವಿರೋಧಾಭಾಸಗಳು ಕಂಡುಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ
ಎಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಟ್ಟರು.

1. ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ವಿರೋಧಾಭಾಸಗಳು ಕಂಡುಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ
2. ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಆರೋಪಣೆಯು ಸಾಬೀತಾಗಿದೆ
ಎಂದು.
3. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆರೋಪಿಯನ್ನು ಅಪರಾಧಿಯೆಂದು ಘೋಷಿಸಿ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆ
ವಿಧಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು —

4. ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಸಭ್ಯವಾಗಿ ವರ್ತಿಸಿರುವುದು
ಸಾಬೀತಾಗಿದೆ. ಹೀಗಾಗಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಇರಲು
ಅಡ್ಡಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಶಾಂತಿಗೆ ಭಂಗ ತಂದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ
ಆರೋಪಿಯನ್ನು ಅಪರಾಧಿಯೆಂದು ಘೋಷಿಸಿ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆ ವಿಧಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು
ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಟ್ಟರು.

ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಶ್ರೇಣಿ ಜೆಎಂಎಫ್‌ಸಿ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶರು
ದಿನಾಂಕ: 9-11

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಲ್ ಕೆ ರಮೇಶ್
ಜೆಎಂಎಫ್‌ಸಿ
Sr. Class Magistrate

True Copy
25-3-25 B.D. Manjunath

(11)

Dated Chirala this
18th day of October
Seal of Executant(s)
Witnesses

(SD) Ch. Krishnaswamy Rao
Asst. Collector 2nd Class
Magistrate
Chirala

True Copy

25 — -, - 11

Licensed

82

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಸಮಾಚಾರಗಳು

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು —

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ವಿಚಾರಣಾರ್ಥ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳ ವಿವರ —

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ದಿನಾಂಕ 28. 3. 14 ನೇ ಇವತ್ತು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರ ಮುಂದೆ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಗಾಗಿ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳ ವಿವರ ಇಂತಿದೆ. ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು, ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ, ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ವಿಷಯ ಮತ್ತು ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಆದೇಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯ ಹೆಸರು ಇವುಗಳನ್ನು ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳ ವಿವರ —

1 ಪೌರಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ
2. ಪೌರಾಡಳಿತಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊಸ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ ತೆರೆಯುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ —
3. ಶಿಕ್ಷಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತಕ್ಕೆ ಆದ್ಯತೆ ನೀಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ
4. ಸರಕಾರಿ ಶಾಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಶಾಲಾವಧಿಯ ನಂತರ ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ತರಗತಿಗಳನ್ನು ನಡೆಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೊಸ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರುಗಳನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ
5. ಅಂತರ್ಜಾತಿ ವಿವಾಹಿತರಿಗೆ ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಧನ ನೀಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ —

28. 3. 1914
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು
ತಾರೀಖು —

ಸಹಿ M. Keshavaiah
Magistrate —

True Copy
B. D Manjunath
2: -5-16

ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ — (15)

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯ ಹಾಜರಾತಿಯನ್ನು ಅಪೇಕ್ಷಿಸಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆದೇಶ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ —

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಕೆಲವು ಆರೋಪಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದ ಖಾಸಗಿ ದೂರಿನ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ನಡೆಸಲು ಈ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರವಿದೆ —

ಪ್ರಕರಣದ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತ ವಿವರಣೆ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಇದೆ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿ ಮತ್ತು 5/10/08 ರಂದು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯು ಪರಸ್ಪರ ಪರಿಚಿತರಾಗಿದ್ದು ಸಂಬಂಧಿಕರಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸುಮಾರು ಎರಡು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯು ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಅನೈತಿಕ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಹೊಂದಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯು ಒಪ್ಪದೇ ಇದ್ದಾಗ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಅತ್ಯಾಚಾರ ಎಸಗಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ ಎಂಬುದಾಗಿ ಆರೋಪಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

1 ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಆಗಿದೆ.
2. ದೋಷಾರೋಪಣೆಯ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗೆ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.
3. ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
4. ಆರೋಪಿಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ದಾಖಲಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಪ್ರಕರಣವನ್ನು ಮುಂದೂಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.
5. ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರು ಹಾಜರಾಗಿದ್ದು ಅವರ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ದಾಖಲಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಪ್ರಕರಣವನ್ನು ಮುಂದೂಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

3.10.08
ಆದೇಶ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ
ಸಹಿ
ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

(ಸಹಿ)
H. Pramanandaswamy
Magistrate

True Copy

[illegible]

2523-16

ಫಾರಂ ನಂ. 38 (ಸಿ)

ಸಿವಿಲ್ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶರು ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಥಮ ದರ್ಜೆ ಮ್ಯಾಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟರು ಅವರ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯ

ಸಿವಿಲ್ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶರು (ಜೂನಿಯರ್ ಡಿವಿಷನ್) ಮತ್ತು ಜೆ.ಎಂ.ಎಫ್.ಸಿ. ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯ
ಶಿಡ್ಲಘಟ್ಟ

ದಿನಾಂಕ 8.10.13 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ ತಿಂಗಳ 9ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿದಾರರ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್. ಮಹಮ್ಮದ್ ಅಲಿ ವಕೀಲರು, ಶಿಡ್ಲಘಟ್ಟ ಇವರು ಹಾಜರಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಆರೋಪಿಯ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ವೆಂಕಟರಾವ್, ವಕೀಲರು, ಶಿಡ್ಲಘಟ್ಟ ಇವರು ಹಾಜರಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಆರೋಪಿಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲಾಯಿತು. ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿ ಮತ್ತು ಆರೋಪಿಯ ಪರ ವಕೀಲರ ವಾದವನ್ನು ಆಲಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. ಪ್ರಕರಣದ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಆರೋಪಿಯ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯಾಧಾರಗಳು ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಆರೋಪಿಯನ್ನು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಜಾಮೀನಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

1. ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಪ್ರತಿ ಸೋಮವಾರ ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 10 ಗಂಟೆಯಿಂದ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 1 ಗಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೆ ಶಿಡ್ಲಘಟ್ಟ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಠಾಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿರತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.
2. ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರನ್ನು ಪ್ರಭಾವಿಸಬಾರದು.
3. ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಳು ಮಾಡಬಾರದು.
4. ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಯಾವುದೇ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ದೇಶ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೋಗಬಾರದು.

ಸಹಿ/-
ಶಿಡ್ಲಘಟ್ಟ
4. ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ 2013

ಶ್ರೀ. G. Venkata Rao.
Magistrate
Chikkaballapur District

True Copy

Sd/- Mohammad Ali

26-6-14

ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ — (11)

ಚಿತ್ರಲಿಂಗಪುರ ಠಾಣೆಯ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಇನ್ಸ್‌ಪೆಕ್ಟರ್ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾಧವ ಚಟ್ಟೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯ ಇವರಲ್ಲಿ —

ಚಿತ್ರಲಿಂಗಪುರ ಸಬ್‌ಡಿವಿಷನ್ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ ಎಂ. ರಾಘವೇಂದ್ರ ರಾವ್ ಅವರಿಂದ —

ದಿನಾಂಕ 5-4-1913 ರಂದು ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾದದ್ದು —

ಚಿತ್ರಲಿಂಗಪುರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಸಬ್‌ಡಿವಿಷನ್ ಮ್ಯಾಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟರ ಮುಂದೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಮಾಡಿದ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಗೋವಿಂದಪ್ಪ ಇವರ ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ, ಆರೋಪಿಯಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ ಇವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೊರಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವ ಆರೋಪಣೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆರೋಪಿಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದ ನಂತರ, ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಅಪರಾಧಿಯೆಂದು ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಆತನಿಗೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆಯನ್ನು ವಿಧಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ —

1. ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಶಾಂತಿಯನ್ನು ಭಂಗಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮತ್ತು ಅಕ್ರಮ ಕೂಟದ ಸದಸ್ಯನಾಗಿದ್ದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಆತನಿಗೆ ತಲಾ ಒಂದು ತಿಂಗಳ ಸಾದಾ ಸೆರೆವಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ತಲಾ ಐವತ್ತು ರೂಪಾಯಿ ದಂಡ ವಿಧಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ದಂಡ ಕಟ್ಟಲು ತಪ್ಪಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿಯಾಗಿ ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ತಿಂಗಳ ಸೆರೆವಾಸ ಅನುಭವಿಸಬೇಕು.

2. ಶಿಕ್ಷೆಗಳು ಏಕಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

3. ಅಪರಾಧಿಯು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಅನುಭವಿಸಿರುವ ಬಂಧನದ ಅವಧಿಯನ್ನು ಶಿಕ್ಷೆಯಿಂದ ಕಳೆಯತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

4. ಅಪರಾಧಿಯಿಂದ ವಶಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾದ ಚಾಕುವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಜಪ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಹಿ/-
M. Raghavendra Rao.
Magistrate
Chitralingapura Dist Tharanga

True Copy.
B.D. Madhavachar
— 25-5-14

License

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 28.9.14 [illegible] 30.9.14 [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]

1. [illegible]
2. [illegible]
3. [illegible]
4. [illegible]
5. [illegible]

22.9.14
[illegible]

(Sd. M. Keshavaiah)
Magistrate

True Copy

Sd. Macmanus

2.

ಇಷ್ಟು ಕೊಡುವುದಾಗಿ (ಬಿಟ್ಟಿ)

ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಶರಣಪ್ಪನವರು ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಹೇಳಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದರು. ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಬಂದರು, ಅವರು ಬಂದು ಕುಳಿತರು. ಮಾತುಕತೆ ಆರಂಭವಾಯಿತು. ಶರಣಪ್ಪನವರು ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಹೇಳಿದರು, ನಮ್ಮ ಮಗಳಿಗೆ ಮದುವೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ನಿಮ್ಮ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಸಾಲ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ತೀರಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮ ಮಗಳನ್ನೇ ನಿಮಗೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಅವಳನ್ನು ಮದುವೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿ ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ತೀರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಿ ಎಂದರು. ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡರು. ಮದುವೆಯ ದಿನ ಬಂದಿತು. ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಮದುವೆಗೆ ಬಂದರು. ಮದುವೆಯಾಯಿತು. ಮದುವೆಯಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಹೆಣ್ಣನ್ನು ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಲು ಬಂದರು. ಆಗ ಶರಣಪ್ಪನವರು ಹೇಳಿದರು, ಸಾಲ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಹೆಣ್ಣನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಆದರೆ ಸಾಲ ತೀರಿಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಹೆಣ್ಣನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ. ಹೆಣ್ಣನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಸಾಲ ತೀರಿಸಬೇಕು. ಸಾಲ ತೀರಿಸಿದರೆ ಹೆಣ್ಣನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ ಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದರು. ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಕೋಪಗೊಂಡರು. ಸಾಲ ತೀರಿಸಿ ಹೆಣ್ಣನ್ನು ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗುವುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿ ಹೊರಟುಹೋದರು. ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಹೋದ ಮೇಲೆ ಶರಣಪ್ಪನವರು ಹೆಂಡತಿಗೆ ಹೇಳಿದರು, ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಸಾಲ ತೀರಿಸಿ ಹೆಣ್ಣನ್ನು ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗುವುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅವರು ಸಾಲ ತೀರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಹೆಣ್ಣನ್ನು ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರು ಸಾಲ ತೀರಿಸಿ ಹೆಣ್ಣನ್ನು ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಲಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಹೆಂಡತಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟರು. ಹೆಂಡತಿ ಗಂಡನ ಮನೆಗೆ ಹೋದಳು. ಗಂಡನ ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನೂ ಮಾಡಲು ಬಿಡಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವಳು ಸುಖವಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದಳು. ಕೆಲವು ದಿನಗಳಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ಗಂಡು ಮಗು ಹುಟ್ಟಿತು. ಅವಳು ಸಂತೋಷದಿಂದ ಇದ್ದಳು. ಮಗು ಬೆಳೆಯಿತು. ಅವಳು ಸಂತೋಷದಿಂದ ಇದ್ದಳು. ಮಗು ಬೆಳೆದು ದೊಡ್ಡವನಾಯಿತು. ಅವಳು ಸಂತೋಷದಿಂದ ಇದ್ದಳು. ಮಗನಿಗೆ ಮದುವೆ ಮಾಡಿದಳು. ಅವಳು ಸಂತೋಷದಿಂದ ಇದ್ದಳು. ಮೊಮ್ಮಕ್ಕಳು ಹುಟ್ಟಿದರು. ಅವಳು ಸಂತೋಷದಿಂದ ಇದ್ದಳು. ಮೊಮ್ಮಕ್ಕಳು ಬೆಳೆದು ದೊಡ್ಡವರಾದರು. ಅವಳು ಸಂತೋಷದಿಂದ ಇದ್ದಳು. ಮೊಮ್ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಮದುವೆ ಮಾಡಿದಳು. ಅವಳು ಸಂತೋಷದಿಂದ ಇದ್ದಳು. ಮರಿಮಕ್ಕಳು ಹುಟ್ಟಿದರು. ಅವಳು ಸಂತೋಷದಿಂದ ಇದ್ದಳು. ಮರಿಮಕ್ಕಳು ಬೆಳೆದು ದೊಡ್ಡವರಾದರು. ಅವಳು ಸಂತೋಷದಿಂದ ಇದ್ದಳು. ಮರಿಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಮದುವೆ ಮಾಡಿದಳು. ಅವಳು ಸಂತೋಷದಿಂದ ಇದ್

ಸಾಂಖ್ಯ, ಸಾಂಖ್ಯಯೋಗ, ಕರ್ಮಸಂನ್ಯಾಸಯೋಗ, ಆತ್ಮಸಂಯಮಯೋಗ ಮೊದಲಾದ ಹೆಸರುಗಳಿಂದ ಕರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಅಧ್ಯಾಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕರ್ಮಯೋಗ, ಜ್ಞಾನಯೋಗ, ಭಕ್ತಿಯೋಗ ಮತ್ತು ಧ್ಯಾನಯೋಗಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೇಳಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಅಧ್ಯಾಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕರ್ಮಯೋಗದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೇಳಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕರ್ಮಯೋಗವೆಂದರೆ ಫಲಾಪೇಕ್ಷೆಯಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಕರ್ಮಗಳನ್ನು ಆಚರಿಸುವುದು. ಕರ್ಮಯೋಗವು ಜ್ಞಾನಯೋಗಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಶ್ರೇಷ್ಠವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಅಧ್ಯಾಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕರ್ಮಯೋಗವನ್ನು ಆಚರಿಸುವುದರಿಂದ ಆಗುವ ಪ್ರಯೋಜನಗಳನ್ನ

ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವಾನುಮತದಿಂದ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ನಿರ್ಣಯಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಯಿತು.

1. ಶಾಲೆಯ ಆವರಣ ಗೋಡೆಯ ಪಶ್ಚಿಮ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 15 ಮೀಟರ್ ಉದ್ದದ ಗೋಡೆ ಕುಸಿದಿದ್ದು, ಅದನ್ನು ತಕ್ಷಣ ಪುನರ್ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಲು ಸಮಿತಿ ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿತು. ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ ₹ 1,20,000.

2. ಶಾಲೆಯ ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿನ ಬಾವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೂಳು ತುಂಬಿದ್ದು, ಬೇಸಿಗೆಗೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಹೂಳೆತ್ತಲು ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

3. ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನದ ಬಿಸಿಯೂಟಕ್ಕೆ ಅಡುಗೆ ಅನಿಲ ಸಿಲಿಂಡರ್ ಬದಲಿಗೆ ಸೌದೆ ಒಲೆಯನ್ನು ಬಳಸಲು ಸಮಿತಿ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ನೀಡಿತು. ಸೌದೆಯನ್ನು ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರಿಂದ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

4. ಶಾಲೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯೋಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿದೇವಿ ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು 142 ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಿದ್ದು, ಇಬ್ಬರು ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರ ಕೊರತೆ ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

5. ಸಭೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಗೌಡರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರ ಕೊರತೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆಯಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದರು.

6. ಶಾಲೆಯ ಸಮೀಪ ಸಂಚಾರ ದಟ್ಟಣೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಮಕ್ಕಳ ಸುರಕ್ಷತೆಗಾಗಿ ಒಬ್ಬ ಸಂಚಾರ ಮಾರ್ಗದರ್ಶಿಯನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸಲು ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

7. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದ ಎಲ್ಲ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳನ್ನು ಅರ್ಪಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

25-11-

Time Copy to Dhanalakshmi Bank

ಪೆಟ್ಟಲಾದಭಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಾರ್ಯದ ಅಧ್ಯಯ
ಮತ್ತು ಸಕ್ಕರೆ ಕಾರ್ಖಾನೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ
ಪೀಠಿಕೆ

ಸಹಯೋಗ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಮಳಿಂದ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯವಿಧಾನ
ಯನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಮಳಿಂದ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆಯವರೆಗೆ
ಆಯಿತು. ಕೆಲವು ಪ್ರಕಾರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆಯನ್ನು
ಅಭ್ಯಾಸ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಿಂದ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಿ
ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಒಳಗೆ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ
ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಒಳಗಿನಿಂದ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು
ತೆಗೆದ ತೆಂಗಿನ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗೆ, ಬಾಯಿ
ಲ್ಲಿ, ಮಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಒಳಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗೆ
ರೂಪಾಯಿ, ೫ 20,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ೫ 2000, ಬಿ
ರ್ ೫ 1000 ಅಂದು 23,000 ಬಿಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲಂಕಾರ
ಬಿಟ್ಟಿ ಮಳಿಗೆ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ೫ 13000, ಲಾಭಾಂಶ
36000, ಅಂಗಡಿಗಳು ಮಳಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ
ಬಿಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಂಡು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವ
ಅಭ್ಯಾಸ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ, ಮಂಡಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ, ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಮಂಡಳಿಗಳ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ
ಬಿಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಂಡು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವ. ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ
ಅಭ್ಯಾಸ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಕೆಲಸ, ಕೆಲಸ
ಮಾಡಿ. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿ
ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ 23000. ಅಭ್ಯಾಸ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಮಂಡಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಬಿಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಂಡು ಮಾಡಿ ಮಳಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಡಳಿ
ಬಿಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಡಳಿಗಳ ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಳಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಬಿಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಡಳಿಗಳ ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಳಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಬಿ ಮಂಡಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಮಂಡಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ. ಅಂಗಡಿ
ಬಿಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಡಳಿಗಳ, ಮಂಡಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಡಳಿ,

ಕಿಷ್ಕಿಂಧೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಿಷ್ಕಿಂಧಾಕಾಂಡ ಬಾಗದು. ಕಿಷ್ಕಿಂಧಾ
ಪುರಿಯ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಭುಗಳಿಸಿಲಿಂಡರು, ಬಗಲಿಲ್ಲವರು
ಪಿಳ್ಳಾಕಿಷ್ಕಿಂಧಕ್ಕೆ, ಪಿಲ್ಲ ಬಂಧನಾಂಗಲ್ಲಿ, ದೆಳ್ಳಿಂ
ಅಲವಂದಿ, ಗುಹೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಚಂದರು, ದಂಡಿಂ
ದಂಪಿಲಿಂದ ಇವನ ನಕ್ಷಿಂಗಿ. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿಕ್ಷ್ಯವಿಳಿ
ನೆ ಕಾಲಿಮಾದ ಶ್ರೀಕಿಂಗ ಪುರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ, ಭಿಡಿಗಿ
ಕಿಷ್ಕಿಂಧೆಕ್ಕಿ. ದಂಪವಪಾಶಿನು ಅಲವಂಪವಂ
ಠದೆ ನಕ್ಷಿಪಂಬ ಕಿಷ್ಕಿಂಧಾಕಾಂಡ—ಲಲಿಪದು—
ಸಪ್ತಪಂಗ ಕಿಷ್ಕಿಂಧದ ಕಾಂಡಗಳಿಂದ—ಶಿಪಿಪಂ, ದು
ಲ್ಲಿ ಪದ್ಯ 1130.

True Copy

K.D. Manikandan

2.[illegible]16

(52)

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪಂಬರ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ -

ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಾದ ಸಂಖ್ಯಾಗಣಿತ
ಶಾಲಾಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ತೆಲುಗು ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಉತ್ತೀರ್ಣರಾದ
ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಇಚ್ಛಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಾಗಿ, ಅವರು
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿ, ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಅನ್ಯ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ
ಯಾವುದೇ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಥವಾ
ಸೇರಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ, ಅಥವಾ ಅವರು ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ, ಅವರು ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಇರುವಾಗ ಅಥವಾ ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ನಿವೃತ್ತರಾದ ನಂತರ ಅವರು
ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಸೇವೆಯ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ
ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಅರ್ಹತೆಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ಅಥವಾ
ಅವರ ಸೇವಾವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಥವಾ

(53)

ಸೈನಿಕ ಶಾಲೆ ಚಿಕ್ಕಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರ

ದಿನಾಂಕ

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಸಿ. ರೆಡ್ಡಿಯವರ ಪುತ್ಥಳಿಯನ್ನು ಅನಾವರಣಗೊಳಿಸಿದ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರ ಕುಟುಂಬದವರು ಹಾಗೂ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಹಿತೈಷಿಗಳು ಉಪಸ್ಥಿತರಿದ್ದರು. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಸಿ. ರೆಡ್ಡಿಯವರು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಸ್ಮರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಅವರ ಪುತ್ಥಳಿಯನ್ನು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಆವರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗಾಗಿ ಅವರು

ಸಾಲಾಗಿ ಕಾಯುವ ಹೋಟೆಲಿಗೆ ಬಳ್ಳಿ ಅವರನ್ನು ಇಳಿಸಿ ಹೊರಟು ಹೋದರು. ಅವರು ಹೋದ ಮೇಲೆ ಬಳ್ಳಿ ಅವರು ಒಳಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಒಂದು ಟೇಬಲ್ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಕುಳಿತರು. ಸಪ್ಲೈಯರ್ ಬಂದು ಆರ್ಡರ್ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋದ. ಅಷ್ಟರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಒಬ್ಬ ಹುಡುಗ ಬಳ್ಳಿ ಅವರ ಬಳಿ ಬಂದು "ಸರ್, ನಿಮ್ಮ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಮಾತನಾಡಬೇಕು" ಎಂದ. ಬಳ್ಳಿ ಅವರು ಅವನನ್ನು ಕುಳಿತುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅವನು ಕುಳಿತುಕೊಂಡು ಹೇಳಿದ ಮಾತುಗಳು ಬಳ್ಳಿ ಅವರನ್ನು ಬೆಚ್ಚಿ ಬೀಳಿಸಿದವು. ಅವನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ಹೀಗೆ: "ಸರ್, ನಾನು ಈ ಹೋಟೆಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿಕೊಂಡು ಒಂದು ವಾರವಾಯಿತು. ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವವರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಬಹಳ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯವರು. ಆದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವ ಒಬ್ಬ ಸಪ್ಲೈಯರ್ ಮಾತ್ರ ಬಹಳ ಕೆಟ್ಟವನು. ಅವನು ಗ್ರಾಹಕರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುವ ಆಹಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಉಗುಳಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾನೆ. ಅಡುಗೆಯವರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಆಹಾರವನ್ನು ಕೆಲವೊಮ್ಮೆ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಬೀಳಿಸಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾನೆ. ಅವನ ಈ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಹಲವಾರು ಬಾರಿ ನೋಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅವನು ಹೊಡೆಯುವ ಭಯದಿಂದ ನಾನು ಯಾರಿಗೂ ಹೇಳಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಇಂದು ಅವನು ಮಾಡಿದ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ನೋಡಿ ನನಗೆ ಸಹಿಸಲಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವನು ಒಬ್ಬ ಗ್ರಾಹಕನಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಲು ಇಟ್ಟಿದ್ದ ಆಹಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಉಗುಳಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದ. ಅಷ್ಟರಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಅವನನ್ನು ತಡೆದು ಅವನಿಗೆ ಬುದ್ಧಿ ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಆಗ ಅವನು ನನಗೆ ಹೊಡೆಯಲು ಬಂದ. ಆಗ ನಾನು ಅವನಿಗೆ ಹೊಡೆದು ಅವನನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಓಡಿಸಿದೆ. ಅವನು ಹೋಗುವಾಗ ನನಗೆ ಜೀವ ಬೆದರಿಕೆ ಹಾಕಿ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದಾನೆ. ಅವನು ಮತ್ತೆ ಬಂದರೆ ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಕೊಂದೇ ಬಿಡುತ್ತಾನೆ. ಅವನಿಂದ ನನ್ನನ್ನು ರಕ್ಷಿಸಿ ಸರ್" ಎಂದು ಅಳಲು ತೋಡಿಕೊಂಡ. ಬಳ್ಳಿ ಅವರು ಅವನಿಗೆ ಸಮಾಧಾನ ಹೇಳಿ ಅವನನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದರು. ಅವನು ಹೋದ ಮೇಲೆ ಬಳ್ಳಿ ಅವರು ಯೋಚಿಸಲು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿದರು. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅವನ ಮೇಲೆ ಅನುಮಾನ ಬಂದಿತು. ಅವನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ಸುಳ್ಳು ಎಂದು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅನಿಸಿತು. ಅವನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ಸತ್ಯವಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಅವನು ಹೇಳಿದಂತೆ ಅವನಿಗೆ ಜೀವ ಬೆದರಿಕೆ ಇರುವುದು ನಿಜವಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಅವನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಓಡಿ ಹೋಗಿರಬೇಕಿತ್ತು. ಆದರೆ ಅವನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾನೆ. ಅವನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ಸುಳ್ಳು ಎಂದು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಖಚಿತವಾಯಿತು

ಈ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಪೈ-ಡಿ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪ ಆಗಿರುವ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಅವರು ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವ ಶಾಲೆಯ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿದೆ. ಈ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಿರುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಾಗಿದ್ದು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆಯುವ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಹಿಂಪಡೆಯಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ
ಆಯುಕ್ತರಿಗಾಗಿ

True Copy
B.D. Kashikar

2/-

174

ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾಮಂಡಲೇಶ್ವರ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್
ಶಿವಸಮುದ್ರ ಪ್ರಭುಶಂಕರಾಭರಣ ಸುಬೇದಾರ
ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಕರವಂದೆ -

ಹಿಂದುಗಡೆ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯಾಗಿರುವ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಶಗಳ
ಶಿರಸ್ತೆದಾರ ಪ್ರಾಯಶ್ಚಿತ್ತದ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ ಬರೆದಿರುವ
ಮಾತಿಗೆ ನಾನು ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಬರೆಯುವುದು - ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ
ಹೇಳಿರುವದಲ್ಲದೆ ಲೌಕ್ಯಾಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಪ್ರಾಯಶ್ಚಿತ್ತ
ಕ್ಕೆ ಬಗ್ಗಿ ನಡೆಯಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವದು — ಶಾಂತ್ರಿಕ
ಕೆಲವರ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಂತಿಕ ಮಾಡಿಕ್ಕೆ ಬಗ್ಗುವಾದರೆ ಅಂಥವರ
ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿಡದು ಅಲ್ಲವೆ? ಈಗ ಕರವಲ
ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ನಾನು ಅಂಗಡಿಯವನೆ. ಅದಲ್ಲಾದರೆ ಕಾರ
ದವನಾದರೆ ಬಡಿಯುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಲ್ಲಿಂಡಿಕ್ಕೆ. ಕೊಂಬುವ
ಜನ ಬೈಯುವರು. ಕಾವಿಕರೆಯುವವರಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂ
ದು ಬೈದಾಗ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲ್ಲಾ ಆಕಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಿಸು
ತ್ತೇವೆಯೆ? ಅಲ್ಲಾ ಕೊಡಿಸುವದಿಲ್ಲ. ಹಾಗೆ ಕೊಡಿಸಿ ಬಿಟ್ಟರೆ
ಕೊಂಡವನ ಬಾಯಿಯನ್ನು ಬಿಗಿಸಿ ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವದು
ಕರವಲ್ಲವೆ. ಆಕಳಂ ಬೆಲೆ ಬೈಯುವವರು ನಿ
ನ್ನಿಂದ ಶಿರವಾಗಿ ಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದ ಬೇಕೆಂದು
ಕರೆದು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರೆನ್ನುವದು ಬೇಡ್ಡ. ಇಲ್ಲವೆ ಆವ
ರನ್ನು ಕರೆಯಿಸಿದ್ದರೆನ್ನುವದು ಬೇಡ್ಡ. ಕೊಂಡವನು
ಕೊಂಡಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದು ಬಲ್ಲವರೆ ಬೈದು ನಮ್ಮ
ಕೆ ತಪ್ಪಿಸಬೇಕೆಂತಾದರೆ ಆಕಳಂ ಬೆಲೆ
ಬೈಯಲು, ಅದಲಾರದೆ ಕೈಲಾದಷ್ಟು ಅಂಬಲಿ
ಯಲು, ಅದಲಾರದೆ ಬಡಿಯಲು ಕಲ್ಲಿಂಡಿಕ್ಕಲು
ಕೆಲವರು ಬಂದರೆ ಆಕಳಂ ಬೆಲೆ
ಬೈಯುವದಕ್ಕೆ

ಅಂತಿಮ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯವರು ಆಯಾಯ ಕೌಂಟಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ
ಅಕ್ಕಪಕ್ಕದ ಜಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಶಂಖುಸ್ಥಾಪನೆ ಅಥವಾ ಉದ್ಘಾಟನೆಯಂಥ
ಪಕ್ಷದ ಇನ್ನಿತರೆ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ.
ಮತದಾನದ ಹಿಂದಿನ ದಿನ ಸಂಜೆ ಅವರು
ಮಾಡಿದ ಭಾಷಣ. ಅವರ ಪಕ್ಷದ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು
ಅಥವಾ ಇತರೆ ಪಕ್ಷಗಳ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಸಹ ಇಂಥ
ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅವರ ಪಕ್ಷದ
ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಸಹ ಇಂಥ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.
ಅವರ

ಹೀಗೆ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಲುಕಿದಾಗ ನಾವು
ಸೀತಾ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಭಾಗ ಎಲ್ಲಿ ಓದಿದ್ದೀವಿ
ಎಂದು ನೆನಪಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು —

ಪಳ್ಳಿಕೋಡು ಶಿವರಾಂಪ ಎಂಬುವವರು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಸ್ವೀಟಾಗಿ
ಬರೆಯಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಿದರು, ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಪೂರ್ವಕವಾಗಿ ಸಿಹಿ
ಸ್ವೀಟ್ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಮಾಡಿದ ಪದವಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಇಂಥ ಸ್ವೀಟ್ ಆದ
ಪಿಸ್ತಾಲೆ. ಹಲ್ಲು ಕಿರಿದಾಗುವಂತೆ ಬಾಯಿ ಸಿಹಿ ಮಾಡಿ,
ಕಡಿಮೆ ಸಿಹಿಯಾಗುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿದ ಪದ ಪಿಸ್ತಾಲೆ

ಅವರು ಬಾಲಿಕೆಯರನ್ನು ಕಾಣಲು ಬಂದಾಗ
ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವರು ಸಿಹಿ ತಿಂದಿದ್ದರು ಎಂದು
ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು, ಅವರು ಬಾಲಿಕೆಯರು ಸಿಹಿ ತಿಂದಿದ್ದರು.
ಹಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಸಿಹಿ ತಿಂದವರನ್ನು ಸೀತಾ ಎಂದು ಕರೆದು
ದನ್ಯಕುಮಾರ ಪಿಠಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಳಸಿದ್ದು ಕರೆದು
ದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಅವರು ಬಾಲಿಕೆಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಹಿ
ತಿಂದವರನ್ನು ಸೀತಾ ಎಂದು ಕರೆದಿದ್ದಾರೆ
ಸಿಂಧು ಎಂದು ಅವರು ಬಾಲಿಕೆಯರಿಗೆ ಸೀತಾ
ಹಾಗೂ ಉಳಿದವರಿಂದ ಬೇರ್ಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ
ಸಿಹಿ ತಿಂದವರು ಸೀತಾ ಎಂಬ ಸಂಕೇತವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ
ಸಿಹಿ ತಿಂದವರು ಸೀತಾ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ
ಸಿಹಿ ತಿಂದವರು ಸೀತಾ ಎಂಬ ಸಂಕೇತವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ
ಸಿಹಿ ತಿಂದವರು ಸೀತಾ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ
ಸಿಹಿ ತಿಂದವರು ಸೀತಾ ಎಂಬ ಸಂಕೇತವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ

ನಿನ್ನ ಅಂಚೆಪತ್ರ ಸಂಬಂಧ ನಾನು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬರೆದಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಈ ಸಲವೂ ಅಂಚೆಪತ್ರವೊಂದನ್ನು ಬರೆದಿದ್ದೆ ಎಂದು ನೆನಪು. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಅಂಚೆಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯುವಂಥದ್ದು ಏನೂ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಹೀಗಾಗಿ ಅಂಚೆಪತ್ರ ಬರೆಯಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ಬರೆಯುವಂಥದ್ದು ಸಾಕಷ್ಟಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲ ಬರೆಯಲು ಸಮಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಹೀಗಾಗಿ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತವಾಗಿ ಬರೆಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಷ್ಟಕ್ಕೂ ಈ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆಯಲು ಕಾರಣವಾದರೂ ಏನು? ಕಳೆದ ಭಾನುವಾರ ಸಂಜೆ ಸುಮಾರು ೪ ಗಂಟೆಯ ಸಮಯ. ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬನೇ ಕುಳಿತಿದ್ದೆ. ಆಗ ಅಚಾನಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮಾಧವಕಣ್ಣಮಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದರು. ಅವರು ಬಂದಿದ್ದು ಸಂತೋಷವಾಯಿತು. ಆದರೆ ಅವರು ಬಂದಿದ್ದು ಏಕೆ? ಎಂಬ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಕಾಡಿತು. ಅವರು ಬಂದಿದ್ದು ಸಂತೋಷವಾಯಿತು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆನಲ್ಲ ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಅವರು ಬಂದಾಗ ಮಾತ್ರ ನಾನು ಸಂತೋಷವಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲವಾದರೆ, ನಾನು ಹೇಳಿದ ಸಮಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಬರದೆ ಇದ್ದಾಗ ಮಾತ್ರ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆಯುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಅಷ್ಟೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ನಿನ್ನ
ಸ್ನೇಹಿತ
ಸಿ.ಎಸ್.ಪಾಟೀಲ

Yours Copy -
D.N. Madakannavar.

25-5-16

(1)

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಟ್ಟಣಶೆಟ್ಟಿ ಸಾಲಾಪುರ ನಿವಾಸಿ ವಾಣಿಜ್ಯ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ
ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ಪತ್ರವು ಬೆಳ್ಳಿಯ ಸರಕಿನ
ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇರುವಂತೆ

ಹಳೆಯ ಮಿತ್ರರು - ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಓದಿ
ಸಂತೋಷವಾಯಿತು. ನೀವು ಬರೆದಿರುವ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು
ಗಮನಿಸಿದೆ. ನಿಮ್ಮ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು
ನಾನು ಸಿದ್ಧನಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಆದರೆ ಈಗ ಬೆಳ್ಳಿಯ
ಬೆಲೆಯು ಬಹಳ ಏರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ
ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಕಾಲ ಕಾದು ನೋಡಿ ಬೆಲೆಯು ಇಳಿಮುಖವಾದಾಗ
ಸರಕನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಿ. ಆಗ ನಿಮಗೆ ಲಾಭವು
ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ದೊರೆಯುವುದು. ಈಗ ಸರಕನ್ನು
ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದರೆ ನಿಮಗೆ ನಷ್ಟವಾಗುವುದು.
ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಕಾಲ ಕಾದು ನೋಡಿ
ಸರಕನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಿ. ಇತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ
ಮಿತ್ರ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿಯಾರು ಬರೆದ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಓದಿ
ಸಂತೋಷವಾಯಿತು. ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು
ಓದಿದೆ. ನಮಗೆ ಬೇಕಾದ ಸರಕನ್ನು
ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಸಿದ್ಧರಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಎಂದು
ತಿಳಿದು ಸಂತೋಷವಾಯಿತು. ಆದರೆ ಈಗ
ಬೆಳ್ಳಿಯ ಬೆಲೆಯು ಏರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ ಎಂದು
ತಿಳಿದು ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಕಾಲ
ಕಾದು ನೋಡಿ ಬೆಲೆಯು ಇಳಿಮುಖವಾದಾಗ
ಸರಕನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಿ. ಆಗ ನಿಮಗೆ
ಲಾಭವು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ದೊರೆಯುವುದು. ಈಗ
ಸರಕನ್ನು

ಇತರ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಪೂರೈಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಸಲಹಾ ಸಮಿತಿಯ
ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾಗಿ ಅಂಬೆಡ್ಕರ್ ಅವರು ಇತರ
ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳನ್ನು ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ
ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಸಲಹೆಗಳನ್ನು ನೀಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ
ಸಹ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳು ಪಾಲ್ಗೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ
ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ

True Copy
B.L. Nagarajaiah

ತೀರ್ಪನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಲಾ ಸಂತೆಬೆನ್ನೂರು ಪೋಲೀಸ್
ಠಾಣೆ ಕಡತ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಇನ್ಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಟರ್ ಸಮಕ್ಷಂ
ಬರೆದಿದ್ದು

ಪತ್ರವು ಅಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟೀಕರಣಕ್ಕಾಗಿ
ಅದನ್ನು ಪೋಲೀಸ್ ಇನ್ಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಟರ್ ಸಮಕ್ಷಂ
ಹಾಜರಾದಾಗ ಅವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಪತ್ರದ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಬರೆದು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು
ಪತ್ರವು ಅವರ ಸಹಿಯಿಂದ ಇರುವುದಾಗಿ
ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಪತ್ರವು
ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅರ್ಹವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಸಾಂದರ್ಭಿಕ ಪುರಾವೆಯ ಸಂಕೋಚನ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ
ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯ ಕಾಯ್ದೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖವಾಗಿದೆ. ಅದರ ಅನ್ವಯ
ಪ್ರಕರಣದ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತವಾಗಿರುವ
ಯಾವುದೇ ವಿಷಯ ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅರ್ಹವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.
ಪ್ರಕರಣದ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಪ್ರಸ್ತುತವಾಗಿರುವ
ಯಾವುದೇ ವಿಷಯ ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅರ್ಹವಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ
ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ
ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯಿಂದ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳ ಸಂಘರ್ಷ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿದೆ
ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಎಂಬಾತ ಮೃತಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾನೆ. ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ
ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅರ್ಹವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ —
ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಆ ॥ ಇ ॥ ಎಂಬಾತನ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಂದರ್ಭಿಕ
ಪುರಾವೆಯ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವ ಸಂಗತಿಗಳು
ಅಡಕವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯು ಮೃತಪಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ
ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯು ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅರ್ಹವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.
ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯು ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅರ್ಹವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ
ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ
ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯು ಆರೋಪಿಯ ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದು ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಮೇಲೆ
ಅತ್ಯಾಚಾರ ಎಸಗಿದ್ದು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯು ಗರ್ಭಿಣಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದು
ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯನ್ನು ಮದುವೆಯಾಗಲು
ನಿರಾಕರಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯು ಆರೋಪಿಯ ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಆತ್ಮಹತ್ಯೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದು ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ
ಆತ್ಮಹತ್ಯೆಗೆ ಪ್ರಚೋದನೆ ನೀಡಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ
ನೀಡಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ. ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯು
ಆರೋಪಿಯ ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದು
ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಅತ್ಯಾಚಾರ

ಅಳತಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ತಾಲೂಕಿನ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳ ಹೆಸರು
ಸಹಿ ಇಲ್ಲದ ಸಿಳ್ಳು ಹಾಕುವವರಿಂದ ಪಲಾಯನ

ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂಬ ಹೆಸರು
ಮಾಂತ್ರಿಕನಾ

True Copy.
B.D. Mantrawadi
25 ::

ಸೈಮನ್ನನ ಕಲ ಸಿಲ್ಲಾನಂದಿಗ್ಗಿರಾಂಡ, ನಿಲಾಂಗೋಲೆ
ಕಳಿಸುಂಡಿ, ಚುರಮಲ್ಲಿಗಾಂಡ್ಲೂರ ಸಾಕಲಂಡ್ಲಾ
ಲ್ಯೂರಲಾಂಡೆ

ಚಿ ಅಲಂಡ್ಲಾ ಅಲಗಾಲಂಡ ಶ್ಲೋಡಾಲ್ಲಾ ಸಾಕಲಿಂಚಿ
ಅಲಂ ವಿಲಂಬಕ್ಕೆಂಬಗಿನಿಲ್ಲಾಂಡಾ ಸಾಸ್ಟಳ್ಳಿ ಲಂಬಿಸಾಡ
ಲಿಲಂಬಾಂಡ್ಲಾ ಡ್ರಾಪ್ಯ ವಲಿಸಾಂಬಾಂಡಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ಯಾಲೆ
ಕಂಡ್ಲೆ ವಲಂಬಾಂಡಿಸಲಂಬಾಂಗ್ಲಾಂ ಲಾಂಡಿಸಿಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಿಸಾಂಡ ಡಾಂಗೆ ಸಾಂಡಿಸಾಂಡಲ್ಲಾ ಆಡಾಲಾ

ಆಸಿಲಲಿಸಾ ಪಾಲಾರ ಆಲ್ಲಿಸಿಲಿಲೆ ಸಿಲಾಂಡಾಂಡ್ಲಾ
ನಲ, ಆ ಪಾಲಾರಲಾರು ಸಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಾಲಾರೆ ಸಿಡಾಂಲಂಡಾಲಾ
ಸಲ್ಲಿಲ ಪುಲೆ ಲಾಡಾಸಿಲಾಂಡಲ ಲಾಲಿಸ್ಲೆ ಲಂಲಂಡಾಲಾಂಡಿ
ಲಂಲಂಡ್ಲಾ ಸಿಡಾಲಿ ಲಾಂಡ, ಸಿಲಾಂಡ್ಲಾಂಲಲ ಲಾಲ್ಲಂಲಂ ಲಾಲ್ಲಿ
ಲಲಲಾಲಾರ್ಲ ಟಲಾಲಾರಲಾಲಾ ಲಾಂಡ ಲಾಂಡ ಲಾಲ್ಲಿ
ಸಿಲಾ ಸಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಾಂಡಲಾಲಾಲಾರು ಲಲಂಲ್ಲಿ ಲಲಂ
ಲ್ಲಿ ಲಲ್ಲಿ ಲಿಲಲ ಲಾಲ್ಲಿ ಲಾಂಡ ಲಾಲ್ಲಾಲಿ ಲಾಲಾಲಾಂಲಾ
ಪಾಲಾರಲಾರ ಲಾಂಡ ಸ್ಲೆ ಲಾಲಿಲ್ಲಿ ಲಾಲಾಲಾಂಲಾ
ಸಿಲಾಸಿಲಾ ಲಾಲ್ಲಿಲಾಲಿ ಲಲಂಲಾಲಾ. ಲಂಲ್ಲಾ ಲಲಾಂಲಲಲಂಲಿ
ಲಾಡಾಲಾಲಂಲಾಲ್ಲಿ. ಆಲಾರು ಲಲ್ಲ ಲಿಲ್ಲಿಲಲಿಲ್ಲಿ ಲಲ್ಲಾಲ
ಲಿಲ್ಲಿ ಲಾಲಿಲಾಲಾ ಲಾಂಡಲ್ಲಿ. ಲಾಲಾ ಆಲಿಲಿಲ್ಲಿ ಲಿಲ್ಲಿ
ಲಿಲಿಲಾ ಲಲಾಲ್ಲಾಲಿ. ದ ಲಾಲಾಲಾಂಲಾಲಾ ಲಾರಲಾಲಾ ಲಂಲಾಲ್ಲಿ
ದ ಆಲಿಲಲ ಲಿಲಾಲಿಲ ಲಲಿಲಿ ಲಿಲಾಲಿಲಿಲಾ ಲಿಲಾಲಲಿಲ್ಲಾಲಾ
ಆಲಿಲಾಲಾ ಲಾಲಾಲಾಲಾಲಾ ಆ ಲಲಿಲಿಲಾಲಾ ಲಿಲಾ
ಲಾ ಲಿಲಾಲಿಲಾ ಲಾಲಾಲಾರು ಲಾಲಾ ಲಲಾಲಿ ಲಾಲಾಲಾಲಾ
ಲಿಲ, ಆ ಲಾಲಾಲಾ ಲಲಾಲಾಲಾ ಲಿಲಾಲಿಲಾಲಾ ಲಲಿಲಿಲಲಾಲಾ
ಲಿ ಆಲಾಲಿಲಲಿ ಲಲಲಾ ಲಾಲಾ. ಲಾಲಾಲಿ ಲಾಲಿಲಾಲಾ

True Copy

B.D. Mankani Adv.

1956

ಸನ್ 1882ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ — ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ

ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ (ಇ) ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಚರಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದ

ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಲಿಖಿತ ಸಂಗತಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆ ಸಹಿ

ಈ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದೆ

ಅವರಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆದು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ ಸಹಿ

ನೀವು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಪತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ

ಅವರಿಂದ ಪತ್ರ ಬಂದಿದೆ.

ಪೇಜು - 213 ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ॥

ಕಲಂ :-

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಮನ್ಸ್ ಜಾರಿಮಾಡುವಂತೆ ಕೋರಿ

ಮಾಡಿದ ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿದಾಗ

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಮನ್ಸ್ ಜಾರಿಮಾಡಲು

ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಶುಲ್ಕವನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿಸಿಲ್ಲ,

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು

ಪುರಸ್ಕರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳಿಗೆ

ಸಮನ್ಸ್ ಜಾರಿಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಸಿವಿಲ್ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯಾ ಸಂಹಿತೆಯ ಆದೇಶ

ಮತ್ತು ನಿಯಮಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಮನ್ಸ್

ಜಾರಿಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಪೇ.ಸಂ 12

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ, ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯ ಪರವಾಗಿ

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ವಿಚಾರಣೆ

ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಪೇಜು - ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ

Page. 222. 23. 25.

ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಜ್ಞಾನ ಪಾಂಡಿತ್ಯ ನಮ್ಮ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರ-
ಪಂಚ ಅಲ್ಲಾಡುವಲ್ಲಿ ಇವರ ಕೈ
ನ್ನ ಅಕ್ಷರಜ್ಞಾನ ಅಷ್ಟಕ್ಕಷ್ಟೆ ಇರಬೇಕು
ಕಾಣುವ ನಿಮ್ಮ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಾಗಲಿ —

ಸಂಪಾದಕರ ಸಂಗತಿ
ಇಷ್ಟಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಗಿಯಿತು

Time Cafe

COURT FEE
Hemajetha Hall
14-7-14
EIGHT ANNAS

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
29.9.16
Date of Receipt
Petn. Regr. No.

EIGHT ANNAS

193

I am an Assistth Director. Co-operative
Society. Central Circle.

1911

S. [illegible]



197

ದಾಸ್ತಾನು ಪುಸ್ತಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅಕ್ಷರದಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಲಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ

(18)

804

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Date of Receipt 29.9.16
Petn. Regd. No. 9803

File No. 202-14
Sl. No. 24

ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿದರು. ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಮುಂದೆ ಅವರ ಜೀವನವು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಸೃಷ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಕಳೆಯಿತು. ಅವರು ಸುಮಾರು 40 ಕಾದಂಬರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆದಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಕಾದಂಬರಿಗಳು ಅನುವಾದಗೊಂಡಿವೆ. ಅವರ ಕಾದಂಬರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಬರುವ ಪಾತ್ರಗಳು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಜನರ ಪಾತ್ರಗಳಾಗಿವೆ. ಅವರ ಕಾದಂಬರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಪ್ರಾಮುಖ್ಯತೆ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದ

ಸಭೆಗೆ ಬರಬೇಕು ಕೆಲವು ಸಲಹೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಇವರು
ಸಲಹೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಯಾರಿಗೆ ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಅವಶ್ಯವಿದೆಯೋ ಅವರಿಗೆ
ಸೌಲಭ್ಯ ಒದಗಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು
ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳ ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶವನ್ನು ಕಡಿಮೆಮಾಡಲು ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕು
ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದಾಗ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತವಾಗಿ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು
ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು.

4 ನಂತರ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ ಶ್ರೀ ಸೈಯದ್ ಸಾದಿಕ್ ಅಲಿಯವರು
ಹೇಳಿದರು, ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್.ಎಂ.ಕೃಷ್ಣರವರು ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿದಿದ್ದಾರೆ,
ಅವುಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ಕಂಡುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ
ಎಂದರು, ಅಲ್ಪಸಂಖ್ಯಾತರು ಅಭದ್ರತೆಯಿಂದ
ಬಳಲುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ವರ್ಗಗಳು ಅಂದರೆ
ಬಹುಸಂಖ್ಯಾತರು ಎಂಬ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಸಾಚಾರ್
ವರದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ವರ್ಗಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲ್ಪಸಂಖ್ಯಾತರಿಗೆ
ಶೇಕಡಾ ೮ರಷ್ಟು ಮೀಸಲಾತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು
ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಅಲ್ಪಸಂಖ್ಯಾತರಿಗೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿದೆ
ಎಂಬ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವಿದೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಬೇಕು.
ಅಲ್ಪಸಂಖ್ಯಾತರಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ವರ್ಗಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದವರಿಗೆ
ಮಾತ್ರ ಮೀಸಲಾತಿ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯ ಸಿಗಬೇಕು. ಅಲ್ಪಸಂಖ್ಯಾತರಲ್ಲಿ
ಮೇಲ್ವರ್ಗದವರಿಗೆ ಸಿಗಬಾರದು. ಅಲ್ಪಸಂಖ್ಯಾತರಲ್ಲಿ
ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ವರ್ಗಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದವರಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯ
ಸಿಗಬೇಕು. ಅಲ್ಪಸಂಖ್ಯಾತರಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ವರ್ಗಗಳಿಗೆ
ಸೇರಿದವರಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ

ಪ್ರಾರಂಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದವರಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಸಂಬಳ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.
ಇದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು.

2 ಆಲೋಚನೆಯ ಸಮಿತಿಯವರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮೊದಲಿನ ಸಂಬಳದ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯನ್ನು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಿ ಹೊಸ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಲಾಯಿತು. ಅದರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರನ್ನು I, II ಎಂಬ ಎರಡು ದರ್ಜೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಂಗಡಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊದಲನೆಯ ದರ್ಜೆಯವರಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಬೋನಸ್ ಕೊಡಲಾಗುವುದು. ಈ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರ ಸಂಘದ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಮಾಲೋಚನೆ ನಡೆಸಲಾಯಿತ

ಸಂಪಾದಕರಿಗೆ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರ,

ಕಳೆದ ಕೆಲವು ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುತ್ತಿರುವ ಲೇಖನಗಳನ್ನು ಗಮನಿಸಿದರೆ ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಕಾಣಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದು ಏನೆಂದರೆ ಕನ್ನಡ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವ ಲೇಖನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನವು ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇರುತ್ತವೆ. ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇಷ್ಟೊಂದು ಲೇಖನಗಳು ಬರುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬೆಳವಣಿಗೆಯ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದೇ. ಆದರೆ ಈ ಲೇಖನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನವು ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳನ್ನು ಎತ್ತಿ ತೋರಿಸುವ ಲೇಖನಗಳಾಗಿರುತ್ತವೆ. ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇರುವ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳನ್ನು ಎತ್ತಿ ತೋರಿಸುವುದು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದೇ. ಆದರೆ ಈ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸುವ ಲೇಖನಗಳು ಬಹಳ ಕಡಿಮೆ. ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇರುವ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸುವ ಲೇಖನಗಳು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಬರಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇರುವ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸುವ ಲೇಖನಗಳು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಬರಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸಿಇಒ/ಜಿಪಂ/ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ/ಕ್ರಿಯಾಯೋಜನೆ/೨೦೨೫-೨೬

ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೫.೦೭.೨೦೨೫

ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆ

ವಿಷಯ: ೨೦೨೫-೨೬ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳ ಕ್ರಿಯಾಯೋಜನೆ ತಯಾರಿಕೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದ ಕುರಿತು.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ೨೦೨೫-೨೬ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳ ಕ್ರಿಯಾಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ತಯಾರಿಸಲು ಮತ್ತು ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಮಾರ್ಗಸೂಚಿಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

೧. ಕ್ರಿಯಾಯೋಜನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳು ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಮಟ್ಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಗ್ರಾಮಸಭೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಿರಬೇಕು. ಗ್ರಾಮಸಭೆಯ ನಡಾವಳಿಯ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಕಡ್ಡಾಯವಾಗಿ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಬೇಕು.

೨. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳ ಆದ್ಯತಾ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸುವಾಗ ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಜಾತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಪಂಗಡದ ಜನವಸತಿ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಿಗೆ ಮೊದಲ ಆದ್ಯತೆ ನೀಡಬೇಕು. ಒಟ್ಟು ಅನುದಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ಶೇ. ೨೫ರಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತವನ್ನು ಈ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಿಗೆ ಮೀಸಲಿಡಬೇಕು.

೩. ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರು, ಶಾಲಾ ಕೊಠಡಿ ದುರಸ್ತಿ, ಅಂಗನವಾಡಿ ಕಟ್ಟಡ, ಸ್ಮಶಾನ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಮತ್ತು ಚರಂಡಿ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಆದ್ಯತೆ ನೀಡಬೇಕು. ಐಷಾರಾಮಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕ್ರಿಯಾಯೋಜನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಬಾರದು.

೪. ಪ್ರತಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಇಲಾಖಾ ಎಂಜಿನಿಯರ್‌ರಿಂದ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ದೃಢೀಕರಿಸಿರಬೇಕು. ಅಂದಾಜು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಸ್ಥಳ, ಅಳತೆ, ಪರಿಮಾಣ ಮತ್ತು ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚವನ್ನು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಬೇಕು.

೫. ಕ್ರಿಯಾಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ ೩೦.೦೯.೨೦೨೫ ಆಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ನಿಗದಿತ ದಿನಾಂಕದ ನಂತರ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಲಾಗುವ ಕ್ರಿಯಾಯೋಜನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

೬. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ರೀತಿಯ ಅಕ್ರಮ ಅಥವಾ ಭ್ರಷ್ಟಾಚಾರ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಕಠಿಣ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಸಹಿ/-
(ಡಾ. ಸುಮಿತ್ರಾ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣಪುರ)
ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು

ಸರಸ್ವತಿಯವರ ಪ್ರಾಸ್ತಾವಿಕ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ-
ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗಿದೆ. ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ
ಅನೇಕ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಅಭಿ-
ಪ್ರಾಯ ತಿದ್ದಿದ ಹಾಗೆ ಇದೆ ಅನಿಸಿತು.

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ
ಕೆ.ವಿ. ಪುಟ್ಟಪ್ಪ

B.D. Jatti

4-9-1946
Chitaldrug

204

(72) (201)

Deputy Commissioner's office,
Kolar District,

J 4C 584 Dated Kolar, the 15th September 1916.

From

P. F. B O W R I N G, Esquire, J.P.,
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

DIARY No. J 668
1916-17
Police
202-14
22

To

D. M. Narasinga Rao, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.J.1041/Police. 202-14 dated 12th instant, calling for the submission of a statement of persons using insignias in this District, etc., I have the honor to submit herewith the statement referred to above and to state that reminders have been issued to the Amildars of Mulbagal and Sidlaghatta to expedite the submission of the statements called for. 8/22

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
P. Bowring

For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

205

GEN. & REV. SECTT.

18 SEP 1916

191

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Kolar District.

To

The Secretary tothe Govt. of
Mysore, Genl. Dept.
Bangalore.

Dated 15th September 1916.

Despatched

Received

General No. Rej H-C. 564
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Forwarding statement
of persons using firearms
in this district.

possessed by the persons in the Kolar District

Col. 14	Col. 15	Col. 16	Col. 17	Col. 18	Authority under which they are permitted.
					19
	—	—	—	1	It is reported that the information is not available as the person is on tour.
	—	—	—	—	It is reported that no authenticated information is available.
	—	—	-		It is reported that these insignias were given at the time of founding of Krishnaviom by Sri Ramanujacharya and that the authority under which they were permitted by the Mysore Government was ~~not~~ lost some 4 years ago when his house was burnt away.
	—	2	1		These insignias are reported to be in use from a very long time and that no authority is forthcoming.
	—	—	—		It is reported that they are being enjoyed by his ancestors from time immemorial and that no authority is forthcoming.
	—	—	—		It is reported that they are in use from a very long time and that no authority is forthcoming.

15 T. Narayana

To Deputy Commissioner Kolar Dt.

List showing the several insignias

Taluk	Village and Hobli	Name of the person using the insignias.	ಕತ್ತಿ.	ಬೆತ್ತ.	ಕೊಡೆ.	ಕಹಳೆ.	ಜಾಗಟೆ.	ಚಾಮರ.	ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ.	ಕೆಂಪು ಕಂಬಳ.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Chikballapur.							nil					
Gudibanda.							nil		-			
Srinivasapur	Nambi Halli kasaba Hobli.	Sugnana murthachar.	-	1 (Red)	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
	Byragana Palli Addagal Hobli.	Venkatareddi	1	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
	Jodi koppa gadipalli Nelavanki Hobli	Sawagna Bhattachar.	2	1	-	2	1	1	-	-	1
Bagepalli						nil					
Gowribidnur						nil					
Chintamani						nil					
Bowringpet						nil					
Kolar	Thambi halli Hukkur Hobli	Majjige-halli Matt.	1	2 (Red)	-	2	1	1	1	-	1
"	Kolar kasaba Hobli.	Sadai Setti.	1	1 (Red)	-	1	1	1	-	-	
Malur	Belavi Narasapur	Chenna kiraya.	1	1 (Red)	-	2	-	-	-	-	

201

13 B

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Date of Receipt 11.9.16
Petn. Regr. No.

(27)

Deputy Commissioner's office,

Kolar District,

Dated Kolar, the 4th November 1916.

From

P. F. B-O-W R I N G, Esquire, J.P.,

Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

DIARY No. J 954

To

D. M. Narasinga Rao, Esquire, B. A. B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore

General Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.H.C.564 dated 15th September 1916, forwarding a statement of persons using insignias, I have the honor to submit a further list showing the insignias possessed by the persons in the Taluks of Kulbagal and Sidlaghatta.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Y. Narayana Rao

For Deputy Commissioner,

Kolar District.

207

W.D. 0244—GPB—6,00 000—1915-16—S.

GEN. & REV. DEPT.
6 NOV 1916

191

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To

The Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore,
Gen. Dept, Bangalore.

Dated 4th November 1916

Despatched

Received

General No. Rep. H.C. ~~725~~ 564
No.

Enclosure No. /

Statement showing weapons possessed by persons in the Taluks of Mulbagal and Sidlaghatta forwarding a—

List showing the Several insignia possessed by t

Taluk	Village and Hobli	Name of the person using the insignia	ಕೊಡೆ	ಚಿನ್ನದ ಛಡಿ	ಪಚ್ಚೆಕಲ್ಲಿನ ಛಡಿ	ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ	ಪಾದುಕೆ	ಪಂಚಾಂಗ	ಅಂ ಕೊಂ
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
Mulbagal	Mulbagal	Sripadaraja Swamimatt	1	1		1	1	1	
do -	Avani	Vidya Sankara Bharati Swami of the Avani Matt							
Siddaghatta						2	0	Nil	

13

lic persons in the Taluks of Siddaghatta and Mulbl.

೧೦ ೦೨೦೦	೧೨	೧೩	೧೪	೧೫	೧೬	೧೭	18
1	-		-	-	1	1	The authority under which these insignia were granted, has not been furnished by the agent of the matt The manager States that all the insignias have been granted to the matt by His Highness Sri Krishnaraja Wadeyar III in June 1848 and that the References relating to the same may be available in the Government Records.

for Deputy commissioner,
Kolar District

1411 1 Copy

G. E. S. VI—G/32] 22

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

( )

, *the* 191 .

Section Police

File No. 202 - 14

Serial No. 27.

No. J 1286 to 133. 9/7/17

Date of issue.....16/7/17.....

Signed by.....W. G. Srinivasa.....

To

The Deputy Commrs of Bangalore

Kolar, Tumkur Mysore Hassan

~~Kadur~~ Shimoga

Sir

In forwarding herewith a list showing the names of persons (Secular) using insignia in your district, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to investigate the propriety

over.

& Section]
to prepare 6
of list II (flag O)
taking instructions
Case worker

If the use of insignia in each of the cases mentioned in the list to Submit a full report together to Govt (with your opinion before the end of August 1917).

The matter may kindly be treated as urgent

I have etc

S/ 17

For General Secretary

MR
13.7.17
MR

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 86—GPB—60,000—15-11 16

NO. 14 OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore, the 4th September 1917.

DIARY No. 9583

1917
SECTION P1
202-14
24.

From

T. Rayaloo Chetty, Esquire,

Assistant Commissioner in District Charge,

Mysore District.

To

D.-M. Narasinga-Rao, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, GENERAL DEPARTMENT.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 131 Pol. 202-14-27 dated 16th July 1917 calling for opinion in re. the propriety of the use of insignia by certain (secular) persons named in the list sent therewith, I have the honour to state that the Chief Inspector of Police reports that the persons referred to are not traceable in the Mysore City and their whereabouts not known. I request therefore that you will be so good as to furnish me with their correct addresses to enable me to trace those persons and investigate the matter.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

5.9.917

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER

IN DISTRICT CHARGE,

MYSORE DISTRICT.

14

HSR.

213

GEN. & REV. 191 7 SEP

III

From

The Assistant Commissioner

in District Charge, Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to Government,

GENERAL DEPARTMENT

Dated The 4th September 1917.

Despatched 5th Sept 1917.

Received

General No. Ret 118/3 17

No.

Enclosure No. Nil

Reports that the whereabouts of the persons using the insignia referred to are not ascertainable and asks for their addresses.

******

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT III JUDICIAL 5 SEP 1917

(3)

H.C.No.43(Judl) Office of the District Magistrate, (25)

Dated, Shimoga 5th September 1917.

From

M.A. Narayana Iyengar, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,

District Magistrate,

Shimoga.

DIARY No. 1584



To

D.M. Narasinga Rao, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government,

General Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.J.133/Pol.202-14-27 dated 16th July 1917 forwarding for investigation and report a list of persons using insignias, I have the honor to state that from enquiries made it is ascertained that the Halaswami of Rampur in the Honnali Taluk has been enjoying not only the insignias mentioned in the list received with your letter but the marginally noted ones for a long time past both in Rampur and the village of Basavapatna in the Channagiri Taluk from which the Swami hails. The Swami has no sannad or other document granted by competent authority in support of the use of the insignia but he claims the privilege on a long established mamool. He has produced an unauthenticated copy of the Davangere Taluk Magistrate's order dated 7th May 1894. From this it may perhaps be inferred that he has been using the insignias as per mamool. The Mutt is a long standing one and of some importance ; and the Swami appears to have been enjoying them for over one hundred years. Considering that the use of the insignias by the swami is regulated by nothing better than indefinite mamool, and that insignias not included in the list are in use, leading to the supposition that they might have been introduced at a more recent date. I am of opinion that a definite order of law should

issue

SEP 1917 1917-18.

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To The Secretary to Government,
General Department,
Bangalore

Dated the 6th September 1917.

Despatched

Received

General No.H.C.23 (Judl)
No.

Enclosure No. one file

Submitting the report on
~~xxxixxxxxx~~ to investigate the property
of the use insignia of each of the case a(

30

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
III
JUDICIAL
8- SEP 1917

issue in the matter.

2. As to the Govinkovi swami, his case stands on altogether a different footing. The matt is of recent growth; the swami is a family man, his statement shows that the insignias referred to in the list were introduced during his life time and that his predecessors were not using any. It also shows that they were used by him only on the Sivaratri day and on no other occasion. It is alleged that on the Sivaratri day, the swami was going in a palanquine with all insignias to one Sangameswara temple near the river in the same village. But this is not borne out by the villagers. In regard to the same procession there is a dispute going on in the village for the last two years owing to party factions in the village and the matter is sub-judice in the Court of the District Magistrate, Shimoga.

3. In these circumstances, I think it is necessary that the use of insignias in this matt also should be governed by some rule or authority.

4. The records of enquiry ending with memo No.166 dated 21st August 1917 from the Superintendent of Police, Shimoga are herein enclosed for reference.

2-25

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M A Narayana Rao

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga.

SGR.

(57)

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .. ..

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the *191*

Date of issue 26.9.17

Signed by W. G. Srinivasachar

Section Police

File No. 202-14

Serial No. 31

No. J 1110

To

The Govt. Coner. Impl. Dc.

With reference to his letter No. R.O.G. 118-J17-18 dated the 4th September 1917 requesting to be furnished with the correct address of the person using impersonation in the Imperial Gazetteer has the honour to forward herewith his application No. 372 dated the 17th January 1916 and to

over.

N

31

215

CRE/257

state that no more buffaloes is available than is included in the list referred to.

By Order

Brig
A.A.G. to C.R.A.
E. Corps

B.H.
21.9.17

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

W.D.—G.P.B.—50,000—15-11-16

(Deputy Commissioner's Office, Hassan District.

Dated Hassan 3rd October 1917.

From,

C. Venkata Rao, Esquire, B. A.,
Offg. Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

DIARY No. J 825

SECTION Pol
FILE No. 202-14
SER. 32

To,

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B. A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. J.132/Pol.202-14--27 dated 16th July 1917 and subsequent reminder No. J 871-6/ Pol. 202-14 dated 5th September 1917, requesting me to investi--gate the propriety of the use of certain insignias by some persons in the Manjarabad and Alur Sub Taluk and to furnish a report to Government in the matter, I have the honor to submit herewith in Original, the reports received from the Amildar of Manjarabad and the Deputy Amildar of Alur, together with their enclosures, for information of Government.

2. It is ascertained that the insignias used in the Manjarabad Taluk are ordinary and were conferred by the late Palegar of Igoor. As regards those in the Alur Sub Taluk, Para--desaiya, the present manager of the Gubegudda temple, states that they are enjoyed since the days of his forefathers on the authority of a Sannad. He says that that Sannad is lost by wear and tear, and has produced a copy of another Sannad purporting to have been given during the year " Durmukhi " (ದುರ್ಮುಖಿ). This shews that " Palakki " may be used. However, from an en--quiry of the local people, it seems that the insignias refer--red to in the statement received with your letter under reply as also (Dala) (ದಳ) and Kahale (ಕಹಳೆ) are being used as stated by Pardesaiya, and that there is no objection to it.

3. As both the Amildars and the Deputy Amildar re--port that the use of the insignias in question has been as per mamul, I think that they may be allowed to continue as hereto--fore

254

W.D. C244—GPB—6,00,000—19-5-16—S.

1917-18.

From

C. Venkata Rao, Esquire, B.A.,
Offg. Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department,
Bangalore.

Dated 4th October 1917.

Despatched

Received 16 OCT 1917

General No. D. Dis 4
No. He.

Enclosure No. five

S U B J E C T.

Submitting report on the use of certain ensignias by some people in Mayuraba and Alur Sub Taluk

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
III
JUDICIAL
16 OCT 1917
Police
4-50 P.M.

here to-fore.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

218

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District,

Dated, Bangalore, 3rd November '17.

No. C 80 of 17/18.
H.O. & 1/pb

From

P. F. Bowring, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of His

Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No.J.128-133 of 16th July 1917, requesting that the propriety of the use of certain insignia by the late Setwaji Rao's family at Chickmaralwadi in the Kankanhalli Taluk may be investigated, I have the honour to state that the Closepet Sub Division Officer (Mr. M. Lakshmana Sastri) made an enquiry into the matter at Maralwadi and other neighbouring villages. As a result it has been reported that one Mr. Setwaji Runanavarey, the grandson of the late Mr. Setwaji Rao referred to in your letter, has given a statement from which it will be seen that permission to use certain insignia by the family members of Runanavarey was granted by His Highness the late Sri Krishna-Raja Wodeiyar, III in recognition of the Military service performed by Mr. Raghunatha Rao, Runanavarey. In support of his statement he has produced a printed copy of a small pamphlet under the title " Geneological Table of the Runanavarey Family in the Mysore Service," in page 4 of which it is stated that " His Highness the late Maharaja of Mysore presented him (Raghunatha Rao, father of Setwaji Rao), with a palauquin - and other honours."

2. Of the six insignias referred to in the letter under reply, the marginally noted three are reported to have been left in the house of the late Mr. Chendra Rao

1. Chamara, 2. Haladi Chatri and 3. Apthagiri.

W.D. 0244—GPB—6,00,000—19-5-16—S.

1917-18

From The Dy Commr
Bangalore Dist

To The Chief Secy to
Govt
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 5th Nov: 17.

Received

General No. C 80 of 17/18

No.

Enclosure No. One file

Submits report as to the
propriety of the use of
certain insignia by the
late Setwaya Row's family
of Chickanarakavadi
in Kankanhalli taluk.

Pl 6.11

3.40.

C.S. & REV. SECRETARIAT
III
JUDICIAL
6 NOV 1917.

(9)

Mr. Chendra Rao, Runanavarey at Bangalore, and a fourth one (Viz., Palaki) is said to be in a village in the Belgaum District in the house of the late Mr. Ramachendra Rao.

3. The present descendant of the family, Viz., Mr. Setwaji Rao at Chickka Maralwadi is using a "Myana" on the occasion of Ganesha Festival, but it is not included in your list. The Sub Division Officer's report No.112 of 3rd September 1917 is herewith forwarded. ✓

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Puttaraja Iyengar

for Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

(absent from station)

D.V.R.

220

11891-8
Encls Nil

Office of the District Magistrate,
Mysore District.
Dated, Mysore, 4th January 1918.

From

K. Matthan, Esquire, B.A.,
District Magistrate,
Mysore District.



To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your D.O. No. 2302 dated 31st December 1917 and in continuation of this office No.R.OC.118 dated 19th November 1917, I have the honor to state that the Amildars of ~~the~~ all the Taluks (Except that of Yèdatore) report that the persons using the insignia referred to in your No.131/Pol.202-14-27 dated 16th July 1917 do not reside within the limits of their Taluks

2. In spite of the 3 reminders issued to the Amildar of Yedatore, the report called for has not been received yet. A stringent reminder has again been issued to him to-day and on receipt of his report a further communication will be submitted to Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Assistant Commissioner,
For District Magistrate,
Mysore District.
(ABSENT FROM STATION).

A.N.

W.D. C244—GPB—6,00,000

9 JAN 1918

191

2581

From

The District Magistrate,

Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to Govt,

Bangalore.

Dated 4th January 1918.

Despatched 8 Jany 1918

Received

General No. Rac 1108/13-8/mis

No.

Enclosure No. 2nd

Submits the report re the ~~xxxxxx~~ use of insignias by certain persons

82 4-25

GEN. SECRETARIAT

JUDICIAL

9- JAN 1918

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Kolar District,
Dated Kolar, the 5th January 1918.

From

B. VENKOBA RAO, Esquire., B.A.,
Offg. Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER, Esquire., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.J.129/Police.202-14-27 dated 16th July 1917, forwarding for investigation and report a list of persons (secular) using certain insignias in this District, I have the honor to state as follows:-

(1). From the enquiries made by the Amildar of Srinivaspur Taluk, it is ascertained that Sugnana Murtha Char of Nambihalli, possess a Nirūp dated 12th September 1821, granted by His late Highness Sri Krishnaraja Wadyar III, according to which the insignias referred to in the list were permitted to be used by him wherever he went. (Vide copy filed in the vernacular records).

(2). Venkata Reddy of Byraganahalli, is reported to posses a Telugu Nirūp given to his ancestor Chenna Veera Reddy by Kadiripathi Nainavāru, Pāllegar of Tadigol, in Aswija Bahula 2 in the year Sukla, according to which Kotahalapalli Village and some other lands were granted to him as Umbli Service Inam and he was also permitted to go in procession in a palanquin and on a horse.

Venkata Reddy has stated that he has been using the

W.D. 0241—GPB—6,00,000—19-5-16—S.

1917-18

From 2578
The Deputy Commissio
Kolar District

To
The Chief Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 5th January 1918

Received

General No. Confl. C. 55 of 17-18
No.

Enclosure No. one file

Reports after investi-
-gations details regarding
persons using certain
weapons in this Distri

182 4-25

CEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
III
JUDICIAL
9- JAN 1918

palanquin on the Vijaya Dasami day and also on marriage occasions in his house.

This Nirup does not appear to have been recognised by the Government. He is stated to have produced 4 other Nirups in Hindivi and Parsi languages and the Amildar promises to send up translations of the same in two months.

(3) Sarvagna Bhatta Char of Jodi Koppavarapalli, has stated that the documents he possesed for using the insignias refer red to in the list were lost in an accidental fire in his house and that the insignias have been in use for 800 years.

(4). Dasai Setti of Kolar Town has stated that there are no written documents to show when the insignias were granted to him that he has heard that they were granted to his ancestors by His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore and that they will be used on the Vijaya Dasami day and also when their God is taken in procession. He also states that the insignias came into his possession 10 years ago after his father's death. The Amildar of Kolar states that the present Dasai Setti does not deserve this privilege.

(5). Chennaveeriah of the Bellavi Matt has stated that the documents for using the insignias referred to in the list were lost when a dacoity occurred in the Matt about 50 years ago and tha the insignias have been in use for the last 8 generations. The -- Amildar of Malur states that the Bellavi Matt is an ancient one wit many disciples in several places and that the Matt deserves the in- signias.

2. The file of papers on the subject is herewith submit for reference.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

(285)

D2.Dis.401 Judl.17-18.

REV. 25 JAN 1918

Office of the District Magistrate,

Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, 22nd January 1918.

713

DIARY No. J 1690

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT 25 JAN 1918 JUDICIAL

Police 2024

35 A

From

K. Matthan, Esquire, B.A.,

District Magistrate,

Mysore District.

To

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office No.R.O.C.118 dated 4/8th instant, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Yedatore Taluk reports in his No.103 dated 10th January 1918 that none of the holders of the insignia referred to in your letter No. Police 202-14-4 dated 16th July 1917 is traceable in his Taluk.

SL 35A

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Assistant Commissioner,
For District Magistrate,
Mysore District
(ABSENT FROM STATION)

A.N.

W.D. C241—GPB—6,00,000—19-5-16—S.

From

The District Magistrate,

Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to Govt.,

Bangalore.

Dated 22nd January 1918.

Despatched

Received

General No. D2.Dis.401/Judl.17-18.
No.

Enclosure No.

Submitting the report stating that none is to be found in Yedatore Taluk using the insignia as mentioned in J.131 Pol.202-14-3? dated 16th July 1917.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

Dated Tumkur, the 19th March 1918.

From

A. Subramanya Iyer, Esqr., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esqr., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter J.No.130/Pol.202-16-2 dated 16th July 1917, forwarding a list showing the names of persons using insignias in this District and requesting me to investigate the propriety of their use and to furnish my opinion, I have the honour to state that the Amildar of Chiknaikanahalli to whom orders had been issued in the matter has reported that the "Pattadadevaru" of Yelanadu has given a statement that he is using the insignias in question on the authority of the Sannad granted to the Swamigalu of Godikere Matt, that Godikere Matt and his belong to one and the same gotra and sutra and are enjoying the same privileges.

2. The Amildar then issued orders to the Sheikdar of Settikere to make enquiries of Godikere Swamigalu. The Charantaswami of Godikere Matt has given a statement before the Sheikdar of Settikere that there is no Sannad with him, that it has been sent to Havari Munsiff's court for reference, that no mention of the name of Pattadadevaru is made in that Sannad and that he does not know whether a Sannad has been issued to the said "Pattadadevaru".

3. It will be seen that the Pattadadevaru of Yelanadu is not able to establish his title for the use of the insignias in question and pleads mamool.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
III
MAR 1918

WD 0463—GPB—3,60,000—21-7-17—S.

191

From 11577

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,

Bangalore.

Dated 19th March 1918.

Despatched 19/3/18

Received

General No. 1162/21

No. 5-7-18

Enclosure No. One file.

Furnishing opinion in re the using of insignias in this District.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
III
JUDICIAL
21 MAR 1918

4. Under these circumstances, I am of opinion that the Pattadadevaru of Yelanadu may be prohibited to use the insignias in question until he can properly establish his title to use them. The Vernacular records bearing on the subject are herewith forwarded for reference and obliging return.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

T.K.N.

lks

226

(6) (30)

DRAFT D. O. G 6485

Confl

Bangalore 27-?-

Dear Sir,

In forwarding herewith a copy of draft order proposed to be issued regarding the grant of permission to the use of insignias in the State, I am desired to request to be favoured with your remarks regarding the classified list of honours referred to therein.

Pol 202-14-36

Yours sincerely,

To

Rajakaryaprasakta

B. Ramakrishna Rao, Esq.,

Palace Controller,

MYSORE.

227

R.D.

ಸಲಹುವಂತೆ ಸಕಲ ಶಕ್ತಿಸಾಮರ್ಥ್ಯ ಆಯುರಾರೋಗ್ಯವನ್ನು ಕರುಣಿಸಲಿ ಇಂತಿ

ವಿಧೇಯ

(3) ಪಾಪ್ಯ ಬಂಧುಮಿತ್ರರನ್ನು ಮಾಲಲಾಗಿ ಸತ್ಕರಿಸಿ ಪಾಪ್ಯರ
ಸಮಾಧಿ ಯಾಗಿ ಅಗ್ನಿಸಂಸ್ಕಾರವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಪಾಪ್ಯರ ಕಡೆಯವರು
ಸಿಕ್ಕಿ ದಾಖಲೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದ ಸಾಹುಕಾರರಿಗೆ
ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾಗಿ

(4) ಪಾಪ್ಯರು ಕೈಲಾಸಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಆಯಿತು
ಮತ್ತು ಪಾಪ್ಯರ ಮಗಳು ಸಹ ಹೀಗೆಯೇ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಳು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿದ
ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಶರಂಪ್ರಾಯಸ್ಥರು ಪಾಪ್ಯರ ಮಗಳು ಸಹ ಹೀಗೆ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಳು
ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿದರು

(5) ಪಾಪ್ಯರು ಎಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಯಾವಾಗ ಕಾಶಿಗೆ ಬಂದರು
ಮತ್ತು ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸ ಇದ್ದರು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಅವರು ಕಾಶಿಗೆ
ಬಂದಿದ್ದು ಮೊದಲು ಯಾವಾಗ

Mr. B.D. Mudakara Naik, Vallegar- Petitioner Chitaldrug.

Requests that permission for the use of certain musical instruments on festive occasions which is under the consideration of this Court for the last 3½ years, may be given before the Telugu New years day (April 1919) Subject

DIARY NO. 1341

15 MAR 1919

Petitioner

Respondent

Advocate

Date of filing 12-3-19

Date of order 31-3-19

58

Petitioner's Advocate

Government Pleader

Order:

We are unable to accede to the request contained in the petition. The petitioner will have to apply to the Deputy Commissioner for the necessary permission.

(1) ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನು ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಹೊಸದುರ್ಗ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಕಸಬಾ ಹೋಬಳಿಯ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹಬ್ಬ ಹರಿದಿನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ವಾದ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ಕೋರಿ ಸುಮಾರು 3½ ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಈ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೂ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯು ಮುಗಿದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನು ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಂತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ಕೋರಿ ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವುದು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಂತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

(2) ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನು ಮೊದಲು ಈ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ ಈ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯವು ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯನ್ನು ಮುಗಿಸಿ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಕಾಯ್ದಿರಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಂತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನು ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಂತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ಕೋರಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಮನವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಂತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡ ನಂತರ ಅದೇ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಮತ್ತೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನು ಮತ್ತೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಉಲ್ಲಂಘಿಸಿದಂತಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಂತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

O. No. 1129 (18) PALACE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE,

MYSORE, 7-3-1919.

GOVERNMENT BUILDINGS

Park 12421

Rupees.

D.O No. 1459

The Palace, Bangalore,

14th March 1919.

Dear Sir,

In the matter of the classification of insignia, Surepana, Aftabgiri and Moharchal, referred to in the draft Proceedings which accompanied your letter No.G.5485/Pol. 202-14-36 dated 27th September 1918, to the Palace Controller, there is some difference of opinion.

The Durbar Bakshi thinks that the first two insignia may be classified among second class superior honours provided they are entirely made of metal other than gold or silver. May I request you to kindly favour me with your opinion in the matter?

As regards Moharchal, the Durbar Bakshi says that it is purely a royal honour and that it cannot be used by private persons and Mattadhipaties. The Palace Controller is of opinion that it can be included among the second class honours provided it is not made of gold. May I request you to kindly let me know, after enquiry, whether any Mattadhipathi or other person is, so far, privileged to make use of it and if so what metal it is made of.

On hearing from you, I shall be able to give you a definite reply in the matter.

Yours sincerely,

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

(20)

(32)

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 13071.....

Section Pol
File No. 202-14
Serial No. 39

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, *the* 191

No. G 24978 dt 7/5

Date of issue 5.5.19.

Signed by W^m Cobb Esq^r

To

J. Thornton Kelly Esq B.A.

Asst Secy to H.H. The Maharaja of Jhapu

Dear Sir,

S 39

With reference to your D.O. Letter no 1459 dated 14th March 1919 regarding The Classification of Intymas, write to state that there is some uncertainty as to what Maharaja is like and to request that the Correct description of the Same may be kindly Sent with a tracing also if Convenient.

~~There~~ that Some ~~confusion~~ seems to exist as regards the exact description of "Maharaja" & to request you to kindly send a correct description of the insignia together with a tracing thereof, if convenient.

23

Yours Sincerely

Chief Secy

D. 27/4

D. No. 2524

(24)

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

the *191*

Date of issue 25 6 09

Signed by.. Mr C&B Dyer

Section

File No.

Serial No.

without

No. G28578

To D. Thumboochetty Esqr B

Asst Secy to H.H. the Ma

& Depore

Dear Sir

I write to invite

kind attention to ~~his office~~ his

letter no G ~~Secy~~ 24975 Pol

202-16-39 dt 5-5-1919 &

classification & insignia

to request ~~you to be so good~~ his favours of an early

~~to state when a reply the~~ thereto.

~~may be expected~~

2383 I am dear

Sir

Your

obedt Servt

P. M.

19/6

2.6.19

over.

(22)

Durbar Bakshi's Office

D.O.No.386
En.one sketch.

Mysore, dated 29th June 1919.

(S)

"

S u b m i t t e d.

xx xx xx xx xx

The word "Moharchal" I learn is a Persian term and the works done to the Moharchal pairs are also Persian works, the specility in these being the feathers of the Birds of Paradise. There are two kinds of Moharchal in use in the Palace both of which are of Gold prepared exactly as could be seen in the sketches. One of the two kinds has got red, white and green stones studded ornamentally in floral designs and the other has got plain relief work.

These "Moharchals " are used only on special occasions wh Their Highnesses the Maharaja, Yuvaraja and Maharanis appear in state. In the case of Their Highnesses the Maharanis, the Moharchal pair will be held by Huzur Ulgis outside the Zenana Purdah.

The sketch of the"Moharchals is also submitted herewith.

Sd/ H.Lingaraj Urs. "

"copy"
Pncg
30/6/19.

234

The Palace,

Mysore.

30th June 1919.

My dear Sir,

In reply to correspondence ending with your letter No.G.28578 dated the 25th Instant, I am directed to send enclosed extract of D.O.letter No.387 dated the 29th Instant from the Durbar Bakshi, giving a description of the insignia "Moharachal", referred to therein.

The sketch of the insignia, received from the Durbar Bakshi, is also sent herewith.

Yours sincerely,

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Chief Secretary to Government of Mysore,

Bangalore

Pol 202-14-41

Draft revised as instructed in Council

1879

(34)

Proceedings of the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

***

R e a d:- ...

Letter No.431 dated 24th March 1915 from the Deputy Commissioner Chitaldrug District, soliciting orders regarding the insignia intended to be used by Mr. B.D.Madakeri Naik Pallegar Pensioner of Chitaldrug when he goes in procession during Ugadi & Dasara festivals

2. Correspondence ending with reports of the Deputy Commissioners furnishing information as to the persons permitted to use the several insignia in their districts & the authority under which they are allowed to do so.

--:o:--

Order No. G 11404-14/Pol 202-14-41

Bangalore, 19th ~~August~~ Novr. 1919.

The grant of permission to the use of insignias by private persons other than Matadhipathies has been under the consideration of Government for some time past and after a careful review of the question in all its aspects they are pleased to pass the following orders

2 The honors now in use in the State fall under ~~three~~ two classes as shown below:-

ROYAL HONOURS.

Addapalki

Panchakalasa

Panchakalasada Sweta Chatri

Hagal Deevatige

Makara Thorana

Dhavala Sankha

Moharchal

Aftagiri

Surepana

Ambari on elephant

Navabath

SPECIAL HONOURS.

Simhalalatada Palki

Nisani on elephant

Nisani on camel

Nagari on elephant

Nagari on camel

Danka on horse

Yellow Nishani

Sweta Chattri

Bhuchakrada Kode

Green nishani

Kavi Nishani

Green Chattri

Pancharangi Chatri

Barajari Chattri

Surya Vadya

Chandra Vadya

Chowri

Chamara

237

3 The Royal Honours are by immemorial custom specially reserved for the use of Royalty and a display of the same by any one except under the special sanction of the Soverign is an invasion of the Royal privi-

lege

privilege and must not be permitted under any circumstances.

4 The use of the special honours by private persons should be permitted only after production of satisfactory documentary evidence in proof of specific grant by Soverign authorities or long and uninterrupted usage of fifty years or more. In the case of any such alleged right, the local officers should obtain all the evidence that might be adduced and if in their opinion a strong case is made out, submit the records for the orders of Government. The evidence must be sufficiently convincing to prove not only the original grant or continued usage but also that the right was heritable (i.e., not personal), the presumption in all such cases being that the title or honour conferred on a private perso is personal only.

5 As regards all other insignia not specified above, Magistrates may grant or refuse permission to use them as they think fit, having regard to the usage of the locality and th provisions of the Police Regulation.

930

6 Cases of the use of insignia by the persons referred to in the ~~above~~ read above communications will be disposed of in accordance with the princi- aforesaid ples ~~enunciated above~~.

9-9-19

esb 14/8/19

Chief Secretary to Governm

To

The Deputy Commissioners of Districts returning the records.

7

The Inspector General of Police in
Mysore.

The Secy Govt Revenue Dept

The Huzur Secy

Copy to B.D. Medalliers Knitting

FILE No. 202—1914.

1914.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

November 1919.

JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE.

POLICE.

"A" Collection—Serials 1 to 34.

Insignias to be used by private persons other than Mathadipathies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Serial No.	Number and date of letter and contents.	Page in 'A' Proceeding
1	Letter No. 431 dated 24th March 1915 from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug, requesting orders of Government on the application of one Mr. B. D. Medikere Naik for a license to go in procession on the day following the Ugadi in a palanquin with other insignias.	1
2	Letter No. J. 3343 dated 1st April 1915 to the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug requesting him to call upon Mr. B. D. Medikere Naik to produce documents or other authority in support of his claim.	1
3	Letter No. 535 dated 10th and 12th May 1915 from the Deputy Commissioner forwarding copies and seven licenses issued by the Magistrates on previous occasions together with a copy of the Sreemukha issued by His Holiness the Jagadguru of Sringeri Matt in 1908 and stating that Mr. Naik had no other documents to produce.	1
4	Letter No. J. 4002, dated 21st May 1915 to the Deputy Commissioner, asking him to submit the license of 1910 granted by the District Magistrate.	2
5	Letter No. 558, dated 29th May 1915 from the Deputy Commissioner forwarding the licenses.	2
6	Circular letter No. J. 905-12, dated 28th August 1915 to Deputy Commissioners asking them to furnish a statement of persons in his District who have been permitted to use all or any of the kinds of insignias noted in the letter.	3
7	Letter No. 193, dated 28th and 29th August 1915 furnishing the statement.	3
8	Letter No. 174, dated 5th October 1915 from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug, furnishing his opinion as regards the grant of license to Mr. Medikere Naik.	3
10	Letter Nos. 349, dated 19th—24th November 1915 from Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore furnishing the statement.	3
11	Letter No. 224, dated 29th—30th November 1915 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga, furnishing the statement.	3
12	Letter No. 288, dated 8th—10th December 1915 from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug furnishing the statement.	4
13	Letter No. 343-5 dated 17th—18th January 1916 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur furnishing statement.	4
14	Letter No. 372 dated 18th January 1916 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore furnishing the statement.	4
15	Letter No. 293—Muzrai 49 dated 19th January 1916 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga furnishing a further statement.	4
16	Letter No. S. 361 dated 27th November 1915 Deputy Commissioner Bangalore furnishing further particulars.	4
17	Letter No. 322—S. dated 26th—29th February 1916 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan furnishing the statement.	4

Serial No.	Number and date of letter and contents	Page in A' Proceedings
18	Letter No. 343—J. 43 dated 29th February 1916 from the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga, submitting a further statement.	4
19	Letter No. 548 dated 18th May 1916 from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug furnishing further particulars.	4
20	Letter No. 435—S. dated 25th—26th May 1916 furnishing further particulars.	4
22	Letter No. H.C. 564 dated 15th September 1916 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar furnishing the statement.	4
26	Letter No. H.C. 564 dated 4th November 1916 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar furnishing a further statement.	4
27	Circular letter No. J. 128—133—Police 202-14-27, dated 16th July 1917 to the Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore, Kolar, Tumkur, Mysore, Hassan and Shimoga, forwarding a list of several persons using insignias in the respective Districts and requesting that the propriety of their use in each case may be investigated and full report submitted to Government.	7
30	Letter No. H.C. 23 Judl. dated 5th September 1917 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga submitting the report.	7
32	Letter No. D. Dis. 4—H.C. dated 3rd-October 1917 from the Deputy Commissioner Hassan, submitting the report.	7
33	Letter No. C. 80 of 17-18 dated 3rd November 1917 from Deputy Commissioner Bangalore, submitting the report.	7
34	Letter No. 118 of 17-18 dated 4th January 1918 from the District Magistrate, Mysore, submitting the report.	7
35	Letter No. H.C. 55 dated 5th and 8th January 1918 from the Deputy Commissioner Kolar, submitting the report.	7
35A	Letter No. D. 2. Dis. 401—Judl. 17-18 dated 22nd January 1918 from the District Magistrate, Mysore, submitting further report.	7
36A	Letter No. Gl.—C.-21 dated 19th March 1918 from the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur, submitting report.	7
36	D. O. No. G. 6485 dated 7th September 1918 to the Palace Comptroller for remarks and suggestions, draft, Government Order regarding classified list of honours.	10
38	D. O. Letter No. 1459 dated 14th March 1919 from the Assistant Secretary to His Highness.	10
39	Letter No. G. 24975 dated 5th May 1919 to Assistant Secretary to His Highness.	12
40	D. O. No. 2148 dated 26th June 1919 from Assistant Secretary to His Highness.	12
	G. O. No. 11404-14—Pol. 202-14-41 dated 19th November 1919 passing orders.	16

1914-15.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

POLICE.

Insignias to be used by Private Persons other than Mathadhipathies.

NOTES.

FILE No. 202—14.

From The Deputy Commissioner and District Magistrate, Chitaldrug District.
Letter No. 431, *dated* 24*th March* 1915.

Requests for orders as regards the insignias to be used by B. D. Medikere Naik when he goes in procession.

This is the first case of the kind received here. It is not known under what authority the Pallegar is allowed any insignia at all. Submitted for orders.

B. G.
N. V.
30*th March* 1915.

2. Please ask the Deputy Commissioner to call upon the petitioner to produce all the documents or other authority he may have in support of his claim, and submit the same with further comments of his own if any.

G. SRINIVASA IYER,
30*th March* 1915.

3. Draft Submitted.

B. G.
N. V.
31*st March* 1915.

4. Issue.

G. SRINIVASA IYER,
31*st March* 1914.

To the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug.
J. 3343 *dated* 1*st April* 1915.

2. Calls for the documents or other authority Medikere Naik may have in support of his claim.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.
No. 535 *dated* 12*th May* 1915.

3. Forwards copies of the documents furnished by the applicant.

5. The license of 1910 referred to by the District Magistrate in Serial No. 1 has not been forwarded. Submitted for orders.

B. G.
N. V.
18*th May* 1915

6. Please put up draft calling for the same.

G. SRINIVASA IYER,
20*th May* 1915.

Draft submitted.

7

B. G.
N. V.
20*th May* 1915.

8. Issue.

G. SRINIVASA IYER,
20*th May* 1915.

P. A. Col.

To the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.

J. 4002 *dated* 21*st May* 1915.

4. Calls for the license of 1910 referred to in para 2 of Serial No. 3.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.

No. 558 *dated* 29*th May* 1915.

5. Forwards copy of the license issued by the District Magistrate to Medikere Naik.

9. Submitted for orders.

B. G.
S. V. S.
8th June 1915.

10. The Pallegar pensioner B. D. Medikere Naik is said to claim a license twice a year to go in procession with certain insignias. The local people do not seem to object. The Pallegar was asked to furnish evidence in support of his claim, but he has been able to furnish none except the licenses granted to him by magistrates from 1911. From the records it is clear that the Pallegar has no manner of right to go in procession with the insignias. The fact that the magistrates allowed him to go for the last three or four years cannot confer a right on him. As observed by the District Magistrate, Panchakalasa Swethachatri are the insignia of royalty and cannot be permitted to be used. It is not clear why the Pallegar wants to parade in the streets on the past glory of some remote fabulous ancestor, and thus establish his own insignificance.

11. It is a point for orders whether the action of the District Magistrate may be approved or whether the license should be ordered to be refused in future.

G. Srinivasa Iyer,
13th June 1915.

12. I do not see why we should interfere with the exhibition of these relics of old days on the part of the people of this class, so long as they are harmless to others or to the public. I think that the District Magistrate may be allowed to continue to issue a license (either himself or by some other local Magistrate) in the terms of that of 1st April 1910 of which a copy is sent. The file should be submitted to His Highness, I think, according to an order.

H. V. Nanjundaiya,
26th June 1915.

Submitted to His Highness.

13. These papers relate to the grant of permission to B. D. Medikere Naik, Pallegar Pensioner, Chitaldrug, to use certain insignias when he goes out on procession.

14. The Deputy Commissioner of Chitaldrug to whom the question was referred states that Medikere Naik claims to be permitted to go in procession with the insignias referred to in his petition, as his forefathers belonged to the Pallegar family and had the privilege of using all the insignias that are now being used by the members of the royal family and gurus of different Mathas.

15. I see no objection to the grant of the permission prayed for, so long as they are harmless to others or to the public and are in conformity with mamool.

16. If His Highness approves, the District Magistrate will be informed that he may continue to issue a license either by himself or by some other local Magistrate in the terms of the one issued on 1st April 1910.

H. V. Nanjundaiya,

D. O. No. 30 *Enclosure one File. From Mr. Mirza M. Ismail to the Dewan, dated* 19*th August* 1915.

17. In returning herewith the file of papers connected with the prayer of B. D. Medikere Naik, Pallegar Pensioner, Chitaldrug, for the grant of permission to use certain insignia, forwarded with Mr. H. V. Nanjundayya's submission No. [illegible] dated the 23rd July 1915, I am directed to say that His Highness regrets that he cannot agree with the view that there is no harm in granting permission freely for the use of such insignia so long as they are "harmless to others or to the public and are in conformity with mamool".

18. Every such question that comes up needs careful examination before a decision can be arrived at. The Pallegar referred to is doubtless a descendent of the

old Chitaldrug family which was in times gone by ruling that part of the country ; but what his present position is and whether there are other Pallegars of his status in the State who are enjoying these honours will have to be ascertained before his prayer can be granted. The Officers who have issued licenses to him permitting the use of these honours appear to have acted *ultra-vires* ; for, as in the case of the several Mathadhipatis who have been given these honours the Pallegar has no Government Order to produce entitling him to such honours and the mere fact that he comes from the old Pallegar family cannot be regarded as entitling him to use the honours without the special permission of Government.

19. In view of the above facts, His Highness thinks that it would facilitate the decision of the question now under consideration if a list of Pallegars and others who have been permitted by Government to use such honours was called for and examined. Even if permission has to be granted eventually in this particular case, it is a question for consideration whether it would be advisable to allow the use of Pancha Kalasa and Swetachatra both of which pertain to Royalty exclusively.

20. His Highness will feel obliged if, in the light of the facts stated above, you will kindly have the question sifted thoroughly and send it up again with your recommendations.

Secretary.

21. For favour of collecting the necessary information and of further action.

M. VISVESVARAIYA.
21*st August* 1915.

Asst. Secretary.

22. Let me have a draft please. Statement of information required may be put up.

D. M. NARASINGA RAO,
G. SRINIVASA IYER,
21*st August* 1915.

23. Submitted with a draft.

B. G.
S. V. S.

24. Issue.

G. SRINIVASA IYER,
25*th August* 1915.

To the Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

J. 905-12 *dated* 28*th August* 1915.

6. Calls for certain information *re* the use of certain insignias.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District.

No. 193 *dated* 28*th and* 29*th August* 1915.

7. Forwards a statement furnishing the information called for.

From the District Magistrate, Chittaldrug District.

No. 174 *dated* 5*th October* 1915.

8. States that Medikere Naik has applied for a license to go in procession with insignias during the ensuing Dasara and that he has considered it desirable to refuse the license pending the receipt of Government Orders in the matter and requests for early orders.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore.

No. 349 *dated* 19*th November* 1915.

10. Forwards the required statement and promises to furnish further information about Kundapurada Vyāsarayaswami of Abbur.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District.

No. 224 *dated* 29*th November* 1915.

11. Forwards the statement and promises to furnish information about certain mutts.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.

No 288 *dated* 10*th December* 1915.

12. Forwards the statement called for.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

No. 343 *dated* 17*th January* 1916.

13. Forwards the required statement.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

No. 372 *dated* 17*th January* 1916.

14. Forwards the required statement.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District.

No. 293 *dated* 19*th January* 1916.

15. In continuation of his previous letter forwards a statement for the Honnali and Tirthahalli Taluks, and states that the particulars of the insignias used by the Swami of the Jain Matt at Humcha will be furnished on receipt of information from the Amildar.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

No. 361 *dated* 27*th November* 1915.

16. Furnishes information as to the insignias to which the Swami of the Vyasaraya Mutt in the Channapatna Taluk is entitled and states that as the Swami is away in the Salem District, the authority permitting their use is not procurable.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

No. 322 *dated* 26*th Feburary* 1916.

17. Forwards a statement and states that on receipt from Manjarabad and Arsikere a supplemental list will be submitted.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga.

No. 343, *J.* 43 *dated* 29*th Feburary* 1916.

18. Forwards a statement of the insignias used by the Swami of the Jain Mutt at Humcha.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.

No. 548 *dated* 18*th May* 1916.

19. Forwards a file of vernacular papers regarding the use of insignias by Tatachar Srinivasachar and states that the insignias allowed may be defined and restricted suitably and that it is desirable to confine the use of such insignia to one representative of the family.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

No. 435 *dated* 25*th May* 1916.

20. Furnishes the further information promised.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

No. Ref. H.C. 564 *dated* 15*th September* 1916.

22. Forwards a statement and states that reminders have been issued to the Amildars of Mulbagal and Sidlaghatta for information from their Taluks.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar.

No. Ref. H.C. 564 *dated* 4*th November* 1916.

26. Forwards a list showing the insignias possessed by the persons in taluks of Mulbagal and Sidlaghatta.

42. The case of Mr. Madakeri Naik may be considered along with that of the 18 others.

KANTIRAVA NARASIMHARAJA WODEYAR,
12th July 1917.

A. R. BANERJI,
12th July 1917.

M. KANTARAJ URS.

43. Submitted with a draft letter to the Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore, Kolar, Tumkur, Mysore, Hassan and Shimoga. The opinion of the Deputy Commissioner of Chitaldrug is already on file ; hence he is not addressed now.

N.V.
13th March 1917.

44. Submitted.

G. SRINIVASA IYER,
14th July 1917.

To the Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore, Kolar, Tumkur, Mysore, Hassan and Shimoga.

No. J. 128-133 *dated 16th July* 1917.

27. Calls for a report before the end of August 1917.

From the District Magistrate, Shimoga.
No. H.C. 023 *Judl. dated 5th September* 1917.

30. Submits the report called for in the matter.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.
No. D. Dis. 4 *H.C. dated 3rd October* 1917.

32. Submits a report on the use of insignias by some people in Manjarabad and Alur Sub Taluk.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
No. C. 80—*H.O. dated 3rd November* 1917.

33. Submits a report as to the propriety of the use of certain insignia by the late Setwaji Row's family at Chickmaralwadi in the Kankanhalli Taluk.

34. From the District Magistrate, Mysore District.
No. Roc. No. 118 *of* 17-18 *Judl, dated 4th January* 1918.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.
No. Ref. on H.C. 55 *of* 17-18 *dated 5th and 8th January* 1918.

35. Submits the report called for.

35 (A) From the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore.
No. D. Dis. 401 *Judl.* 17-18, *dated 22nd January* 1918.

States that some of the insignia holders are in the Yedatore Taluk.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.
No. Gl. C. 21 *of* 17-18 *dated 19th March* 1918.

36 (A). States that the Pattadadevaru of Yellandu may be prohibited to use the insignias in question, until he can properly establish his title to use them.

45. A statement No. III embodying the information furnished by the Deputy Commissioner and their recommendations is put up. The information was called for in accordance with the council resolution of 12th July 1917. Please see para 84 *supra.*

46. From the correspondence now received it appears that many of the items mentioned in statement II are religious institutions. Such items have been entered in the statement now put up (Statement III) in red ink.

47. Submitted for orders.

B. G.
2nd April 1918.
N. V.

48. The information furnished by the Deputy Commissioners in regard to the 19 persons referred to in the Council Resolution dated 12th July 1917 has been tabulated and the statement is submitted for favour of perusal. In some cases the enquiry has not been very satisfactory, and in the majority of cases the claimants to the honours have adduced little or no evidence.

49. Out of the 19 persons in the list, Satwaji Rao of Kankanhalli, Dasi Setty of Kolar, Pattadadevaru of Chickanayakanhakki, all the seven persons mentioned as claiming the insignias in the Mysore city, the persons (names not specified) in Manjarabad, Madakeri Naik, Gaviporc Swami and Halaswami of Honnali, have produced no sanads or title deeds in support of their claims. Sarvagnabhattachar, Channaveeraya are said to have lost their sanads, and no secondary evidence is produced. The slip produced by Venkatareddi is reported not to have been recognised by Government as valid. So the only persons who have produced any title deed are Sughana Mintrachar and the recluse of Gubbe Gudda. In the case of the former the nirup mentions "chatri chamara," and he claims "Red Umbrella and Chamara". But he is the guru of the goldsmiths, and as the sanads (whose genuniness may perhaps be assumed) confess the insignias, if approved, the same may be recognized.

50. As regards Paradesia of Gubbe Gudda, the sanad produced by him confers on him the right to use only *Palaki* ; as a matter of fact he is not using the Palaki, and there are no sanads for using *Dala,* and Kahle, Red Umbrella, Nagari on Bull, etc. The fact that the people do not object to his using the insignias does not appear to be a valid argument. It is a point for orders whether Paradesia can be permitted to use any of the insignia caimed by him.

51. Mr. Setwaji Rao of Kankanhalli has produced no sanad, and in a printed pamplet giving the history of his family, it is stated that "His Highness the late Maharaja presented him (*i.e.*, Raghunatha Rao) with a palanquin and other honours". The context shows that the honours if any, were conferred for military services. The exact nature of the honours conferred is not stated. There is no evidence to show that the honours were to be considered as hereditary. As a matter of fact most of the insignia are not even with the petitioner. He has only a *Mena,* and it is a point for orders whether he may be permitted to use it, or any others.

52. Venkatareddi claims the right to go in a palanquin. No satisfactory proof of his title is produced. His claim to go in Palanquin on Vijayadasami day is clearly open to objection, and it is a point for orders whether his right to use the palanquin during marriages may stand.

Sarvagnabhattachari has produced no sanads, as they are said to have been lost. The fact that he has been using it, for over 800 years and that they were confered by Sri Ramanujachariar shows that he has no valid title to use them. It is only the Soveriegn that can confer these insignia. Further Swetachatri and Panchakalasa are the insignia of Royalty, and Mr. Sarvagnabhattachar cannot be permitted to use them. It is a point for consideration whether he may be permitted to use any others.

53. Dasi Setty of Kolar has also no Sanad or title deed to show that he is entitled to use Palaki, Kempuchatri or Chamara. He is also said to be not to be a person who deserves to use them.

54. Channaveeraya of Bellavi Mutt, has also no title deed to support his claim. The Amildar reports that he is personally a highly respected gentleman. He claims only Palaki, Kempuchatri and Chamara. It is a point for orders whether these may be allowed.

55. Pattadadevaru, Chickanaikanhalli Taluk, has also no title deed to support his claim to use the insignia. Hagaludeevatige, and Swetchatri cannot be allowed and the Deputy Commissioner is of opinion that in the absence of clear authority, he should not be permitted to use any insignia.

56. The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore has furnished no information about the claimants in the Mysore City on the ground that their whereabouts are not known.

57. The names of the persons who claim to use the Umbrellas in Manjarabad have not been mentioned by the Deputy Commissioner, they have produced no documents ; but the Deputy Commissioner recommends that they may be permitted to use the insignia on the ground that the insignia are ordinary ones.

58. The Ramapurswami in Honnali has also no title deed, but the Deputy Commissioner recommends the use of the insignia by the Swami on the ground that the Matt is an ancient. But even then Makarathorana, Swetchatri cannot be permitted, and it is a point for orders whether any others have to be prohibited.

25. A statement showing the persons using insignias has been compiled in accordance with para 21 *supra* from the information furnished by the Deputy Commissioners with reference to para 18 *supra*; attention is respectfully invited to Sl. No. 8 wherein the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug, has furnished information regarding the status etc., of the applicant as also his own opinion regarding the grant of the petitioner's prayer.

6. The statement may, in the first instance, be sent to the Muzrai Branch for verification and remarks and putting up the orders relating to the subject.

B. G.
22*nd November* 1916.

27. Submitted for orders.

G. SRINIVASA IYER,
23*rd November* 1916.

28. So far as the present question is concerned, I think it best not to mix up the Matadhipathies with secular persons as the respective cases of these two classes stand on different footing. This seems to be what was intended to be conveyed in the Huzur Secretary's letter.

29. If this suggestion should be acceptable, the present reference seems to be unnecessary. A comparison and verification of the whole list will mean time and trouble and the matter appears to require expeditious disposal. On a cursory glance at the list it strikes me that the details of insignia noted as against some of the Matadhipathies require modification. A separate list dropping out the Matadhipathies may be made and it would then be easier to take a comparative view of the situation. If this list is sent to me I shall append my remarks and put up such references as may be available which would help in the disposal of the question.

A. ARAVAMUDU IYENGAR,
27*th November* 1916.

30. Submitted. A list (excluding the Matts) has also been prepared and put up. (*Vide* List marked II).

B. G.
6*th December* 1916.

31. A list of persons other than Matadhipathies, using insignias in the State is put up and a list of both persons and Matadhipathies with the insignias respectively used by them is also put up. As regards the persons other than the Matadhipathies, none of them has produced any sanad from the Soveriegn or any order of Government in support of his claim to use the insignia. They have only mamool or usage to rely upon. There is also no information regarding the antiquity of the usage set up by each of them. It is highly improbable, for instance, that Sarvagna Bhattachar in Srinivasapur Taluk (No. 4 in the list) would have been granted the royal insignia of Panchakalasa, Bilichatri centuries ago as set up by him. The proper course to adopt in regard to each individual case seems to be that in the absence of specific authority, the persons concerned should not be permitted to use insignias generally pertaining to royalty. What insignias should be thus prohibited for use by the public may have to be settled before a general order is issued in the matter. Hagalu Deevatige, Makarathorana, Dhavalasankha and Panchakalasasa Swetachatra are admitted by Sovereign's insignia, and should not be permitted in any case of private persons. The question is what other insignia should be included.

G. SRINIVASA IYER,
28*th March* 1916.

32. For favour of remarks.

G. SRINIVASA IYER,
28*th March* 1916.

33. The question of the Matadhipathies and other spiritual dignitaries has greatly simplified the matter. These individuals form a class apart and the honours shown to them is not so much to the individuals themselves, as to the institutions over which they have for the time being the honour of presiding. In these cases, the honours gained at any time during the history of the institutions are rightly allowed to be displayed by the presiding priests until withdrawn. They are not only a recognition of the place laboriously gained in the estimation of the public and the extent of the spiritual office performed for its benefit but also serves as a reminder to the guru of the eminence of his position and the corresponding responsibility which he owes to his disciples and the public at large.

34. It is obvious that the case of secular persons stand on a different footing. If honours should mean anything at all, they should be graduated to the dignity and social standing of the person concerned and though any particular insignia displayed may have a connection with some event in the past history of the individual's family, it would be a travesry if it is wholly out of keeping with the present. In this sense no secular honour can be said to be heritable unless otherwise expressly stated. This is the practice which is having observed by title holders and there is no reason why the rule should not be applied also in these. At any rate, there should be no right accruing to the descendent to the display of any honour which is a special appendage of a position of eminence occupied by the family in the past but which no longer continues.

35. Coming now to the consideration of list II, it is seem that 4 at least out of the 19 entries would appear to belong to the class of spiritual dignitaries, *viz.*, No. 7, 15, 17 and 18 while two more, *viz.*, No. 2 and 4 have at least a partial claim to such inclusion. Again item 16 relates to certain persons said to be using some varieties of umbrellas with music at the time of the marriage. In view of the simple nature of the display and the occasion of the use, these cases may also be omitted from the present consideration.

36. There are thus twelve cases standing over where one or more honours are paraded as personal distinction and among them the case of Madakeri Naik is conspicuous. Two are satisfied with *Palaki* alone, six with *chatri* and *chamara* while two more lay claim to both the sets. Satwaji Rao of Kankanhalli Taluk adds an *aftagiri* to the above honours but he falls far short of Madakare Naik who to a large varieties of Umbrellas adds a claim to *Morchal* and exclusive royal honours of *Swethachatri* and *Panchakalasa*. That the claim is in a sense extravagant will be clear from the fact that no other scions of Pallegar houses has brought forward any claim for the display of honours. But it is wholly preposterous when the occasion on which the display is claimed is considered. The Vijiadasami procession is not merely an unmeaning religious ceremony but it is connected in theory and people's beliefs with the State's preparedness for war and no subject of His Highness can be said to have a right to processional progress on the occasion.

37. If Madakeri Naik will drop his pretensions to a Jumbusavari he may be permitted to ride in a Palaki and proceed with Music for purpose of Ayudapuja. He may as a special case be given the right to display a Sada Chatri even of the white variety and an *aftagiri*. Any additional honour would seem to be out of keeping with the status and position of the party in question.

G. Aravamuda Iyengar,
17*th April* 1917.

38. Submitted.

B. G.
19*th April* 1917.

39. For favour of orders.

G. Srinivasa Iyer,
19*th April* 1917.

38. The case under consideration is that of Madakari Naik and as suggested by the Muzrai Superintendent, he may be allowed to go in procession with music, in a *Palaki*, displaying a *sada Chatri* of a white variety and an *aftagiri*. I would even allow the display of colored *chatris*.

Kantirava Narasimharaja Wodeyar,
5*th June* 1917.

39. Please circulate and bring up at a meeting of Council.

M. Visvesvaryya,
16*th June* 1917.

40. Sir, Submitted.

G. Srinivasa Iyer,
16*th June* 1917.

Opinion of the Council.

41. The Deputy Commissioners should be requested to investigate the propriety of the use of insignia in each of the cases in List II and to submit a full report to Government with their opinions before the end of August 1917.

59. The Govinakove Swami has no title deed, and the Mutt is of a recent origin. Admittedly many of the insignias were introduced without authority. Even here *Bilichatri* may be prohibited and it is a point for orders whether *Aaftagiri*, may be allowed.

As regards Madekeri Naik, attention is respectfully invited to paragraphs 10, and 82 above.

56. Submitted for orders.

G. SRINIVASA IYER,
8th July 1917.

60. Of the cases in the list several *viz.*, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 15, 17, 18 are of a religious character. The claims in these cases should be dealt with in the Muzrai Department on the principles which regulate such matters in the case of the more important institutions.

61. The purely secular cases are 1, 3, 8 to 14, 16 and 19. Except 19 the others are more or less very minor. It will be noticed that except 19, none of the others bases his claim on being a descendent of a polegar family, though some depend on Sanads granted by Palegars in ancient times.

62. As regards No. 19, I have expressed my views in the communications addressed from the Chitaldrug District, when I was in charge of the District, and I have nothing to add to them. I would only urge attention to the point of principle that no subject should parade insignia of royalty except under the specific grant of the Sovereign.

63. As to what are or should be regarded as royal insignia and should be prohibited, the views taken in the case of religious institutions may be considered, as both are governed by the same principle. Then again the occasion when the insignias are used has also a bearing on the matter. It is one thing for a man to use a palki and other insignia during a marriage procession, when people generally want to make a show, and quite another to go in procession during the Vijayadasami, which as explained in para 78 of the notes *supra*, has a political significance.

64. If one palegar pensioner is now allowed to use insignia it is not at all unlikely that others will come up with requests for similar concessions.

65. As regards the insignia, perhaps an ordinary palki or mena, is quite unobjectionable though it has practically ceased to be in use as an ordinary means of transport. But 'chatris' or umbrellas meant for show, chamara, aftagiri, etc., are meant as imitations of royal insignia, and are carried about not for any useful purpose but purely as symbols of greatness. There is perhaps no great harm if a poor man afer a rich neighbour keeps a motor car or a number of horses with a view to make a show, but it is likly to be mischievous if private people try to imitate the Sovereign in using symbols of regal authority.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
29th April 1918.

G. SRINIVASA IYER.

66. The file may be recirculated and the subject considered at a meeting of the Council.

M. KANTARAJA URS.
22nd May 1918.

67. Submitted.

M. VISVESVARAYYA,
4th June 1918.

68. Recirculate.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
4th June 1918.

INSTRUCTIONS IN COUNCIL ON THE 25TH JUNE 1918.

69. "Put up draft order and submit. (Consult Kudli file)".

70. To Assistant Secretary, Branch III for a draft Government Order. I shall be glad to have a talk about the terms of the draft order.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
25th June 1918.

G. SRINIVASA IYER,
27th June 1918.

71. Draft Government Order is submitted for favour of approval or other orders.

G. SRINIVASA IYER,
30th June 1918.

72. Submitted for favour of remarks, if any, on the draft.

G. SRINIVASA IYER,
7th September 1918.

73. I think it would be better to drop the class of special honours and merge the items under the second class and leave it to the parties to establish their claim to their use. I have indicated in pencil some alterations in the draft for consideration. The order may be made generally applicable to all persons whether private or matadhipaties. The important Matts which are entitled to Rahadaries from Government will alone apply to Government direct and the rest to the Deputy Commissioner. The order will be useful as a guidance to the Deputy Commissioners as to when orders of Government have to be applied for.

G. ARAVAMUDA IYENGAR,
10th September 1918.

74. As instructed in Council yesterday, the draft is submitted to the Extra Member of Council.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
13th September 1918.

75. I have gone through the Draft and it appears to me to be suitable. I have made a few minor revisions here and there. I should however suggest the desirability of getting the classified list of honours scrutinized by the Palace Controller, Mysore, before submission of case for the orders of His Highness.

P. RAGHAVENDRA RAO,
21st September 1918.

76. Please make a copy of the draft G. O. and put up D. O. to Palace Controller.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
21st September 1918.

G.S.
24th September 1918.

77. Draft D.O. submitted. A copy of the draft order will be got typed along with the D.O.

B. G.

G. S.
24th September 1918.
N. V.

78. Typed copies of D.O. and the G.O. are submitted.

B. G.
25th September 1918.
N. V.

79. For favour of approval.

G. SRINIVASA IYER,
25th September 1918.

80. Issue.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
26th September 1918.

To the Palace Controller, Mysore.

No. G. 6485 *dated 27th September* 1918.

36. Forwards a copy of Government Order proposed to be issued *re* the grant of permission to the use of insignias in the State and requests to favour with remarks and suggestions *re* the classified list of honours referred to therein.

From Mr. T. Thumboo Chetty, Asst. Private Secretary, The Palace.

D. O. No. 1459 *dated 14th March* 1919.

38. Solicits opinion regarding the classification of certain insignia referred to in the draft proceedings sent with Sl. 36 above as difference of opinion about them has arisen.

be placed gilt or plated Surepana and Aftagiri cloth, Makara Thorana, gilt Umbrella Nishani and Nagari on elephant or camel, Nandi Dwaja and Moharchal of the ordinary variety (which is hardly distinguishable from Chamara). It may be an instruction that use of these can only be permitted under the special authority of the Sovereign. The classification of the honours is a matter in which wide difference of opinion may well exist but as far as possible the intention adopted by me is one of inference from the rarity or otherwise of the use made of them.

100. The above suggestion is made with great diffidence particularly at this stage of the discussion. It is put forward to meet the views of the Durbar Bakshi that there should be some difference between an ordinary emblem and one made of gold or silver.

101. I have also taken the liberty to make a small addition to the list of honours. Chamara was some how omitted and this has now been supplied and the word ordinary was added to Sankha under 3rd class to distinguish it from Dhavala Sanka.

G. ARAVAMUDA IYENGAR,
16*th July* 1919.

102. Forwarded with compliments to the Chief Secretary.

G. ARAVAMUDA IYENGAR,
16*th July* 1919.

103. The views of the Palace Officers are on record. It is not necessary at this stage to consult the Palace authorities again or seek His Highness' orders on the Palace side.

104. In consideration of the views expressed by the Durbar Bakshi, Surepana, Aftagiri and Moharchal may be included among Royal Honours, if made of gold or silver or gilt or plated so as to resemble gold or silver ; if of other material they may be included among second class honours. The Muzrai Secretary's suggestion *re* Makara Torana may be adopted.

105. This question has been under discussion for a long time and some decision is necessary.

106. May be considered in Council.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
18*th July* 1919.

Dewan.

109. Yes.

M. KANTARAJ URS,
19*th July* 1919.

110. Circulate.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
21*st July* 1919.

P. MAHADEVAYYA,
21*st July* 1919.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

111. The draft order as revised in Council may be approved.

M. KANTARAJ URS,
Dewan of Mysore.

112. To the Assistant Secretary, Branch III, for kindly putting up a Draft Final Note and submitting it to the Third Member of Council.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
12*th August* 1919.

113. Please put up D. F. N. and D. S. N.

P. MAHADEVAYYA,
13*th August* 1919.

114. Submitted with a D.F.N. and a D.S.N.

S. L. R.
1st *September*1919.

115. Please put it typed and also put up a D.S.N.

P. MAHADEVAYYA,
1*st September* 1919.

116. A typed D.F.N. and a D.S.N. are submitted.

P. MAHADEVAYYA,
3rd September 1919.

Circulated to the Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders before issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure).

C. S. BALASUNDARM IYER,
Chief Secretary to Government,
11th November 1919.

Thorugh the Secretary to Government,

.....Department.

3210-343—*dated 12th November* 1919, First Member of Council.
Seen.

P. MAHADEVAYYA,
12th November 1919.

2238—*dated 11th November* 1919, Second Member of Council.

V. N.
12t November 1919.

1591—*dated 11th November* 1919, Third Member of Council.

T.A.
11th November 1919.

Issue.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
14th November 1919.

P. MAHADEVAYYA,
14th November 1919.

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the Third Member of Council on the subject of the use of insignia by Pallegars and other private persons other than Matadhipathis when they go in procession, for His Highness' gracious approval.

M. KANTARAJ URS,
Dewan.
9th September 1919.

FINAL NOTE BY THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

117. *Subject.*—Use of certain insignia by pallegars and other private persons other than Matadhipathies when they go in procession.

118. In March 1915, the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District solicited orders of Government in regard to the application of one Mr. B. D. Madikere Naik, pallegar pensioner, for the grant of a license to go in procession with certain insignia. Though the pallegar could not produce any evidence in support of his claim except the licenses granted to him by Magistrates from 1911, Mr. H. V. Nanjundayya the then First Member of Council was of opinion that there was no objection to the grant of the permission prayed for, so long as the insignia were harmless to others or to the public and were in conformity with *mamool*; and in his Submission Note dated 27th July 1915 he accordingly recommended the continuance of the issue of the licenses by the District Magistrate for the use of the insignia. This view was not approved by His Highness. It was observed that though the pallegar referred to, is a descendant of the old Chitaldrug family which was in times gone by, ruling that part of the country, his present position and the honour enjoyed by similar pallegars in the State should be ascertained before the prayer is granted and that the officers acted *ultra vires* in issuing licenses for the use of the honors in question, in the absence of any Government order entitling the use of such honours. His Highness was pleased to direct that with a view to facilitate the decision of the question, a list of pallegars and others who had been permitted by Government to use such honours might be called for and examined and that the question might be thoroughly gone into and sent up again with the recommendations of the Dewan.

81. Please put up drafts enquiring on the points raised in the Palace letter.

P. MAHADEVAYYA,
26th March 1918.

82. Forwarded Draft Order with compliments to the Muzrai Superintendent, Joint Secretary to Government for favour of furnishing the required informations with remarks.

P. MAHADEVAYYA,
FOR CHIEF SECRETARY,
29th March 1918.

83. On principle a reservation of the mobile metals for royal insignias only seems to be quite justifiable but, it is to be feared that a restrictive condition that a Surepana or Aftagiri intended for use by private individuals should be made of inferior metals which often necessitate an enquiry which may be considered to be vexatious. These insignias are usually made of cloth with metal handles but occasionally they are wholly of metal and gilt. In the latter cases it will be difficult to decide whether its display should be permitted or not. It seems therefore inexpedient to impose any limitations.

84. As regards Moharchal, there seems to be some confusion as to what it exactly is and it would be useful to have an authoritative description of the articles. It is often compounded with Chamara. The only Matadhipatties who seem so far to be clearly entitled to its use are the heads of Sringeri, Parakalaswamy and Ahobila Matts. A number of other institutions as shown in the margin are also mentioned in a Secretariat compilation prepared in 1888 but having been prepared on the basis of information supplied by the matts themselves, it can hardly be considered to be authoritative, unless when the claims have been definitely recognized in a Sannad or Rahadari issued by the Government. In the case of the Ahobil Matt the Rahadari issued on 18th February 1916 includes this item. The Rahadaris relating to Sringeri and Parakala Mutts do not disclose the insignias to be used in detail but in view of the standing of these Matts, their right to the display of this specific honour may be presumed. Considering the very limited use made of this honour it seems to me that it would be preferable to include it in the exclusively royal honours in which cases the question of its constituent metal will not arise.

(1) Kadasipdappana Matt, Yediyur
(2) Kudli—Sringeri Matt.
(3) Akshobhya Thirthaswami Mut.
(4) Jain Matt at Humcha.
(5) Murgi Matt.
(6) Jain Matt at Sravanabola gola.
(7) Nirvanaswami Matt at Hosahalli.
(8) Bisāguia Matt, Chickamagalur.
(9) Dattatreya Peeta.
(10) Balehalli Matt.

G. ARAVAMUDA IYENGAR,
21*st April* 1919.

85. The insignias refered to in the Palace letter are :—

1. Surepana ; 2. Aftagiri ; and 3. Moharchale.

86. As regards 1 and 2, the question for consideration is whether they may be classed among second class superior honours, provided they are entirely made of metal other than gold or silver. On this point the Muzrai Superintendent states that these are usually made of cloth with metal handles, that occasionally they are wholly of metal and gilt, that it would be difficult to decide whether their display should be permitted or not in the latter case and that it seems therefore inexpedient to impose any limitations.

87. As regards No. 3 (Moharchal), it has to be decided, after enquiry, whether any Matadhipathi or other person is privileged to make use of it and if so what metal it is made of. The question does not seem to be free from doubt. The Muzrai Superintendent does not seem to have made any enquiry as required in para 3 of the Palace Letter. He says that 10 institutions are mentioned, as claiming it, in a Secretariat compilation of 1888 and that it is not authoritative unless the claims have been definitely recognized in a Sannad or Rahadari. The only muttadhipathis entitled to its use are said to be the heads of Sringeri, Parakalaswamy and Ahobala Mutts. But in the case of the two latter he says that the Rahadaris do not disclose the insignias in details and that their right to display this specific honour may be presumed in view of their standing. He is of opinion that it would be preferable to include this insignia in the list exclusive of royal honours.

88. As the Muzarai Superintendent also says that there is some confusion as to what this insignia exactly is and that it would be useful to have an authoritative

description of it, it is a question for orders whether the matter, being an important one, may not be thoroughly investigated and a definite decision arrived at so that there might be no further doubt regarding the insignia and its use.

89. Submitted for orders.

P. MAHADEVAYYA,
24th April 1919.

90. A draft letter to the Asst. Secretary to His Highness is submitted for approval (as personally instructed).

P. MAHADEVAYYA,
25th April 1919.

91. Issue.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
2nd May 1919.

To Mr. T. Thumboo Chetty, Asst. Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

D. O. No. 24975 *dated 5th May* 1919.

39. Requests to kindly send a correct description of the insignia together with a tracing thereof if convenient.

From the same.

D. O. No. 2148 *dated 30th June* 1919.

40. Forwards extract of D.O. letter from the Darbar Bakshi furnishing the description of the insignia 'Moharchal' and also pencil sketches of the same.

92. Submitted. The Moharchals in the Palace, are made of gold and are used by their Highnesses the Maharaja, the Yuvaraja and the Maharanis when they appear in state only on special occasions.

93. Forwarded to Muzrai Secretary with compliments for favour of the register referred to in Mr. Thumbu Chetty's letter of 14th March 1919 being furnished if available.

A drawing of the Moharchal received from Darbar Bakshi is sent herewith.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
14th July 1919.

94. In my note dated 21st April 1919 I have furnished all the information at my disposal. I note that Moharchal is a Persian term and the characteristic feature of this emblem is that it is adorned in the feathers of the Bird of Paradise. So far as my knowledge goes an article of this class does not appear to be in possession of any Matadhipati that I am aware of. I have known cases where a Chamara has been shown as Moharchal as for instance at the Tirupati temple. It seems to me therefore that an emblem of this class may well be reserved for Royalty.

95. I have already expressed my opinion as regards the reservation of the superior metals to royal insignia. Does the proposed limitation extend to gilding and plating of the articles if made of inferior metals. As these honours are valued for their show and not for their intrinsic worth, it seems that the prohibition should also extend to these processes in which case the prohibition will have to include all metal ware as, when used, it is invariably gilt or plated.

96. Again if limitations are to be placed on certain items now included among the superior honours, it is a matter for consideration whether the excluded variety should not be brought under the 1st class or royal honours and I do not know if this is intended.

97. I may also take this oportunity to point out that Makara Thoranas also exist in two varieties, *viz.*, of metal with figures of makara at the two ends and of cloth with jagged body adorned with tassels. Though this is included among the Royal honours, the cloth variety is displayed by a large number of Matadhipatis and if the material of an item of honour is to be an element in determining the class to which the item belongs, this article of insignia may also be similarly distinguished.

98. Recently I have come across a case where a gilt metallic chatri was claimed as an emblem of honour. This has never up to now figured as an emblem and is not included in the list. It is a matter for consideration whether right to its display should not be reserved.

99. Taking all the circumstances into consideration it seems to be most convenient to introduce another class between the Royal honours and the Superior honours as was originally suggested by me which may be called 'special' and in that class may

119. Accordingly, the Deputy Commissioners of Districts were requested to furnish a statement of persons in their respective Districts who had been permitted to use the marginally noted insignia and the authority under which they were allowed to do so. From the information thus received, 2 lists were got prepared, one showing the insignia used by all persons including Mathadipathis and the other excluding the latter, and the lists were referred to the Muzrai Department for favour of verification and remarks. The Joint Secretary, Muzrai, was of opinion that while in the case of Mathdipathis and other spiritual dignitaries, the honours shown to them—which are not so much to the individuals themselves as to institutions over which they preside for the time being—are rightly allowed to be displayed by the presiding Priests until withdrawn, the honour enjoyed by secular persons should be graduated to the dignity and social standing of the individual concerned and that according to the practice observed in respect of title-holders, such secular honours cannot be considered as heritable unless otherwise expressly provided. Examining the list of pallegar insignia on this principle, he observed that the claim of Mr. Madikere Naik to the use of Moharchal, Swetachatri and Panchakalasha was extravagant in view of the fact that no other scion of pallegar houses has put forward any claim for the display of honours and also of the occasion on which Mr. Madikere Naik claimed to use the said honours, *viz.*, the Vijiadasami day, an occasion which has a political singificance. He concluded by saying that if Mr. Madikere Naik would drop his pretensions to a Jambusavari, he may be permitted to ride in a palaki and proceed with music for purposes of Ayudhapuja, that as a special case he may be given the right to use Sadachatri—white—and an aftagiri and that any other honour would seem to be out of keeping with his status and position.

(1) Palaki.
(2) Bili chatri.
(3) Hasuru chatri.
(4) Chamara.
(5) Valaga.
(6) Bhajantri.
(7) Palakisaranjan.
(8) Rajagondya.
(9) Panchakalasha.
(10) Haladi chatri.
(11) Pancharangi chatri.
(12) Bharjari chatri.
(13) Aftagiri.
(14) Moharchal.

120. The matter having been placed before the Council meeting held on the 12th July 1917, it was ordered that the Deputy Commissioner should be requested to investigate and report on the propriety of the use of insignia in each of the cases mentioned in List II and that the case of Mr. Madikere Naik might be considered along with that of the 18 others included in that List. The further information received from the Deputy Commissioner was tabulated for consideration (Statement No. III) and after each case was examined in the Secretariat, the matter was brought up again before the Council on 25th June 1918 when a draft Government order was directed to be prepared and submitted.

121. A draft was accordingly prepared classifying the different kinds of honours and laying down the rules to regulate their use ; and as instructed in Council on 12th September 1918, the draft was submitted to the-then-Extra Member of Council Mr. P. Raghavendra Rao who after examining it, suggested the desirability of getting the classified list of honours appended to the order, scrutinized by the Palace Controller before submission of the case for the orders of His Highness.

122. In reply to the communication addressed to the Palace authorities the Assistant Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore stated that according to the Durbar Bakshi's opinion, Surepana and Aftagiri have to be classified among the second class superior honours provided they are entirely made of metal other than gold or silver, that Moharchal being a Royal honour, cannot be used either by private persons or by Mathadipathis, and that according to the Palace Controller, it (Mahorchal) should be included among second class honours if it is not made of gold. The Muzrai Secretary who was consulted in regard to the revision suggested above, stated that Surepana and Aftagiri are usually made of cloth with metal handles, that occasionally they are wholly of metal and gilt, and that though the noble metals were used only for royal insignia, a restrictive condition that a Surepana or Aftagiri intended for use by private individuals should be made of inferior metals, might often necessitate enquiries which may be regarded as vexatious. As regards Moharchal, he stated that it would be preferable to include it in the list of royal honours.

123. The question was considered again at the meeting of the Council held on the 7th August 1919. The draft order which had been previously prepared was revised making it applicable to private persons other than Mathadipathis and classifying all insignia under the two heads of Royal Honours and other special honours ; and the Council was of opinion that "the draft order as revised in Council may be approved"

STATEMENT 1.

Statement of persons using insignias in the State.

Serial No.	Place	Name of persons using insignias	Particulars of insignias used	Authority permitting the use of insignias
		BANGALORE DISTRICT.		
1	Rajapur village; Anekal Taluk.	Channabasavaraja Swami of a Lingayat Matt.	1. Palaki 2. Bili chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga. 5. Bhajantri. 6. Pancharangi chatri.	
2	Chikamaralwadi, Kankanhalli Taluk.	Setwaji Rao	1. Palaki. 2. Chamara. 3. Bhajantri. 4. Palaki Sanchari. 5. Haladi chatri. 6. Aftagiri.	It is reported that these were given to Setwaji Rao's ancestors by Nawab Hyder Ali while returning from war in those times.
3	Sivaganga, Nelamangala Taluk.	Swami of the Sivaganga Matt.	1. Palaki. .. .. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga. 5. Bhajantri. 6. Palaki Sanchari.	Information not available.
4	Mylagadi, Nelamangala Taluk.	Swami of the Myalagadi Lingayat Matt.	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga. 5. Bhajantri. 6. Palaki Sanchari. 7. Aftagiri. 8. Mohar chal.	Reported to have been permitted by Government.
5	Kundapur, Channapatna Taluk.	Swami of the Vyasaraya Matt.	1. Palaki. .. .. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Kempu chatri. 4. Chamara. 5. Bhajantri. 6. Nagari. 7. Danka. 8. Davany. 9. Kahalay, Jagate. Surya vadhya, Chandra vadya. 10. Bhuraga. 11. Shankha. 12. Hagalu deevatigey. 13. Belli koolu.	Authority not procurable.
		KOLAR DISTRICT.		
6	Nambihalli, Srinivasapur Taluk.	Sugnanamurtiachar ..	1. Kempu chatri. .. 2. Chamara.	Information not available.
7	Byraganahalli, Srinivasapur Taluk.	Venkata Reddi ..	1. Palaki.	Do
8	Jodikoppaganpalli, Srinivasapur Taluk.	Sarvagna Bhattachar ..	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Bili chatri: 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga. 5. Bhajantri. 6. Panchakalasa.	These are reoprted to have been given at the time of founding Srivaishnaviam by Sri Ramanuja Char. G. O. permitting these was lost during a fire few year's ago.
9	Thambihalli, Kolar Taluk	Majjigehalli Matt. ..	1. Palaki. .. .. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Kempu chatri. 4. Chamara. 5. Valaga. 6. Bhajantri. 7. Palaki Saranjam. 8. Rajagondya. 9. Aftagiri. 10. Moharchal.	These are reported to be in use from a long time. No authority forthcoming.
10	Kolar town	Dasai Setti	1. Palaki. .. .. 2. Kempu chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga. 5. Bhajantri.	These are being enjoyed from time immemorial. No authority.
11	Belavi, Malur Taluk ..	Channaveeraiya	1. Palaki. .. .. 2. Kempu chatri. 3. Chamara.	Do
12	Mulbagal ..	Sripadarajaswami Matt ..	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga. 5. Bhajantri. 6. Palaki Saranjam. 7. Rajagondya. 8. Aftagiri 9. Moharchal.	Authority not furnished. Probably to be found in the Government records.
13	Avani, Mulbagal Taluk	Vidyasankara bharati Swami of the Avani Matt.	....	....

Serial No.	Place	Name of persons using insignias	Particulars of insignias used	Authority permitting the use of insignias
		TUMKUR DISTRICT.		
14	Godikere, Chiknaikanahalli Taluk.	Godikere Matt.	1. Yaradu chamara .. 2. Nagari. 3. Palaki. 4. Suryapana. 5. Gaggara kattigyagalu. 6. Sangeeta. 7. Haladi valapavadya. 8. Vonti nisani . 9. Yeradu Belli kattiga (Ubhaya Belli chad).	Krishna Raja Wodiyar's Sannad, dated 29th September 1867. G. O. No. 338—Muz. F. 401-10-5, dated 23rd August 1912.
15	Yelanadu, Chiknaikanhalli Taluk.	Pattada devaru ..	1. Palaki 2. Bili chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga. 5. Bhajantri. 6. Hagalu deevatigay. 7. Nagari. 8. Suraypana. 9. Gaggara kattigay. 10. Kahalay.	
		MYSORE DISTRICT.		
16	Nanjangud	Ramachandraswami Matt. (Raghavendra swami Matt.)	1. Anaygalu 4 (Nagari 1, Nisani 1, Ambari 1). 2. Kuduregalu 40 (Danka 1. Nisani 1). 3. Chillare 38. 4. Palaki 2. 5. Katti 10. 6. Pistulu 4. 7. Chandraudhda 5. 8. Navabathunagari 2. 9. Haglu deevatige 2. 10. Swetha chatri 2. 11. Nisani 2. 12. Aftagiri 2. 13. Chamara. 2. 14 Bandukhuvogyaray 20.	H. H. the late Krishnaraja Wodiyar's sannad (Rahadari No. 1996—Muz. F. 640-12-2, dated 12th May 1815).
17	Heggadadevankote ..	Yattiga Matt	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Bili chatri 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga. 5. Bhajantri.	Do
18	Mysore City	Parakala Matt	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga. 5. Bhanjantri. 6. Palaki saranjam. 7. Panchakalasa. 8. Aftagiri.	Reported to have been supplied during the times of H. H. late Krishnaraja Wadiar.
19	Do	Yerakattayya	1. Bili chatri .. .. 2. Chamara.	These are in use from time immemorial. Authority not available.
20	Do	Chunchayya	1. Bili chatri .. .. 2. Chamara.	These are said to be in use from time immemorial. Authority not available.
21	Do	Mathadayya	Do	Do
22	Do	Badnal Jogayya	Do	Do
23	Do	Madayya	Do	Do
24	Do	Borayya	1. Hasaru chatri. .. 2. Chamara.	Do
25	Do	C. Devaraj Urs	Palaki	Do
26	Nagamangala	Adi Chunchanagiri Matt ..	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Chamara. 3. Valaga. 4. Palaki saranjam. 5. Pancharangi chatri.	Authority not forthcoming.
27	Sosale, T. Narasipur Taluk.	Sosale Matt	1. Palaki. .. .. 2. Hasaru chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga.	Palace Nirup of Swabahnu chitra Bahula Panchami
		HASSAN DISTRICT.		
28	Gubegudda, Alur Sub-Taluk.	The recluse of Gubegudda	1. Valaga .. .. 2. Kempu chatri. 3. Yathinamelenagari.	Mamul.

124. The opinion of the Council and the draft order proposed to be issued may be graciously approved.

K. R. SRINIVASAIYENGAR,
Member of Council,
4th September 1919.

ORDER.

KRISHNARAJA WODEYAR.

6-11-19.

To the Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Inspector-General of Police.
The Secretary to Government, Muzrai Department.
The Huzur Secretary.

No. G. 11404-14, *dated* 19*th November* 1919.

41. Passes orders in the matter *re* the use of insignia by private persons other than Matadhipathies.

42. To Mr. Madakere Naik, Pension Pallegar, Chitaldrug.

No. G. 11415, *dated* 19*th November* 1919.

43. To the Huzur Secretary to His Highness.

No. G. 11416, *dated* 19*th November* 1919.

Forwards copies of the above.

Serial No.	Place	Name of persons using insignias	Particulars of insignias used	Authority permitting the use of the insignias
		SHIMOGA DISTRICT		
44	Kudli, Shimoga Taluk ..	Sri Akshobhya Tirtha Matt.	1. Palaki . 2. Bili chatri. 3. Aftagiri. 4. Mohar chal	Extract from the old register of the Dewan's Office.
45	Do	Sri Sringeri Matt .	....	....
46	Kade Mandihalli, Shikarpur Taluk.	Lingayat Matt .	1. Palaki 2. Bhajantri. 3. Rajagondya. 4. Aftagiri. 5. Moharchal.	Mamul, Sannad, if any, might have been destroyed by accidental fire.
47	Togarasi, Shikarpur Taluk.	Habe Lingayat Matt ..	1. Palaki 2. Jahera. 3. Valaga. 4. Bhajantri. 5. Rajagondya. 6. Aftagiri.	Mamul No. sannads.
48	Togarasi, Shikarpur .. Taluk.	Panchavanige Matt ..	1. Palaki . 2. Jahira. 3. Valaga. 4. Bhajantri. 5. Rajagondhya. 6. Panchakalasa. 7. Aftagiri.	Mamul No. sannads.
49	Chik Jamboor, Shikarpur Taluk.	Virakta Matt ..	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Valaga. 3. Bhajantri. 4. Rajagondya. 5. Panchakalasa. 6. Aftagiri.	Nil.
50	Sagar	Lokacharyara Matt ..	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Jahira. 3. Valaga. 4. Aftagiri.	H. H. Krishnaraja Wadiyar's Rahadari No. 170-30, dated 10th June 1812.
51	Anantapur, Sagar Taluk	Jangama Murgi Matt ..	1. Addapalaki .. .. 2. Panchakalasa. 3. Svatha chatri. 4. Makaratorana. 5. Divapancha. 6. Panchadeevatakey. 7. Dhavalashanka.	Decision of the Judl. Assistant Commissioner, Shimoga, dated 22nd January 1875. (But see G. O. No. 5547-58—Muz. 58 of 1897).
52	Ramachandrapura, Nagar Taluk.	Ramachandrapur Matt..	1. Palaki . 2. Svatha chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Panchakalasa.	(1) Sannad, dated 8th August 1836 from H. H. Immadi Devaraja Maharaja. (2) Rahadari, dated 5th January 1831.
53	Tirthahalli	Bhimanakatte Matt ..	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Svatha chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga. 5. Bhajantri.	H. H's. Rahadari, dated 18th January 1812.
54	Mulbagal, Tirthahalli Taluk.	Sri Krishnananda Tirtha Swamigalu.	1. Svatha chatri .. 2. Chamara (Ubhaya). 3. Palaki. 4. Makaratoorana. 5. Hagaludeevatigay vageyrey.	Government Notification No. 1553—Muz. 578-09-5 dated 10th December 10.
55	Muthur, Tirthahalli Taluk.	Swami of the Tirtha Muthur Matt.	1. Chamara. .. .. 2. Valaga. 4. Bhajantri. 4. Palaki.	Sannads, if any, were burnt during an accidental re. G. O. No. 152—M. F. 352-15-8, dated 15th July 16.
56	Puthige, Tirthahalli Taluk,	Puthige Matt	....	
57	Honnali	Channappaswami of Hire Matt.	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Hasaru chatri. 3. Bhajantri. 4. Haladi chatri. 5. Aftagiri.	G. O. No. 844, dated 17th January 1899.
58	Rampur, Honnali Taluk	Hala Swamigalu .	1. Palaki. .. .. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Hasuru chatri. 4. Chamara. 5. Valaga. 6. Bhajantri. 7. Palaki. 8. Rajagondya. 9. Aftagiri. 10. Mohar chal.	Mamul.

Serial No.	Place	Name of persons using insignias	Particulars of insignias used	Authority permitting the use of the insignias
29	Yelahanka, Belur Taluk	Swami of the Palkurke Mutt.	1. Palaki 2. Kombu.	G. O. No. 1074-6-Muz. 34-13-2, dated 3rd October 1913.
30	Sravanabelagola, Channarayapatna Taluk.	Swami of the Sravana belagola Matt.	1. Palaki .. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Hasaru chatri. 4. Chamara. 5. Valaga. 6. Bhajantri. 7. Palaki saranjam. 8. Rajagondya. 9. Panchakalasa. 10. Haladi chatri. 11. Pancharangi chatri. 12. Bharjari chatri. 13. Aftagiri. 14. Mohar chal.	Not stated.
31	Hole-Narasipur	Swamigalu of the Uttaradi Matt.	1. Sveta chatri .. 2. Aftagiri. 3. Anedanka. 4. Kudurey danka. 5. Kempu nisani ane. 6. Ambarisahita ane. 7. Navabathu. 8. Trichurna. 9. Chandra vadya. 10. Surya vadya. 11. Navilu vadya. 12. Simha vadya vagyre	Rahadari No. 1621—Muz. 304-14-5, dated 19th January 1815.
32	Do ..	Swamigalu of Sriramayoga Brahmananda Matt.		
33	Aremadanahalli, Arkalgud Taluk.	Vignana murti, Aremadanahalli Mutt.	1. Palaki. .. 2. Chamara.	Muzrai Endorsement No. 53—dated 13th December 1912.
34	Manjarabad Taluk ..	A few persons	1. Kempu chatri .. 2. Haladi chatri. 3. Hasaru chatri. 4. Valaga and etc., during marriages.	These are said to be being used since the time of Palyagars but there is no written authority.
		KADUR DISTRICT.		
35	Hosahalli, Chikmagalur Taluk.	Nirvanaswami Matt ..	1. Simhalalatada palaki 2. Chamara. 3. Mohar chal. 4. Bili chatri. 5. Aftagiri. 6. Karadi vadya.	Sannads lost.
36	Muttinapura, Chikmagalur Taluk.	Phalaraswami Matt. ..	1. Palaki. .. .. 2. Chamara. 3. Pakkay and flower.	Do
37	Kolagave, Chikmagalur Taluk.	Bisagni Matt .	1. Simhalalatada haradi palaki. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Mohar chal.	No sannads.
38	Attigondi, Chikmagalur Taluk.	Dattatripeeta .	1. Palaki. .. .. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Hasaru chatri. 4. Chamara. 5. Mohar chal. 6. Panchakalasa. 7. Srutimohari.	(1) Sannad No. 293, dated 27-4-1882 by H. H. the Maharaja. (2) Rahadari No. 2, dated 2nd April 1870 by the Chief Commissioner.
39	Sringeri, Koppa Taluk ..	Sringeri Matt .	1. Adda palaki .. .. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Panchakalasa. 4. Chamara. 5. Bhoo chatri. 6. Moharchal. 7. Aftagir.	Order No. 221, dated 21st December 1863 by H. H.
40	Hariharpur, Koppa Taluk.	Hariharpur Matt. ..	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Bili chatrii.	H. H's. rahadari, dated 2nd November 1821.
41	Bandigadi, Koppa Taluk	Tengina Matt ..	1. Palaki .. 2. Sveta chatri.	No sannad.
42	Kanabur, Narasimharajapura Sub-Taluk.	Balehally Simhasana Matt	1. Simhalalatada palaki .. 2. Panchakalasa. 3. Bili chatri. 4. Hasaru chatri. 5. Aftagiri. 6. Mohar chal. 7. Chandra vadya. 8. Davaney. 9. Bhoo chatri.	H. H. Krishnaraja Wadiar's Sannad, dated 6th January 1830.
43	Mudgere .	Mahanalana Matt .	1. Palaki 2. Chamara.	These is a sannad, but it is not traceable.

Serial No.	Place	Name of persons using insignias	Particulars of insignias used	Authority permitting the use of the insignias
59	Govinkere, Honnali Taluk.	Hala Swamigalu	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga. 5. Bhajantri. 6. Aftagiri.	Nil.
60	Humcha, Nagar Taluk ..	Swami of the Jain Matt	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Hasuru chatri. 4. Palaki saranjam. 5. Bhajantri. 6. Rajagondya. 7. Panchakalasa. 8. Haladi chatri. 9. Pancharangi chatri. 10. Bharajari chatri. 11. Chamara. 12. Aftagiri. 13. Mohar chal and etc.	The authority produced in 1889 before the Taluk Office was not returned.
		CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.		
61	Chitaldrug ..	Murgi Matt .	1. Ayagalu 100.. .. 2. Javanaru and etc. 150 3. Ane 2 4. Vonte 4 5. Kudure 25 6. Sada palaki 1 7. Myana 1 8. Makaratorana 1 9. Bechaperangu 2 10. Kavudu 2 11. Talaygeykattibaresuva suryavadya 2 12. Talaygeykattibarasuva chandravadya 2 13. Hasuru, Kempu, Haladi Aftagiri 2 14. Chandagavevudasu 2 15. Hagaludeevatige 2 16. Jagate 2 17. Nandidwaza 1 18. Svetha chatri 2 19. Panchadeevatige 2 20. Moharchal 2 21. Valepavada 2 22. Kuduraymalenadanka 2 23. Kavenisani 2 24. Mayemarātabu 2 25. Chandravadya 2 26. Udukuvadya 2 27. Chamara 2 28. Shankha 2 29. Kavijunda 2 30. Patapatidunda 2 21. Belli kattige 50 32. Hasurukattige 50 33. Bandukugalu 20 34. Hathyarugalu 40 35. Chakkadabandigalu 30 35. Billydavali 40	G. P. No. 5547-58—Muz. F. 58-1907, dated 28th May 1907.
62	Chitaldrug	Madakeri Naik ..	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Hasuru chatri. 4. Chamara. 5. Valaga. 6. Bhajantri. 7. Palakisaranjam. 8. Rajagondya. 9. Panchakalasa. 10. Haladi chatri. 11. Pancharangi chatri. 12. Bharjari chatri. 13. Aftagiri. 14. Mohar Chal.	Nil.
63	Sanehalli, Hosadurga Taluk.	Sanehalli Matt ..	1. Myana. .. .. 2. Kempu chatri. 3. Kahaley. 4. Bajubajantri.	G. P. No. 300-9—Muz. 83-07, dated 3rd August 09.
64	Sirigere, Chitaldrug Taluk.	Old Matt. ..	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Myana. 3. Kempu chatri. 4. Kahaley. 5. Chamara. 6. Aftagiri. 7. Gaggarakattige. 8. Yathinamalanagari.	G. P. No. 101-10—Muz. 68-08-2, dated 29th October 1908.

Serial No.	Place	Name of persons using insignias	Particulars of insignias used	Authority permitting the of the insignias
65	Do	New Matt.	1. Myana . 2. Kempu chatri. 3. Kahaley. 4. Bajabhajantri.	Do
66	Ittigahalli, Hosadurga Taluk.	Krishnamachar of Tatachar Matt.	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Bilichatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga. 5. Bhajantri. 6. Aftagiri. 7. Mohar chal.	Some old papers have been produced. The D.C. says that these do not directly support the claim and suggests that the insignia may be now defined and restricts to one member of the family.

STATEMENT II.

Statement of persons using insignias in the State.

Serial No.	Place	Name of persons using insignias	Particulars of insignias used	Authority permitting the use of insignias
1	Chikamralvadi, Kankanhalli Taluk.	Setwaji Rao ..	1. Palaki .. 2. Chamara. 3. Bhajantri. 4. Palakisanchari. 5. Haladi chatri. 6. Aftagiri.	It is reported that these were given to Setwaji Rao's ancestors by Nawab Hyder Ali while returning from war in those times.
*2	Nambihalli, Srinivasapur Taluk.	Sugnanamurtiachar	1. Kempu chatri. .. 2. Chamara.	Information not available.
3	Byraganahalli, Srinivasapur Taluk.	Venkatareddi	Palaki.	Do
*4	Jodi Koppaganapalli, Srinivasapur Taluk.	Sarvagna Bhattachar	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga. 5. Bhajantri. 6. Panchakalasa.	These are reported to have been given at the time of founding Sri Vaishnvism by Sri Ramanuja-Char. G. O. permitting these was lost during a fire few years ago.
5	Kolar Town ..	Dasai Setti	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Kempu chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Vagala. 5. Bhajantri.	These are being enjoyed from time immemorial. No authority.
6	Belavi, Malur Taluk ..	Channaveeraiya	11 Palaki .. .. 2. Kempu chatri. 3. Chamara.	Do
*7	Yelanadu, Chiknaikanahalli Taluk.	Pattadadevaru	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga. 5. Bhajantri. 6. Hagaludeevatigey. 7. Nagari. 8. Sureypana. 9. Gaggarakattigey. 10. Kahaley.	No authority.
8	Mysore City	Yerakattayya	1. Bilichatri. .. .. 2. Chamara.	These are in use from time immemoraial. No authority available.
9	Do	Chunchayya ..	1. Bili chatri. .. .. 2. Chamara.	Do
10	Do	Mathadayya ..	Do	Do
11	Do	Badnal Jogayya ..	Do	Do
12	Mysore City .. .	Madayya	1. Beli chatri .. .. 2. Chamara.	These are said to be in use from time immemorial. Authority not available.
13	Do	Borayya	1. Hasuru chatri .. 2. Chamara.	Do
14	Do	C. Devaraj Urs	Palaki	Do
*15	Gulegudda, Alur Sub-Taluk.	The recluse of Gubegudda	1. Valaga .. .. 2. Kempu chatri. 3. Yathinamalanagari.	Mamul.
16	Manjarabad Taluk ..	A few persons	1. Kempu chatri. 2. Haladi chatri. 3. Hasuru chatri. 4. Vagala and etc. during marriages.	These are said to be being used since the time of Palyagars but there is no written authority.
*17	Rampur, Honnali Taluk	Hala Swamigalu ..	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Beli chatri. 3. Hasuru chatri. 4. Chamara. 5. Vagala. 6. Bajantri. 7. Palaki. 8. Rajagondya. 9. Afta giri. 10. Mohar chal.	Mamul.
*18	Govinkere, Honnali Taluk.	Hala Swamigalu	1. Palaki 2. Beli chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Vagala. 5. Bhanjatri. 6. Aftagiri.	Nil.

*These, the Muzrai Superintendent excludes from the Category of ordinary secular person..

Serial No.	Place	Name of persons using insignias	Particulars of insignias used	Authority permitting the use of insignias
19	Chitaldrug Town ..	Madakeri Naik ..	1. Palaki .. .. 2. Beli chatri. 3. Hasuru chatri. 4. Chamara. 5. Valaga. 6. Bhajantri. 7. Palakisaranjam. 8. Rajagondya. 9. Panchakalasa. 10. Haladi chatri. 11. Pancharangi chatri. 12. Barjari chatri. 13. Aftagiri. 14. Mohar chal.	Nil.

STATEMENT III

Statement showing persons using insignias and the opinions and recommendations of Deputy Commissioners regarding them.

Serial No.	Place	Name of persons using insignias	Particulars of insignias	Deputy Commissioner's remarks and recommendations	Remarks
1	Chikmaralvadi, Kankanhalli Taluk.	Setvaji Rao ..	1. Palaki .. 2. Chamara. 3. Bhajantri. 4. Palakichanchari 5. Haladi chatri. 6. Aftagiri.	Mr. Setvaji Ruranvary has given a statement that the members of his family were granted permission to use certain insignias by H. H. the late Sri Krishnaraja Wadiar III in recognition of their military service. In support of this he has produced a printed pamphalet. Four of the insignias referred to are at present not with him. He is using now a Myana instead of a Palaki.	No reliable evidence to show the grant of insignias has been produced. It is a matter for consideration how far the printed pamphlet may be relied on. Further, there is no evidence that the honors conferred were hereditary.
2	Numbihalli, Srinivasapur Taluk.	Sugnanamurtachar	1. Kempu chatri .. 2. Chamara.	It is found that Sugnanamurthachar possesses a Nirup of 1821 granted by H. H. the late Krishnaraja Wadiar III which permits the use of the insignias referred to.	
3	Byraganahalli, Srinivasapur Taluk.	Venkatareddi	Palaki	He has produced a Nirup given to his ancestor by Kadaripathi Nainvaru, Pallegar of Tadigol, in the year Sukla permitting him to go in procession in a palanquine and on horse back. But the Nirup does not seem to have been recognised by Government. The Reddi is stated to have four other Nirups in Parsi and Hindi translations of which have been promised.	The Niurp does not authorise him to go in the palanquin on Vijayadashami,
4	Jodi Koppavarapalli, Srinivasapur Taluk.	Sarvagnabhattachar	1. Palaki .. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga. 5. Bhajantri. 6. Panchakalasa.	Bhattachar has stated that the documents authorising the use of the insignias referred to were lost in an accidental fire and that the insignias have been in use for 800 years.	
5	Kolar Town ..	Dasai Setty	1. Palaki .. 2. Kempu chatri 3. Chamara 4. Valaga. 5. Bhajantri.	There is no evidence to authorise the use Dasai Setty stated that he had heard that the insignias were granted to his ancestors by H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore and that the insignias came into his possession 10 years ago after his father's death. The Amildar thinks that the present Setty does not deserve the privilege.	
6	Belvadi, Malur Taluk.	Channaveeraiya ..	1. Palaki .. 2. Kempu chatri 3. Chamara.	Channaveeraiya states that the documents authorising the use of these were lost when a docity occured in the matt about 50 years ago and that the insignias have been in use for the last 8 generations. The Amildar states that the Matt is an ancient one and deserves the insignias.	

Serial No.	Place	Name of persons insignias	Particulars of insignias	Deputy Commissioner's remarks and recommendations	Remarks
7	Yelanadu, Chiknaikanahalli Taluk.	Pattada devaru ..	1. Palaki .. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga. 5. Bhajantri. 6. Hagaludeevatige 7. Nagari. 8. Surepana. 9. Gaggarakattige 10. Kahale	The Devaru is not able to establish his title for the use of the insignias in question and pleads mamul. In these circumstances he may be prohibited from using the insignias until he establishes his title to use them.	
8	Mysore City ..	Yerakattaiya	1. Beli chatri .. 2. Chamara.	....	
9	Do ..	Chunchaiya	Do ..	The whereabouts of these persons are not known.	The information regarding these persons was taken from the statement furnished by the Deputy Commissioner himself (*Vide* letter No. 372, dated 17th January 1916).
10	Do ..	Mathadaiya	Do ..		
11	Do ..	Badnal Jogaiya	Do ..		
12	Do ..	Madaiya	Do ..		
13	Do ..	Boraiya	1. Hasuru chatri .. 2. Chamara.		
14	Do ..	C. Devaraj Urs ..	Palaki ..		
15	Gube Gudda, Alur Sub-Taluk.	The Recluse of Gube Gudda.	1. Valaga .. 2. Kempu Chatri. 3. Yathinamelenagari.	The Manager of the temple produces a sannad authorising the use of the Palki. From local enquiry it is learnt that not only the insignias referred to but Dala and Kahaley are also being used. He may be allowed to use the insignias as heretofore.	
16	Manjarabad Taluk	A few persons ..	1. Kempu chatri .. 2. Haladi chatri. 3. Hasuru chatri. 4. Valaga & etc. during marriages	These insignias are ordinary ones and were conferred by the Palegar of Igoor. These may be allowed to continue.	
17	Rampur, Honnali Taluk.	Halaswamigalu ..	1. Palaki .. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Hasuru chatri. 4. Chamara. 5. Valaga. 6. Bhajantri. 7. Palaki. 8. Rajagondya. 9. Aftagiri. 10. Mohar chal.	The Swami has been using not only these insignias but also Bellikolu, makaratorana and Gaggaradonne. He has no sannad authorising the use of insignias. The Matt is along standing one and of some importance and the swami seems to have been enjoying them for over 100 years. Definite orders are however necessary.	
18	Govinakere Honnali, Taluk.	Halaswamigalu ..	1. Palaki .. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga 5. Bhajantri. 6. Aftagiri.	The Matt is one of recent growth and the swami is a family man. The insignias were introduced during the swami's life time, his predecessors were not using them they are being used only during Sivaratri; there is some dispute about this, and the matter is subjudice. The use of insignias in this Matt should be governed by some rule or authority.	
19	Chitaldrug Town ..	Madakeri Naik ..	1. Palaki 2. Bili chatri. 3. Hasuru chatri. 4. Chamara. 5. Valaga. 6. Bhajantri. 7. Palakisaranjam. 8. Rajagondya. 9. Panchakalasa. 10. Haladi chatri. 11. Pancharangichatri.	Panchakalasa, Swetachatri, and etc., are symbolic of political authority and should be used only under express warrant of the sovereign. Madakeri Naik has not been able to produce any G. O. authorising the use of these except a few licenses granted by the local Magistrates.	

Serial No.	Place	Names of persons using insignias	Particulars of insignias	Deputy Commissioner's remarks and recommendations	Remarks
			12. Bharjari chatri. 13. Aftagiri. 14. Mohar chal	No doubt Madakeri Naik belongs to a Palegar family who ruled the country some 150 or 200 years ago. But the family can have no pretention to political authority at present. It is extremely undesirable and even mischievous that such persons should be allowed to use the insignias pertaining to royalty which, in the eyes of the common people, invest them with an importance and influence which their real state in life does not at all warrant. The Deputy Commissioner is therefore not in favour of permitting Madakeri Naik to use the insignias	

STATEMENT IV.

Name	Place	Particulars of Insignia	
		Pertaining to royalty	Others
1. Setvaji Rao ..	Chikamaralvadi, Kankannalli Taluk.	....	1. Palaki. 2. Chamara. 3. Bhajantri. 4. Palakisancheri. 5. Haladi chatri. 6. Aftagiri.
2. Sugnanamurtachar	Nambihalli, Srinivasapur Taluk	....	1. Kempu chatri. 2. Chamara.
3. Venkatareddi	Byraganahalli, Srinivasapur Taluk.	....	1. Palaki.
4. Sarvagnabhattachar	Jodi Koppaganahalli, Srinivasapur Taluk.	....	1. Palaki. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga. 5. Bhajantri. 6. Panchakalasa.
5. Dasai Setti ..	Kolar Town	....	1. Palaki. 2. Kempu chatri. 3. Chamara. 6. Valaga. 5. Bhajantri.
6. Channaveeraiya ..	Belavi, Malur Taluk	....	1. Palaki. 2. Kempu chatri. 3. Chamara.
Pattada devaru ..	Yelanadu, Chikanikanahalli Taluk.	Hagalu deevatige	1. Palaki. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga. 5. Bajantri. 6. Nagari. 7. Surepana. 8. Gaggarakattige. 9. Kahale.
8. Yerakattaiya	Mysore City	....	1. Bili chatri. 2. Chamara.
9. Chunchayya	Do	....	Do
10. Mathadayya	Do	....	Do
11. Badnal Jogayya ..	Do	....	Do
12. Madayya	Do	....	Do
13. Borayya	Do	....	1. Hasuru chatri. 2. Chamara. Palaki.
14. C. Devaraj Urs ..	Mysore City	....	
15. The Recluse of Gubegudda.	Alur Sub-Taluk ..	....	1. Valaga. 2. Kempu chatri. 3. Yathinamelenagari
16. A few persons ..	Maujarabad Taluk	....	1. Kempu chatri. 2. Haladi chatri. 3. Hasuru chatri. 4. Valaga.
17. Halaswamigalu ..	Rampur, Honnali Taluk ..	....	1. Palaki. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Hasuru chatri. 4. Chamara. 5. Valaga. 6. Bhajantri. 7. Palaki. 8. Rajagondya. 9. Aftagiri. 10. Mohar chal.
18. Halaswamigalu ..	Govinkere, Honnali Taluk ..	....	1. Palaki. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Valaga. 5. Bhajantri. 6. Aftagiri.
19. Chitaldrug Town ..	Madakeri Naik ..	....	1. Palaki. 2. Bili chatri. 3. Hasuru chatri. 4. Chamara. 5. Valaga. 6. Bhajantri. 7. Palakisaranjam. 8. Rajagondya. 9. Panchakalasa. 10. Haladi chatri. 11. Pancharangi chatr 12. Bharjari chatri 13. Aftagiri. 14. Mohar chal.

I have the honor to state that one B. D. Medikere Naik, a Pallegar Pensioner at Chitaldrug, applied to me for the grant of a license to go in procession on the day following the Ugadi in a palanquin with other insignias. The application was referred to the Amildar Magistrate for disposal or report, if necessary. As the application was received by him just on the day on which the procession had to be conducted, he wanted three days time to complete the local enquiry by the Taluk Police. He was then directed to dispose of the question, as the license was issued by him last year.

2. The Amildar Magistrate then issued a license to go in procession, as per mamul with the *unobjectionable insignias*. The applicant refused to take the license and again presented an application to this Court, stating that the insignias* allowed to be used by him in the previous years might be ordered to be specified in the license. In the licenses granted by the Treasury Assistant Commissioner Magistrates and the Amildar Magistrates in previous years, all the insignias claimed by the applicant have been mentioned. But in the license granted by the then District Magistrate in the year 1910 and which is the only one available at present in this office, only the marginally noted insignias† have been specified. In this connection, it is also to be noted that out of the insignias claimed by the applicant, Panchakalasa and Swetuchaltri are reserved for the use of Royalty (*Vide* G.O. No. 5547-58—Muz. F. 58 of 1897 dated 28th May 1907).

(1) Pali.
(2) Palkisaranjem.
(3) Rajagoddya.
(4) Panchakalasa.
(5) Bili Chatri.
(6) Haladi Chatri.
(7) Pancharangi Chatri.
(8) Chamara.
(9) Hasaru Chatri.
(10) Barajari Chatri.
(11) Aftagiri.
(12) Moharchal.

3. Pending the receipt of orders of Government on the subject, I have permitted the applicant to use only such insignias as were mentioned in the license granted in the year 1910 by the then District Magistrate. There is no authority forthcoming in this office to show the exact number of insignias that may be allowed to be used by the applicant nor did he produce any document or sannad in support of his claim. He has got with him only a few licenses granted by the local Magistrates, (*i.e.*, Treasury Assistant Commissioner Magistrates and Amildar Magistrates) for the past few years.

4. In these circumstances and especially as the Pallegar Pensioner applies ordinarily for such licenses twice in the year, *i.e.*, after Ugadi and Dasara Holidays, I beg that the orders of Government may kindly be obtained as regards the insignias to be used by him on such occasions.

To the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.

No. J. 3343, *dated* 1*st April* 1915.

With reference to your letter No. 431 dated the 24th March 1915 regarding the insignias to be used by one B. D. Medikere Naik, I am directed to request that he may be called upon to produce all the documents or other authority he may have in support of his claim and that they may be submitted with your further comments if any.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.

No. 535 *dated Chitaldrug,* 10*th and* 12*th May* 1915.

With reference to your letter No. J. 3343—Pol. 202-14-2 dated 1st April 1915, *re* the submission of all documents that may be produced by B. D. Medikere Naik in support of his claim, I have the honor to forward herewith in original, the petition presented to me on 28th April 1915 by the said Medikere Naik together with the copies of the marginally noted documents enclosed thereto and which are stated to be the only available references with him at present.

(1) Copy of the license issued by the Treasury Assistant Commissioner and 2nd Class Magistrate, Chitaldrug on 31st March 1911.
(2) Copy of the license issued by the Treasury Assistant Commissioner and 2nd Class Magistrate Chitaldrug on 29th September 1911.
(3) Copy of the license issued by the Treasury Assistant Commissioner and 1st Class Magistrate Chitaldrug on 9th October 1912.
(4) Copy of the license issued by the Treasury Assistant Commissioner and 2nd Class Magistrate, Chitaldrug on 8th April 1913.
(5) Copy of the license issued by the Amildar and 3rd Class Magistrate on 4th October 1913.
(6) Copy of the license issued by the Amildar and 2nd Class Magistrate, Chitaldrug, on 22nd September 1914.
(7) Copy of the license issued to one Parasuramappa Naik on 29th September 1911 by the Treasury Assistant Commissioner and 2nd Class Magistrate.
(8) Copy of the "Sreemuka" issued by His Holiness the Jagadguru of Sringeri Mutt in 1908.

2. In his statement given before me on the 28th April 1915, Medikere Naik says that he has no other documents with him than the ones produced with the above petition and adds that as he used to present to the respective courts the old licenses whenever he applied for the grant of a fresh license, the same might be found in the respective courts, if search be made.

P. A. Col.

3. It is learnt that, according to the practice obtaining in the several Courts, the old licenses are invariably returned to the party, when fresh licenses are issued and none were forthcoming during the search.

4. Medikere Naik claims to be permitted to go in procession with the insignias referred to in his petition enclosed hereto, as his forefathers belonged to the Pallegar family and had the privilege of using all the insignias that are now being used by the members of the Royal family and Gurus of different Mutts.

To the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.

No. J. 4002, *dated* 21*st May* 1915.

Correspondence ending with his letter No. 535 dated the 12th May 1915 in the matter of the insignias claimed by Medikere Naik.

With reference to the above has the honor to request that the license of 1910 referred to in para 2, of his letter No. 431 dated the 23rd March 1915 may be forwarded at an early date.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug.

No. 558, *dated Chitaldrug,* 29*th May* 1915.

I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the license issued by the District Magistrate in 1910 to B. D. Medikere Naik, as requested in your docket No. J. 4002—Pol. 202-14-4 dated 21st May 1915.

To the Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

No. J. 905-12, *dated* 28*th August* 1915.

Has the honor to request him to be so good as to furnish a statement of persons in his district who have been permitted to use all or any of the marginally* noted insignias together with information as to the authority under which they are so permitted.

(1) Palki.
(2) Bili Chatri.
(3) Hasaru Chatri.
(4) Chamara.
(5) Valaga.
(6) Bajantri.
(7) Palakisaranjam.
(8) Rajagoddya.
(9) Panchakalasa.
(10) Haladi Chatri.
(11) Pancharangi Chatri.
(12) Barajari Chatri.
(13) Aftagiri.
(14) Moharchal.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District.

No. 193 *dated Chikmagalur,* 28*th*—29*th September* 1915.

*With reference to your docket No. J. 905-12—Police 202-14-6 dated 28th August 1915, I have the honor to submit herewith a statement of persons who have been permitted to use certain insignias noted against each, together with the authority under which they are so permitted, as called for in the above. The list has been prepared on the available references in this office.

Taluk	Names of persons or Matts	Names of Insignias authorizedly used	Date of Sannad from Maharaja or Rahadary from Maharaja or from Chief Commissioner
Chikmagalur ..	Nirwanaswami Matt (Hosahalli village) (Kasaba magani).	(Simhalalata), Palki, Chamara, Moharchal, Bili chatri, Aftagiri, Karadi Vadya.	The Agent says that the Sannads, etc., relating to these have been lost.
Do ..	Phalaraswami Matt (Muthinapura village).	Palki, Chamara, and Pakkay flower.	Do do.
Do ..	Bisagni Matt (Kolagave village).	Simhalalatadaharadi, Palki, Bili chatri, Chamara and Moharchal.	These insignias are now used. The Agent Puttaswami says that there are no Sannads relating to this.
Do ..	Dattatripeeta (Athigundi, Jagai Magani).	Palki, Bili chatri, Hasaru chatri, Chamara, Moharchal Panchakalasa, and SruthiMohari.	(1) Sannad granted by His Highness the Maharaja on the 27th April 1882, No. 293 permitting the Guru to travel about with 2 Palakees, 4 carts, 2 horses and 20 servants. (2) Rahadari from the Chief Commissioner No. 2 dated April 1870 allowing the Guru to travel about with 2 Palakees, 10 car s, 2 horses and 30 servants.
Koppa ..	Sringeri Matt ..	1 Addapalki, Bili chatri, Panchakalasa Chamara, Bho chatri, Moharchal and Aftagiri.	Order No. 221 dated 21st December 1863 from His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
Do ..	Hariharpur Matt ..	Palki, Bili chatri, and Chamara.	Rahadari granted by His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore on the 2nd November 1821.
Do ..	Tengina Matt (Bhandigadi).	Palki and Svathachatri.	These insignias are now used, but there are no Sannads relating to this.
Narasimharaja-pur, Sub-Taluk	Balehally-Simhasana Matt, (Kanabur).	SimhalālatadaPalki, Panchakalasa, Bili chatri, Hasaru chatri, Aftagiri, Moharchal, Chandra Vadya, Davane and Bhu chatri.	The Sannad granted by His Highness the Maharaja Krishnaraja Wadiyar, on the 6th January 1830.
Mudgere ..	Mahantana Matt ..	Palki and Chamara	The Agent Siddalingappa states that there is a Sannad from the Commissioner, but as his father is dead, he does not know where he has kept the Sannad, etc,

From the District Magistrate, Chitaldrug.

No. 174 *dated Chitaldrug, 5th October* 1915.

I have the honor to invite reference to this office letters Nos. 431 and 535 dated 24th March 1915 and 12th May 1915 respectively, D. 1549 of 14-15 soliciting orders of Government as regards the insignias to be used by Pallegar B. D. Medikere Naik of Chialtdrug on the occasion of going in procession in a palanquin during the Dasara and the Ugadi feasts. B. D. Medikere Naik has applied to me now for the grant of a license to him to go in procession with the marginally noted insignias during the ensuing Dasara and I have considered it desirable to refuse the license applied for pending the receipt of orders of Government in the matter.

(1) Palki.
(2) Palakisaranjam.
(3) Rajagoddya.
(4) Panchakalasa.
(5) Bili Chatri.
(6) Haladi Chatri.
(7) Pancharangi Chatri.
(8) Chamara.
(9) Hasaru Chatri.
(10) Barajari Chatri.
(11) Aftagiri.
(12) Moharchal.

2. It seems to me that such insignias, as Panchakalasa, and Swethchatri. Rajagondya, Chamara, Aptagiri, Moharchal, etc., are symbolic of political authority vesting in the Ruling family and should only be appropriately used by the Soverign or by persons to whom the Soverign is pleased to grant such marks of honour. It is common knowledge that such emblems are used by heads of Mutts and other similar institutions of a religious character, who claim a sort of spiritual authority over large sections of the people. In a Government order passed in 1907, it is clearly laid down that such emblems of royalty should be used only under the express warrant of the Sovereign.

3. Medikere Naik has not so far been able to produce any sannad or Government order in support of his claim, except a few licenses granted by the local Magistracy for some years past, authorising him to go in procession with some or other of the abovementioned insignias. Medikere Naik, no doubt belongs to a Pallegar family that ruled in this part of the country about 150 to 200 years ago, and the family gets a political pension from Government. The family can have, however, no pretensions

to political authority at the present time. It appears to me that it is extremely undesirable, and to some extent mischievous, that private persons of this character should be allowed to simulate the incidents of royalty and go in procession on popular festival occasions like the Yugadi and the Dasara with colourable imitations of royal ceremonial.

Whatever the value which educated and enlightened people may attach to mere ceremonial, still the circumstance that a member of an one-time ruling family goes about in procession during the Dasara with symbols usually associated with royalty and divinity is apt to appeal to the unenlightened popular imagination and invest the person concerned with an importance and influence, which his real status in life at present does not at all warrant.

In these circumstances, I am not in favour of the applicant being permitted to use the above insignias and I request the favor of early orders of Government in the matter.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

No. S. 349—1*st Statement dated Bangalore, the* 19*th and* 24*th November* 1915.

With reference to your docket No. J. 905-12—Police 202-14- dated the 28th August 1915, I have the honour to forward herewith a statement of persons using certain insignias in the Bangalore District. In Chennapatna the swami of the Kundapurada Vyasaraya Matt at Abbur, who seems to be entitled to some insignias is reported to on a tour in the Hosur Taluk and the Agent of the Matt has been written to by the Police of Chennapatna. This information will also be furnished as soon as it is received here.

Serial No.	Taluk	Name	Palaki	Bile Chatri	Hasaru Chatri	Chamara	Walaga	Bajantri	Palaki Sanehari	Rajagondya	Panchakalasa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	Anekal	Chennabasavaraja Swamy of a Lingayat Matt, Rajapur village, Jigini limits.	1	1	..	2	1	1	..	..	..
2	Kankanhalli ..	Setwaji Rao of Chickmarlwadi.	1	..	..	1	..	1	1	..	..
3	Nelamangala ..	Swamigalu of Sivaganga Matt.	1	1	..	1	1	1	1	..	..
4	Do ..	Swamigalu of Megalgavi Matt (Lingayat Matt).	1	1	..	1	1	1	1	..	..

Serial	Taluk	Name of the Matt	Haladi Chatri	Pancharangi Chatri	Bharjari Chatri	Aftagiri	Mohorchal	Remarks
			13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Anekal ..	Chennabasavaraja Swamy of a Lingayat Matt, Rajapur village Jigini limits.	..	1	..	..	..	
2	Kankanhalli ..	Setwaji Rao of Chickmarlwadi.	1	..	..	1	..	It is reported that these insignias were given to the ancestors of Setwagi Rao, by Nawab Hyderali while returning from War in those times.
3	Nelamangala ..	Swamigalu of Sivaganga Matt.	..	..	..	..	..	The Agent Nanjunda Sastry of the Matt says that he does not possess the authority under which these insignias are permitted and that only the Swamigalu who is now touring somewhere in the Dharwar District can give further details.
4	Do	Swamigalu of Megalgavi Matt, (Lingayat Matt).	..	..	..	1	1	The Agent of the Matt reports that these insignias have been permitted by Government to be used by the Swamy.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District.

No. 224—*Muz.* 39 *dated Shmigoa, 29th and 30th November* 1915.

As called for in your docket No. J. 905-12—Police 202-14-6 dated the 28th August 1915, I have the honor to forward herewith a statement showing the names of persons who are said to have been permitted to use certain insignia together with the authority under which they are so permitted.

2. The particulars of insignia used by the Gurus of the remaining Matts in the Tirthahalli taluk and of the Jain Matta at Humcha in the Nagar taluk and of the Mathas in the Honnali taluk have not yet been furnished by the Amiladrs concerned. A further communication will be addressed in the matter on receipt of information from the Amildar.

Name of Taluk	Name of the person or Matha	Particulars of insignia using	Authority under which they are using
1	2	3	4
1. Honnali ..	Channappa Swami of Hire Matha.	1. Palki .. .. 2. Hasaru Chatri 3. Bajantri. 4. Haladi Chatri. 1. Aftagiri.	It is ascertained that these insignia are being used under the authority of Government Order No. 844 dated the 17th January 1899.
2. Do ..	Hala Swamigalu of Ramapur.	1. Palki .. .. 2. Bile Chatri. 3. Hasaru Chatri. 4. Chamara. 5. Walaga. 6. Bajantrki. 7. Pallaki. 8. Raja Gondya. 9. Aftagiri. 10. Moharchal.	It is reported that these insignia are being used as per 'mamool' and that no Sannad or Rahadari from Government is available. Besides these, the Swami is said to have been using some more insignia.
3. Do ..	Halaswami at Govinkovi.	1. Palaki .. 2. Bile Chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Walaga. 5. Bajantri. 6. Aftagiri.	It is reported that the marginally noted insignia together with some more, are being used by the Swami and that no written order is available in support of the use of these insignia.
1. Tirthahalli ..	Sri Krishnananda Tirtha Swamigalu of the Mulabagalu Matha at Mulabagalu.	1. Sweta Chatri .. 2. Chamara. 3. Palaki.	The Agent of the Matha has produced a copy of Notification No. 1553 Muz. 578-09-5 dated 10th December 1910 from Government permitting to use these insigina.
2. Do ..	Swami of the Tirtha Muthur Matha.	1. Sweta Chatri .. 2. Chamara. 3. Walaga. 4. Bajantri. 5. Palaki.	The Parapattegar of the Matha states that no Sannad or Rahadari is forthcoming and that on looking into previous references it is ascertained that whatever Sannads obtained were destroyed at the time of the destruction of certain portion of the Matha by accidental fire.
3. Do ..	The Swamigalu of the Puthige Matha.*		

Remarks.—*It is reported that the Swami is gone on tour and that it is not known what insignia are being used by him.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug.

No. 288 *dated Chitaldrug, 8th and 10th December* 1915.

With reference to your No. J. 905-12—Police 202-14-6 dated 28th August 1915, I have the honor to forward herewith, a statement of persons using certain insignias in this District.

2. As regards the insignias noted against the name of Pallegar Medekere Naik of Chitaldrug, I beg to invite kind reference to the correspondence ending with my letter No. 174 dated 5th October 1915 on the subject.

3. Krishnachar, belonging to Tatachar Matt, who has been using certain insignias noted against his name in the list, appears to have been using them as a spiritual preceptor. Enquiries are being made as to the authority, under which these are being used.

Name of Taluk	Name of the person or Matha	Particulars of insignia using	Authority under which they are using
1. Chitaldrug ..	Murigi Matt Swami	1. Ayyas 100 .. 2. Non-Brahmin Peons 150 3. Elephants 2 4. Camels 4 5. Horses 25 6. Sada Palki 1 7. Myana 1 8. MakaraThorana 1 9. Bicha Pirangu 2 10. Kavadu 2 11.Surya Vadya 2 12. Chandra Vadya 2 13. Hasur, Kemppu, and Haladi Aftagiri 2 14. Chandrahavi Udasu 2 15. Hagalur Devatige 2 16. Jagate 2 17. Nandidvaja 1 18. Sweta Chatri 2 19 Pancha Deevatige 2 20. Moharchal 2 21. Vete Pavada 2 22. Kuduremelina dunka 2 23. Kavi Nishani 2 24. Mayimarakabu 2 25. Chandra Vadya 2 26. Udaku Vadya 2 27. Chamara 2 28. Sankha 2 29. Kavi Jhanda 2 30. Pattapatti Janda 2 31. Belli kattige 50 32. Hasaru Kattige 50 33. Bandtkugalu 20 34. Hauharugalu 40 35. Chakkada Bundigalu 30 36. Belle Davali 40	
2. Do	Pallegar, Medikere Naik.	1. Palki. .. 2. Bile Chatri. 3. Hasuru Chatri. 4. Chamara. 5. Walaga. 6. Bajantri. 7. Palaki Saranjam. 8. Raja godya. 9. Pancha kalasa 10. Haladi Chatri. 11. Pancharangi Chatri. 12. Bharjari Chatri. 13. Aftagiri. 14. Moharchal.	No authority produced for use. *Vide* this office letter No. 174 dated 5th October 1915.
3. Hosdurga ..	Swami of the Sanehalli Matt.	1. Myana .. 2. Kemppu Chatri. 3. Kahale. 4. Bajabajantri.	*Vide.* Government Order No. 300-9—Muz. 83-07 dated 3rd August 1909.
4. Chitaldrug ..	Swami of the Sirigere old Matt.	1. Palaki 2. Myana. 3. Kempu Chatri. 4. Kahale. 5. Chamara. 6. Aftagiri. 7. gaggara Kattige 8. Yetthinamalenagari.	*Vidd.* Government Order No. 101-10—Muz. 68-08-2 dated 29th October 1908.
5. Do ..	Swami of the Sirigere New Matt.	1. Myana. .. 2. Kemppu Chatri. 3. Kahale. 4. Bajabajantri.	Do do
6. Hosadurg ..	Kristamachar belonging to Tatachar Matt, Ittigehalli.	1. Palaki. .. 2. Bile Chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Walaga. 5. Bajantri. 6. Aftagiri. 7. Moharchal.	Enquiry is being made about authority for use.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

No. 343—S. *dated* 17*th and* 18*th January* 1916.

With reference to your docket No. J. 905-12—Police dated the 28th August 1916 calling for a statement of persons in this District who have been permitted to use certain insignias, I have the honor to state that the District Superintendent of Police, Tumkur reports in his letter No. 619 dated the 8th instant that the Godekere Matt and the Pattadadevaru of Yelanadu in the Chickanayakanhalli Taluk possess insignias as per statement herein enclosed.

A copy of the Sannad dated the 29th September 1867 produced by the Agent of the Godekere Matt authorizing the Matt to use the insignias is also forwarded for perusal.

The Pattadadevaru of Yealnadu has no Sannad.

Serial No.	Name of Matt	Palaki	Bile Chatri	Hasaru Chatri	Chamara	Walaga	Bajantri	Palaki Seranjam	Rajagondya	Panchakalasa	Haladi Chatri	Panchrangi Chatri
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1	Godekere Matt	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	Pattadadevaru of Yelanadu	1	1	..	1	1	1	..	..	..	..	..

Serial No.	Name of Matt	Bharjari Chatri	Aftagiri	Moharchal	Hagaldivatigi	Makartorana	Vantinisani	Nagari	Remarks
		14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	Godekere Matt	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	....
2	Pattadadevaru of Yelanadu	..	..	..	1	..	..	1	Surepana 1. Gaggara Kattige 1. Kale 1.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

No. 372—18-1-16 *dated Mysore,* 17*th January* 1916.

With reference to your docket No. J. 905-12—Police 202-14-6 dated 28th August 1915 calling for a statement of persons in this District who have been permitted to use certain insignias, I have the honour to submit herewith a statement as called for in the above.

Serial No.	Taluk	Name of person	Palaki	Bile Chatri	Hasaru Chatri	Chamara	Walaga	Bajantri	Palaki Saranjam	Rajagondya	Panchakalasa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	Nanjangud ..	Raghavendraswami Mutt	1	1	..	1	1	1	1	1	..
2	Heggadadevankote.	Yattiga Mutt	1	1	..	1	1	1	..	..	..
3	Mysore City ..	Parakala Mutt ..	2	2	..	4	1	1	1	..	2
	Do ..	Yerakattayya	..	1	..	1	..	..	..	..	..
	Do ..	Chunchayya	..	1	..	1	..	..	..	..	..
	Do ..	Mathadayya	..	1	..	1	..	..	..	..	..
	Do ..	Badnal Jogayya ..	..	1	..	1	..	..	..	..	..
	Do ..	Madayya	..	1	..	1	..	..	..	..	..
	Do ..	Borayya	..	..	1	1	..	..	..	..	..
	Do ..	C. Devaraje Urs ..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
4	Nagamangala ..	Adi Chunchanagiri Mutt	1	..	..	2	1	..	1	..	..
5	T.-Narasipur ..	Sosale Mutt	1	..	4	4	1	..	..	..	..

Serial No.	Name of Taluk	Name of person	Haladi Chatri	Pancharangi Chatri	Bharjari Chatri	Aftagiri	Moharchal	Remarks
			13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Nanjangud ..	Raghavendraswami Matt.	..	..	..	1	..	According to the Sannad of His Highness the late Maharaja Krishna Raja Wodeyar.
2	Heggadadevankote.	Yattiga Mutt ..	..	..	..	..	..	According to the Sannad of His Highness the late Maharaja, Krishna Raja Wodeyar. The authority is stated to be at Coorg having been produced as exhibit in a Civil Court.
3	Mysore City ..	Parakala Mutt ..	..	..	..	1	..	Is reported to have been supplied during the times of His Highness the late Maharaja Krishna-Raja Wodeyer.
		Yerakattayya ..	..	..	..	..	..	Reported to be in use from time immemorial Authority not available.
		Chunchayya ..	..	..	..	..	..	Do do.
		Mathadayya ..	..	..	..	..	..	Do do.
		Badnal Jogayya ..	..	..	..	..	..	Do do.
		Madayya	..	..	..	..	..	Do do.
		Borayya	..	..	..	..	..	Do do.
		C. Devaraje Urs ..	..	..	..	..	..	Do do.
4	Nagamangala ..	Adi Chunchanagiri Mutt.	..	1	..	..	..	Authority not forth coming.
5	T.-Narsipur ..	Sosale Mutt	..	..	..	..	..	Nirup from the Palace of the year Swabhanu Samvatsara Chitra Bahula Panchami the original of which is said to be in the office of the Secretary, Muzrai Department.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District.

No. 293—*Muz.* 49 *dated Shimoga, 19th January* 1916.

In continuation of my letter No. 224—Muz. 39 dated the 29th November 1915 stating that the particulars of insignia used by the Gurus of remaining Mathas will be furnished on receipt of reports from the Amildars concerned, I have the honor to forward herewith a statement showing the names of persons who are reported to have been permitted to use certain insignia together with the authority under which they are so permitted in the Honnali and Tirthahalli taluks.

2. The particulars of insignia used by the Swami of the Jain Matha at Humch in the Nagar taluk will be furnished on receipt of information from the Amildar.

Name of Taluk	Name of the person or Matha	Particulars of insignia resign	Authority under which they are resign
1. Shimoga ..	(1) Sri Akshobhya Tirtha Matha at Kudli.	1. Palaki 2. Bile Chatri. 3. Aftagiri. 4. Moharchal 14.	The Agent of the Matha has produced a copy of the extract form the old Register of the Office records of the Dêwan's Office, in support of the use of these insignia.
Do ..	(2) Sri Sringeri Matha at Kudli.		It is ascertained from the Agent of the Matha that the Swami-galavaru is at Uddagatti in the Dharwar District and that he does not know what insignia are permitted to be used. Further he states that he has not received any reply in the matter from the Swamigalavaru.
2. Channageri ..	....		Nil.
3. Honnali*			
4. Shikarpur ..	(3) Lingayat Matha at Kade Mandihalli	1. Palaki 1 2. Bjantri 6. 3. Rajagondya 8. 4. Aftagiri 13. 4. Moharchal 14.	The Swami of the Matha states that these insignia are being used as per "Mamool" and that no Sannad or Government Order is available. Further he states that orders or Sannads obtained might have been destroyed at the time of the destruction of the Old Matha building by accidental fire.
	(4) Hale Matta at Togarsi (Lingayet Matha).	1. Palaki 1. .. 2. Jahira 4. 3. Walaga 5. 4. Bajantri 6. 5. Rajagondya 8. 6. Aftagiri 13.	The Agent of the Matha states that these insignia are being used from time immemorial and that he does not know if there is any authority under which they are being used. He also states that nothing is forthcoming in this connection.
	(5) Panchavanige Matha at Togarsi.	1. Palaki 1 2. Jahira 4. 3. Walaga 5. 4. Bajantri 6. 5. Rajagondya 8. 6. Panchakalasa 9. 7. Aftagiri 13.	Do do.
Shikarpur ..	(6) Virakta Matha at Chickjamboor.	1. Palaki 1 .. 2. Rajgondya 8. 3. Walaga 5. 4. Panchakalasa 9 5. Bajantri 6. 6. Aftagiri 13.	The Swami of the Matha states that no Sannad or Government Order is available.
5. Sorab ..	....	....	Nil.
6. Sagar ..	(7) Lokacharyara Matha at Sagar.	1. Palaki 1 .. 2. Jhahira 4. 3. Walaga 5. 4. Aftagiri 13.	Srinivasalokacharyar says that in accordance with the Rahadari granted by His Highness Sri Krishna Raja Wadeyar on the 10th June 1812 these are being used. (No. 170—30).
Do ..	(8) Jangama Mrige Matha at Anantapur.†	1. Addapalaki .. 2. Panchakalasa- 3. Sweta Chatri. 4. Makarathorana. 5. Diva Pancha. 6. Panchadavadige. 7. Dhavalasankha.	The Vohivatdar has produced a copy of the decision passed by the Judicial Assistant Commissioner Shimoga on 22nd January 1875, stating that the Matha has got a right to use these insignia.
7. Nagar ..	(9) Ramachandrapur Matha at Ramachandrapur.	1. Palaki .. 2. Sweta Chatri. 3. Ubhayachamara. 4. Panchakalasa.	The Matha has produced a copy of the Sannad granted by His Highness Immadi Devaraya Maharaja on 8th August 1836 . A copy of Rahadari dated the 5th January 1831 has also been produced.
8. Tirthahalli ..	(10) Bhimanakatte Matha.	1. Palaki .. 2. Sweta Chatri. 3. Chamara. 4. Walaga. 6. Bajantri.	The Agent of the Matha has produced a copy of the Rahadari issued on 18th January 1812 by His Highness the Maharaja in support of the use of these insignia.
9. Kumsi .. Sub Taluk.	....	....	Nil.

Remarks.—*Report has not been received as yet from the Amildar.

Remarks.—† It is seen from the copy produced that the honour of 'Adda-Palaki' is expressly mentioned. But according to Government Order No. 5547-58—Muz. 58 of 1897, dated 28th May 1907 the right to this honour is given only to the Guru of the Sri Sringeri Matha.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

S. 361, *dated* 27*th November* 1915.

In continuation of my letter No. S. 349 dated 24th November 1915,* I have the honor to state that the Swamy of Kundapurada Vyasaraya Mutt in the Chennapatna Taluk is reported to be entitled to the possession of the marginally noted insignias. As the Swamy is said to be in "Budenatha" Salem District, the authority for using them is not procurable.

(1) Palaki, 1.
(2) Bili Chatri, 1.
(3) Kempu Chatri, 1.
(4) Chamara, 2.
(5) Bajentri, 1.
(6) Nagari. 1.
(7) Danka, 1.
(8) Davani, 1.
(9) Kahale, Jagate, Surya Vadya, Chandra Vadya.
(10) Booraga, 1.
(11) Sankha, 1.
(12) Hagal Deevatige. 1.
(13) Belli Koolu.

From the Offg. Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

No. 322—*S. dated Hassan* 26*th February* 1916.

With reference to your docket No. J. 905-12—Police 202-14-6 dated 28th August 1915, calling for a statement of persons permitted to use all or any of the marginally noted insignia, etc., in this District, I have the honor to submit herewith a statement showing the names of persons using such insignia and to state that as no reports in this matter were received from the Amildars of Manjarabad and Arsikere Taluks, stringent reminders have this day been issued to them and on receipt of their reply, a supplmental statement regarding those two Taluks will be submitted.

(1) Palki.
(2) Bili Chatri.
(3) Hasaru Chatri.
(4) Chamara.
(5) Valaga.
(6) Bajantri.
(7) Palaki Saranjam.
(8) Rajagondya.
(9) Panchakalasa.
(10) Haladi Chatri.
(11)) Pancharangi Chatri.
(12) Barajari Chatri.
(13) Aftagiri.
(14) Moharchal.

Name of Taluk	Village	Name of person using the insignias	Description of the insignias	Authority under which they are so permitted
1. Hassan ..	....	....	....	....
2. Alur Sub Taluk	Gubegudda ..	The recluse of Gubegudda Matt.	Nature flute, Red Umbrella Drums on bulls.	Has been using it as per mamool.
3. Manjarabad ..		....	....	Information not received from the Taluk.
4. Belur	Yelahanka ..	The Palkurike Matt Swamy.	Palanquin, Horn, Umbrella, etc.	No. 1074-6—Muz. 34-13-2 dated 3rd October 1913.
5. Arsikere		....	....	Information not received from the Taluk.
6. Channarai-patna.	Sravanabelagola	The Swami of Sravanabelagola Matt.	All the insignia noted in the docket under reference.	
7. Hole-Narsipur	Hole-Narsipur ..	The Swamigalu of the Sri Uttaradi Matt.	Most of the insignia quoted in the docket.	
Do ..	Do ..	The Swamigalu of Sri Rama yoga Brahmananda Matt	As the Swamigalu does not reside at Head Quarters the Amildar reports that it is not possible to state what insignia the Swamigalu uses.	
8. Arkalgu ..	Aremadanahalli	Vignana murti of Aremadanahalli Matt.	Palanquin, Chamara ..	Muzrai Endorsement No. 53 dated 13th December 1912.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District.

No. 343—*J.* 43, *dated Shimoga* 29*th February* 1916.

In continuation of my letter No. 293—49 dated the 19th January 1916 I have the honor to forward herewith a statement showing the particulars of insignia used by the Swami of the Jain Matha at Humcha in the Nagar taluk.

Name of Taluk	Name of the person or Matha	Particulars of insignia using	Authority under which they are using
1. Nagar ..	Swami of the Jain Matha at Humcha.	1. Palaki .. 2. Bile Chatri 3. Hasaru Chatri. 4. Walaga. 5. Palaki Saranjam. 6. Bajantri. 7. Rajagondya. 8. Panchkalasa. .. 9. Haladi Chatri. 10. Pancharangi Chatri. 11. Barajari Chatri. 12. Chamara. 13. Aftagiri. 14. Moharchal.	The agent of the matha states that the marginally noted fourteen insignia are being used by the Swami. Besides these it is reported that some more insignia such as 'Makaratorana' etc., are being used by the Swami. Further the agent states that the authority obtained in support of the use of these was produced in 1889 before the taluk office and that it was never returned.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug.

No. 548 *dated Chitaldrug,* 18*th May* 1916.

In continuation of my letter No. 288 dated 10th December 1915, and with reference to para 3 thereof, I beg to forward herewith a file of vernacular papers containing a statement given by Tatacharyar Srinivasachar and certain references produced by him in support of his claim to use certain insignia. The papers produced do not directly support the claim but in two mahazars drawn up in 1889 and 1900 reference is made to his going about with certain insignia on certain occasions. It seems to me that as he has no sannad or other proper authority but has simply been using some insignia by popular sufferance, the insignia allowed may be now defined and restricted suitably. It is also desirable to confine the use of such insignia to one representative of the family instead of allowing both Srinivasachar and Krishnamachar using them as appears to be the case now, they being representatives of defferent branches of the family.

The enclosures may kindly be returned in due course.

From the Officiating Deputy Commissioner Hassan District.

No. 435—*S. dated Hassan, the* 25*th and* 26*th May* 1916.

In continuation of this office letteer No. 322—S. dated the 26th February 1916 submitting a statement of persons permitted to use the marginally noted insignia, in this District, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Arsikere Taluk reports that there are no persons using the said insignia in his Taluk.

1. Palaki.
2. Bili Chatri.
3. Hasâru Chatri,
4. Chamara.
5. Walaga.
6. Bagantri.
7. Palaki Sarangam. etc.

The Amildar of Manjarabad Taluk, reports that a few persons in his Taluk have been in the habit of using red, yellow and green umbrellas, native flute (ಪಾಂಗ), etc., during marriages, since the time of the late Palyagars, and that they possess no written permission to do the same.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

No. H.C. 564, *dated Kolar, the* 15*th September* 1916.

With reference to your letter No. J. 1041—Police 202-14 dated 12th instant, calling for the submission of a statement of persons using insignias, in this District, etc., I have the honor to submit herewith the statement referred to above and to state that reminders have been issued to the Amildars of Mulbagal and Sidlaghatta to expedite the submission of the statements called for.

Name of Taluk	Village and Hobli	Name of the person using the insignias	Palaki	Bile Chatri	Hasaru Chatri	Chamara	Walaga	Bajantri	Palaki Saranjam	Rajugondya	Panchakalasa	Haladi Chatri
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1. Chikballapur ..	....	....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
2. Gudibanda ..	....	....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
3. Srinivaspur ..	Nambi Halli Kasaba Hobli.	Sugnana murtiachar ..	..	1 Red	..	2	..	..	..	..	..	..
Do ..	Byraganahalli Addagal Hobli.	Venkatareddi	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Do ..	Jodi Koppa gadipalli, Nelavanki Hobli.	Sarvagna Bhattachar ..	2	1	..	2	1	1	..	..	1	..
4. Bagepalli ..	....	....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
5. Goribidnur ..	....	....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
6. Chitamani ..	....	....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
7. Bowringpet ..	....	....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
8. Kolar ..	Thambihalli Huthur Hobli	Majjigehalli Matt ..	1	2 & 1 red	..	2	1	1	1	1	..	..
Do ..	Kolar Kasaba Hobli	Dasai Setti	1	1 red	..	1	1	1	..	..	..	..
9. Malur ..	Belavi Naraspur	Chenna Virayya	1	1 red	..	2	..	..	..	..	..	..

Name of Taluk	Village and Hobli	Name of the person using the insignias	Pancharangi Chatri	Bharjari Chatri	Aftagiri	Moharchal	Authority under which they are permitted
			14	15	16	17	18
1. Chikballapur ..		....	..	..	..	..	....
2. Gudibanda ..	..	....	..	..	..	..	....
3. Srinivaspur ..	Nambihalli, Kasaba Hobli.	Sugnanamuritiahcar ..	..	..	..	1	It is reported that the information is not available as the person is on tour.
Do ..	Byraganahalli, Addagal Hobli.	Venkatareddi ..	..	..	..	..	It is reported that no authenticated information is available.
Do ..	Jodi Koppagadipatti, Nelavanki Hobli.	Sarvagna Bhattachar	..	..	..	..	It is reported that these insignias were given at the time of founding of Vaishnavism by Sri Ramanujacharya and that the authority under which they were permitted by the Mysore Government was lost some four years ago when his house was burnt away.
4. Bagepalli ..	....		..	..	..	..	....
5. Goribidnur ..	....		..	..	..	..	....
6. Chitamani ..	....		..	..	..	..	....
7. Bowringpet ..	....		..	..	..	..	....
8. Kolar ..	Thambihalli Huthur Hobli.	Majjigehalli, Matt ..	..	..	2	1	These insignias are reported to be in use from a very long time and that no authority is forthcoming.
Do ..	Kolar Kasaba Hobli.	Dasai Sett ..	..	..	..	..	It is reported that they are being enjoyed by his ancestors from time immemorial and that no authority is forthcoming.
9. Malur ..	Belavi Naraspur ..	Chenna Virayya ..	..	..	..	..	It is reported that they are in use from a very long time and that no authority is forthcoming.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

No. H.C. 564 dated Kolar, the 4th November 1916.

In continuation of this office letter No. H.C. 564 dated 15th September 1916, forwarding a statement of persons using insignias, I have the honor to submit a further list showing the insignias possessed by the persons in the Taluks of Mulbagal and Sidlaghatta.

Name of Taluk	Village and Hobli	Name of the persons using the insignias	Palaki	Bile Chatri	Hasuru Chatri	Chamara	Walaga	Bajantri	Palaki Saraujam	Rajagoudiya	Panchakalasa	Haladi Chatri
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1. Mulbagal	Mulbagal ..	Sripadarajaswami Matt	1	1	..	1	1	1	1	1	..	..
Do	Avani	Vidya Sankara Bharati-Swami of the Avani Matt.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
3. Sidlaghatta	....	....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..

Name of Taluk	Village and Hobli	Name of the person using the insignias	Pancharangi Chatri	Bharjari Chatri	Attagiri	Moharchal	Authority under which they are permitted
			14	15	16	17	18
1. Mulbal	Mulbagal ..	Sripadarajaswami Matt.	..	..	1	1	The authority under which these insignias were granted, has not been furnished by the agent of the Matt.
Do	Avani	Vidya Sankara Bharatiswami of the Avani Matt.	..	..	..	..	The manager states that all the insignias have been granted to the Matt by His Highness Sri Krishna Raja Wadeyer III in June 1848 and that the References relating to the same may be available in the Government Records.
2. Siddlaghatta	....	....	..	..	..	..	....

To the Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore, Kolar, Tumkur, Mysore, Hassan and Shimoga.

No. J. 128 to 133 dated 16th July 1917.

In forwarding herewith a list* showing the names of persons (Secular) using insignias in your district, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to investigate the propriety of the use of insignia in each of the cases mentioned in the list and to submit a full report to Government together with your opinion before the end of August 1917. The matter may kindly be treated as urgent.

From the District Magistrate, Shimoga.

H.C. No. 23 (Judicial) dated, Shimoga 5th September 1917.

With reference to your letter No. J. 133—Pol. 220-14-27 dated 16th July 1927 forwarding for investigation and report a list of persons using insignias, I have the honor to state that from enquiries made it is ascertained that the Halaswami of Rampur in the Honnali Taluk has been enjoying not only the insignias mentioned in the list received with your letter but the marginally noted ones for a long time past both in Rampur and the village of Basavapatna in the Channagiri Taluk from which the Swami hails. The Swami has no sannad or other

1. Belli Koolu.
2. Mnharatorana.
3. Haggarada.

document granted by competent authority in support of the use of the insignia but he claims the privilege on a long established mamool. He has produced an unauthenticated copy of the Davangere Taluk Magistrate's order dated 7th May 1894. From this it may perhaps be inferred that he has been using the insignias as per mamool. The Mutt is a long standing one and of some importance; and the Swami appears to have been enjoying them for over one hundred years. Considering that the use of the insignias by the Swami is regulated by nothing better than indefinite mamool, and that insignias not included in the list are in use, leading to the supposition that they might have been introduced at a more recent date. I am of opinion that a definite order of law should issue in the matter.

2. As to the Govinkovi Swami, his case stands on altogether a different footing. The matt is of recent growth; the Swami is a family man, his statement shows that the insignias referred to in the list were introduced during his life time and that his predecessors were not using any. It also shows that they were used by him only on the Sivaratri day and on no other ocacsion. It is alleged that on the Sivaratri day, the swami was going in a palanquine with all insignias to one Sangameswara temple near the river in the same village. But this is not borne out by the villagers. In regard to the same procession there is a dispute going on in the village for the last two years owing to party factions in the village and the matter is *sub-judice* in the Court of the District Magistrate, Shimoga.

3. In these circumstances, I think it is necessary that the use of insignias in this matt also should be governed by some rule or authority.

4. The records of enquiry ending with memo No. 166 dated 21st August 1917 from the Superintednent of Police, Shimoga are herein enclosed for reference.

From the Officiating Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

No. D. Dis. 4—*H.C. dated Hassan, 3rd October* 1917.

With reference to your letter No. J. 132—Pol. 202-14-27 dated 16th July 1917 and subsequent reminder No. J. 871-6—Pol.. 202-14 dated 5th September 1917, requesting me to investigate the propriety of the use of certain insignias by some persons in the Manjarabad and Alur Sub-Taluk and to furnish a report to Government in the matter, I have the honor to submit herewith in original, the reports received from the Amildar of Manjarabad and the Deputy Amildar of Alur, together with their enclosures, for information of Government.

2. It is ascertained that the insignias used in the Manjarabad Taluk are ordinary and were conferred by the late Palegar of Igoor. As regards those in the Alur Sub-Taluk, Pāradesaiya, the present manager of the Gudegudda temple, states that they are enjoyed since the days of his forefathers on the authority of a Sannad. He says that that Sannad is lost by wear and tear, and has produced a copy of another Sannad purporting to have been given during the year "Durmukhi" (ದುರ್ಮುಖಿ). This shews that "Palakki" may be used. However, from an enquiry of the local people, it seems that the insignias referred to in the statement received with your letter under reply as also (Dala) (ದಾಳ) and Kahale (ಕಾಹಳೆ) are being used as stated by Pardesaiya, and that there is no objection to it.

3. As both the Amildar and the Deputy Amildar report that the use of the insignias in question has been as per mamul, I think that they may be allowed to continue as heretofore.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

No. C. 80—*H.O. and* 1 *file of* 17 *and* 18 *dated, Bangalore, 3rd November* 1917.

Adverting to your letter No. J. 128-133 of 16th July 1917, requesting that the propriety of the use of certain insignia by the late Setwaji Rao's family at Chickmaralwadi in the Kankānhalli Taluk may be investigated I have the honour to state that the Closepet Sub-Division Officer (Mr. M. Lakshmana Sastri) made an enquiry into the matter at Maralwadi and other neighbouring villages. As a result it has been reported that one Mr. Setwaji Runanavarey, the grandson of the late Mr. Setwaji Rao referred to in your letter, has given a statement from which it will be seen that permission to use certain insignia by the family members of Runanavarey was granted by His Highness the late Sri Krishna Raja Wodeiyar III in recognition of the Military service performed by Mr. Raghunatha Rao, Runanavarey. In support of his

statement he has produced a printed copy of a small pamphlet under the title "Geneological Table of the Runanavarey Family in the Mysore Service," in page 4 of which it is stated that "His Highness the late Maharaja of Mysore presented him (Raghunatha Rao, father of Setwaji Rao), with a palanquin and other honours."

2. Of the six insignias referred to in the letter under reply, the marginally noted three are reported to have been left in the house of the late Mr. Chendra Rao Runanavarey at Bangalore, and a fourth one, (*Viz.*, Palaki) is said to be in a village in the Belgaum District in the house of the late Mr. Ramachendra Rao.

1. Chamara.
2. Haladi Chatri and
3. Aftagiri.

3. The present descendant of the family, *viz.*, Mr. Setwaji Rao at Chickka Maral wadi is using a "Myana" on the occasion of Ganesha Festival, but it is not included in your list. The Sub-Division Officer's report No. 112 of 3rd September 1917 is herewith forwarded.

From the District Magistrate, Mysore District.

No. 118 *of* 17-8—*Judicial dated, Mysore, 4th January* 1918.

In reply to your D.O. No. 2302 dated 31st December 1917 and in continuation of this office No. R. O.C. 118 dated 19th November 1917, I have the honor to state that the Amildars of all the Taluks (except that of Yedatore) report that the persons using the insignia referred to in your No. 131—Pol. 202-14-27 dated 16th July 1917 do not reside within the limits of their Taluks.

2. In spite of the 3 reminders issued to the Amildar of Yedatore, the report called for has not been received yet. A stringent reminder has again been issued to him today and on receipt of his report a further communication will be sumbitted to Government.

From the Officiating Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

Ref. No. H.C. 55 *of* 17-18 *dated Kolar, the 5th and 8th January* 1918.

With reference to your letter No. J. 129—Police 202-14-27 dated 16th July 1917, forwarding for investigation and report a list of persons (secular) using certain insignias in this District, I have the honor to state as follows :—

1. From the enquiries made by the Amildar of Srinivaspur Taluk, it is ascertained that Sugnana Murtha Char of Nambihalli, possesses a Nirup dated 12th September 1821, granted by His late Highness Sri Krishnaraja Wadyar III, according to which the insignias referred to in the list were permitted to be used by him wherever he went. (*Vide* copy filed in the vernacular records).

2. Venkata Reddy of Byraganahalli, is reported to posses a Telugu Nirup given to his ancestor Chenna Veera Reddy by Kadiripathi Nainavaru, Pallegar of Tadigol, in Aswija Bahula two in the year Sukla, according to which Kotahalapalli Village and some other lands were granted to him as Umbli Service Inam and he was also permitted to go in procession in a palanquin and on a horse.

Venkata Reddy has stated that he has been using the palanquin on the Vijaya Dasami day and also on marriage occasions in his house.

This Nirup does not appear to have been recognised by the Government. He is stated to have produced four other Nirups in Hindivi and Parsi languages and the Amildar promises to send up translations of the same in two months.

3. Sarvagna Bhatta Char of Jodi Koppavarapalli, has stated that the documents he possessed for using the insignias referred to in the list were lost in an accidental fire in his house and that the insignias have been in use for 800 years.

4. Dasai Setti of Kolar Town has stated that there are no written documents to show when the insignias were granted to him, that he has heard that they were granted to his ancestors by His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore and that they will be used on the Vijaya Dasami day and also when their God is taken in procession. He also states that the insignias came into his possession ten years ago after his father's death. The Amildar of Kolar states that the present Dasai Setti does not deserve this privilege.

5. Chennaveeriah of the Bellavi Matt has stated that the documents for using the insignias referred to in the list were lost when a dacoity occurred in the Matt about fifty years ago and that the insignias have been in use for the last eight generations. The Amildar of Malur states that the Bellavi Matt is an ancient one with many disciples in several places and that the Matt deserves the insignias.

2. The file of papers on the subject is herewith submitted for reference.

From the District Magistrate, Mysore District.

No. D2. Dis. 402—Judl. 17-18, dated Mysore, 22nd January 1918.

In continuation of this office No. R.O.C. 118 dated 4th and 8th instant, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Yedatore Taluk reports in his No. 103 dated 10th January 1918 that none of the holders of the insignia referred to in your letter No. Police 202-14-4 dated 16th July 1917 is traceable in his Taluk.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

No. Jl.—C. 21 *dated Tumkur, the 19th March* 1918.

With reference to your letter J. No. 130—Pol. 202-16-2 dated 16th July 1917, forwarding a list showing the names of persons using insignias in this District and requesting me to investigate the propriety of their use and to furnish my opinion, I have the honour to state that the Amildar of Chiknaikanhalli, to whom orders had been issued in the matter, has reported that the "Pattadadevaru" of Yelanadu has given a statement that he is using the insignias in question on the authority of the Sannad granted to the Swamigalu of Godikere Matt, and that Godikere Matt and his belong to one and the same gotra and sutra and are enjoying the same privileges.

2. The Amildar then issued orders to the Sheikdar of Settikere to make enquiries of Godikere Swamigalu. The Charantaswami of Godikere Matt has given a statement before the Sheikdar of Settikere that there is no Sannad with him, that it has been sent to Havari Munsiff's court for reference, that no mention of the name of Pattadadevaru is made in that Sannad and that he does not know whether a Sannad has been issued to the said "Pattadadevaru."

3. It will be seen that the Pattadadevaru of Yelanadu is not able to establish his title for the use of the insignias in question and pleads *mamool*.

4. Under these circumstances, I am of opinion that the Pattadadevaru of Yelanadu may be prohibited to use the insignias in question, until he can properly establish his title to use them. The Vernacular records bearing on the subject are herewith forwarded for reference and obliging return.

From the Palace Controller.

D. O. No. 6485—*Gudl. dated Bangalore 27th September* 1918

In forwarding herewith a copy of draft order proposed to be issued regarding the grant of permission to the use of insignias in the State, I am desired to request to be favoured with your remarks and suggestions regarding the classified list of honours referred to therein.

From Mr. T. Thumboo Chetty, the Palace, Bangalore.

D. O. No. 1459 *dated 14th March* 1919.

In the matter of the classification of insignia, Surepana, Aftagiri and Moharchal, referred to in the draft Proceedings which accompanied your letter No. G. 6485—Pol. 202-14-36 dated 27th September 1918, to the Palace Controller, there is some difference of opinion.

The Durbar Bakshi thinks that the first two insignia may be classified among second class superior honours provided they are entirely made of metal other than gold or silver. May I request you to kindly favour me with your opinion in the matter ?

As regards Moharchal, the Durbar Bakshi says that it is purely a royal honour and that it cannot be used by private persons and Mattadhipaties. The Palace Controller is of opinion that it can be included among the second class honours provided it is not made of gold. May I request you to kindly let me know, after enquiry, whether any Mattadhipathi or other person is. so far, privileged to make use of it and if so what metal it is made of.

On hearing from you, I shall be able to give you a definite reply in the matter.

To the Asst. Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

No. G. 24975 dated 5th May 1919.

With reference to your D.O. letter No. 1459 dated 14th March 1919 regarding the Classification of insignias, I write to state that some uncertainty seems to exist as regards the exact description of "Moharchal" and to request you to kindly send a correct description of the insignia together with a tracing thereof, if convenient.

From Durbar Bakshi's Office.

D.O. No. 386—*En. one sketch, Mysore, dated 29th June* 1919.

"Submitted.

* * * * *

The word "Moharchal" I learn is a Persian term and the works done to the Moharchal pairs are also Persian works, the speciailty in these being the feathers of the Birds of Paradise. There are two kinds of Moharchal in use in the Palace both of which are of Gold prepared exactly as could be seen in the sketches. One of the two kinds has got red, white and green stones studded ornamentally in floral designs and the other has got plain relief work.

These "Moharchals" are used only on special occasions when Their Highnesses the Maharaja, Yuvaraja and Maharanis appear in state. In the case of Their Highnesses the Maharanis, the Moharchal pair will be held by Huzur Ulgis outside the Zenana Purdah.

The sketch of the Moharchals is also submitted herewith.

Sd. H. LINGARAJ URS.
"Copy"

P. MAHADEVAYYA,
30th June 1919.

From the Asst. Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

D.O. No. 2148—*En. one extract and one sketch, dated 30th June* 1919.

In reply to correspondence ending with your letter No. G. 28578 dated the 25th instant, I am directed to send enclosed extract of D.O. letter No. 387 dated the 29th instant from the Durbar Bakshi, giving a description of the insignia "Moharchal", referred to therein.

The sketch of the insignia, received from the Durbar Bakshi, is also sent herewith.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

READ :—

Letter No. 431 dated 24th March 1915 from the Deputy Commissioner Chitaldrug District, soliciting orders regarding the insignia intended to be used by Mr. B. D. Madakere Naik, Pallegar Pensioner of Chitaldrug when he goes in procession during Ugadi and Dasara festivals.

2. Correspondence ending with the reports of the Deputy Commissioners furnishing information as to the persons permitted to use the several insignia in their districts and the authority under which they are allowed to do so.

Order No. G. 11404-14—*Pol.* 202-14-41, *Bangalore, 19th November* 1919.

The grant of permission to the use of insignia by private persons other than Matadhipathies has been under the consideration of Government for some time past and after a careful review of the question in all its aspects they are pleased to pass the following orders.

2. The honors now in use in the State fall under two classes as shown below :—

Royal Honours.

Addapalki.	Moharchal.
Panchakalasa.	Aftagiri.
Panchakalasada Sweta Chatri.	Surepana.
Hagal Deevatige.	Ambari on elephant.
Makara Thorana	Navabath.
Dhavala Sankha.	

Special Honours.

Simhalalatada Palki.	Sweta Chattri.
Nisani on elephant.	Bhuchakrada Kode.
Nisani on camel	Green Chattri.
Nagari on elephant.	Pancharangi Chatri.
Nagari on camel.	Barajari Chattri.
Danka or horse.	Surya Vadya.
Yellow Nishani	Chandra Vadya.
Green nishani.	Chowri.
Kavi nishani.	Chamara.

3. The Royal Honours are by immemorial custom specially reserved for the use of Royalty and a display of the same by any one except under the special sanction of the Sovereign is an invasion of the Royal privilege and must not be permitted under any circumstances.

4. The use of the special honours by private persons should be permitted only after production of satisfactory documentary evidence in proof of specific grant by Sovereign authorities or long and uninterrupted usage of fifty years or more. In the case of any such alleged right, the local officers should obtain all the evidence that might be adduced and if, in their opinion, a strong case is made out, submit the records for the orders of Government. The evidence must be sufficiently convincing to prove not only the original grant or continued usage but also that the right was heritable, (*i.e.*, not personal), the presumption in all such cases being that the title or honour conferred on a private person is personal only.

5. As regards all other insignia not specified above, Magistrates may grant or refuse permission to use them as they think fit, having regard to the usage of the locality and the provisions of the Police Regulation.

6. Cases of the use of insignia by the persons referred to in the communications read above will be disposed of in accordance with the above principles.

Mono WD 413—GPB—105—28-5-30